

Proof-Rules for Certifying Memory Bounds

by Javier de Dios and Ricardo Peña, January 2011

Contents

1	Normal form values and heap	3
2	Useful functions and theorems from the Haskell Library or Prelude	6
3	Normalized Safe Expressions	12
3.1	Free Variables	13
4	Primitive operators for SVM anf JVM	19
5	State of the SVM	19
5.1	Sizes Table	20
5.2	Stack	20
5.3	Code Store and SafeImp program	20
5.4	Runtime State	21
6	Definitions of Upper Bounds and Least Upper Bounds	22
6.1	Rules for the Relations $*\leq$ and $\leq*$	23
6.2	Rules about the Operators $leastP$, ub and lub	23
7	The Greatest Common Divisor	24
7.1	Specification of GCD on nats	25
7.2	GCD on nat by Euclid's algorithm	25
7.3	Derived laws for GCD	26
7.4	LCM defined by GCD	28
7.5	GCD and LCM on integers	31
8	Abstract rational numbers	34
9	Rational numbers	45
9.1	Rational numbers	45
9.1.1	Equivalence of fractions	45
9.1.2	The type of rational numbers	46
9.1.3	Congruence lemmas	47

9.1.4	Standard operations on rational numbers	48
9.1.5	The ordered field of rational numbers	50
9.2	Various Other Results	53
9.3	Numerals and Arithmetic	54
9.4	Embedding from Rationals to other Fields	54
9.5	Implementation of rational numbers as pairs of integers	57
10	Positive real numbers	59
10.1	<i>preal-of-prat</i> : the Injection from prat to preal	62
10.2	Properties of Ordering	62
10.3	Properties of Addition	63
10.4	Properties of Multiplication	66
10.5	Distribution of Multiplication across Addition	70
10.6	Existence of Inverse, a Positive Real	71
10.7	Gleason's Lemma 9-3.4, page 122	73
10.8	Gleason's Lemma 9-3.6	74
10.9	Existence of Inverse: Part 2	75
10.10	Subtraction for Positive Reals	78
10.11	proving that $S \leq R + D$ — trickier	79
10.12	Completeness of type <i>preal</i>	82
10.13	The Embedding from <i>rat</i> into <i>preal</i>	83
11	Defining the Reals from the Positive Reals	85
11.1	Equivalence relation over positive reals	87
11.2	Addition and Subtraction	88
11.3	Multiplication	89
11.4	Inverse and Division	90
11.5	The Real Numbers form a Field	91
11.6	The \leq Ordering	91
11.7	The Reals Form an Ordered Field	93
11.8	Theorems About the Ordering	95
11.9	More Lemmas	95
11.10	Embedding numbers into the Reals	96
11.11	Embedding the Naturals into the Reals	99
11.12	Numerals and Arithmetic	102
11.13	Simprules combining $x+y$ and 0: ARE THEY NEEDED?	102
11.13.1	Density of the Reals	103
11.14	Absolute Value Function for the Reals	103
11.15	Implementation of rational real numbers as pairs of integers	104
12	Completeness of the Reals; Floor and Ceiling Functions	106
12.1	Completeness of Positive Reals	106
12.2	The Archimedean Property of the Reals	112
12.3	Floor and Ceiling Functions from the Reals to the Integers	114

12.4 Versions for the natural numbers	125
13 Non-denumerability of the Continuum.	132
13.1 Abstract	132
13.2 Closed Intervals	132
13.2.1 Definition	132
13.2.2 Properties	132
13.3 Nested Interval Property	134
13.4 Generating the intervals	138
13.4.1 Existence of non-singleton closed intervals	138
13.5 newInt: Interval generation	139
13.5.1 Definition	139
13.5.2 Properties	140
13.6 Final Theorem	143
14 Natural powers theory	143
14.1 Literal Arithmetic Involving Powers, Type <i>real</i>	145
14.2 Properties of Squares	145
14.3 Squares of Reals	146
14.4 Various Other Theorems	148
15 Vector Spaces and Algebras over the Reals	149
15.1 Locale for additive functions	149
15.2 Real vector spaces	149
15.3 Embedding of the Reals into any <i>real-algebra-1: of-real</i>	152
15.4 The Set of Real Numbers	154
15.5 Real normed vector spaces	156
15.6 Sign function	160
15.7 Bounded Linear and Bilinear Operators	161
16 Resource-Aware Operational semantics of Safe expressions	164
17 Depth-Aware Operational semantics of Safe expressions	167
18 Definitions for certifying memory bounds	174
19 Auxiliary lemmas of the soundness theorem	186
20 Proof-rules for certifying memory bounds, and soundness theorem	245

1 Normal form values and heap

```
theory SafeHeap
imports Main
```

```

begin

types
  Location = nat
  Constructor = string
  FunName = string

  — Normal form values
datatype Val = Loc Location | IntT int | BoolT bool

  — Destructions of datatype val
consts the-IntT :: Val ⇒ int
primrec
  the-IntT (IntT i) = i

consts the-BoolT :: Val ⇒ bool
primrec
  the-BoolT (BoolT b) = b

  — check if is constant bool
constdefs isBool :: Val ⇒ bool
  isBool v ≡ (case v of (BoolT -) ⇒ True
               | - ⇒ False)

```

A heap is a partial mapping from locations to cells. But, as it is split into regions, the mapping tells also the region where the cell lives. The second component is the highest live region k . A consistent heap (h, k) has cells only in regions $0 \dots k$.

```

types
  Cell = Constructor × Val list
  Region = nat
  HeapMap = Location → (Region × Cell)
  Heap = HeapMap × nat

```

```

consts
  restrictToRegion :: Heap => Region => Heap  (infix ↓ 110)

primrec
  (h,k) ↓ k0 = (let A = { p . p ∈ dom h & fst (the (h p)) <= k0}
                in (h |` A,k0))

```

```

definition
  rangeHeap :: HeapMap ⇒ Val set where
  rangeHeap h = {v. EX p j C vn. h p = Some (j,C,vn) ∧ v ∈ set vn}

```

```

definition
  fresh :: Location ⇒ HeapMap ⇒ bool where
  fresh p h = (p ∉ dom h ∧ (Loc p) ∉ rangeHeap h)

```

```
definition domLoc :: HeapMap  $\Rightarrow$  Val set where
  domLoc h = {l. EX p. p  $\in$  dom h  $\wedge$  l = Loc p}
```

```
declare rangeHeap-def [simp del]
declare fresh-def [simp del]
declare domLoc-def [simp del]
```

constdefs

```
getFresh :: HeapMap  $\Rightarrow$  Location
getFresh h  $\equiv$  SOME b. fresh b h
```

constdefs

self :: string — this identifies the topmost region referenced in a function body
self \equiv "self"

The constructor table tells, for each constructor, the number of arguments and a description of each one. The second nat gives the alternative $0..n - 1$ corresponding to this constructor in every **case** of its type

```
datatype ArgType = IntArg | BoolArg | NonRecursive | Recursive
```

types

```
ConstructorTableType = (Constructor  $\times$  (nat  $\times$  nat  $\times$  ArgType list)) list
ConstructorTableFun = Constructor  $\rightarrow$  (nat  $\times$  nat  $\times$  ArgType list)
```

This is the constructor table of the Safe expressions semantics. It is assumed to be a constant which somebody else will provide. It is used in the semantic function 'copy'

consts

```
ConstructorTable :: ConstructorTableFun
```

```
constdefs getConstructorCell :: Cell  $\Rightarrow$  Constructor
getConstructorCell c  $\equiv$  fst c
```

```
constdefs getValuesCell :: Cell  $\Rightarrow$  Val list
getValuesCell c  $\equiv$  snd c
```

```
constdefs getCell :: Heap  $\Rightarrow$  Location  $\Rightarrow$  Cell
getCell h l  $\equiv$  snd (the ((fst h) l))
```

```
constdefs getRegion :: Heap  $\Rightarrow$  Location  $\Rightarrow$  Region
getRegion h l  $\equiv$  fst (the ((fst h) l))
```

```
constdefs domHeap :: Heap  $\Rightarrow$  Location set
```

```

 $domHeap h \equiv dom (fst h)$ 

constdefs isNonBasicValue :: ArgType  $\Rightarrow$  bool
isNonBasicValue a == (a = NonRecursive)  $\vee$  (a = Recursive)

constdefs isRecursive :: ArgType  $\Rightarrow$  bool
isRecursive a == (a = Recursive)

consts
theLocation :: Val  $\Rightarrow$  Location
primrec theLocation (Loc l) = l

constdefs
getArgType C  $\equiv$  snd (snd (the (ConstructorTable C)))

constdefs getRecursiveValuesCell :: Cell  $\Rightarrow$  Location set
getRecursiveValuesCell c == set (map (theLocation o snd)
    (filter (isRecursive o fst) (zip (getArgType (getConstructorCell c)) (getValuesCell c)))))

constdefs recDescendants :: Location  $\Rightarrow$  Heap  $\Rightarrow$  Location set
recDescendants l h  $\equiv$  case ((fst h) l) of Some c  $\Rightarrow$  getRecursiveValuesCell (snd c)
    | None  $\Rightarrow$  {}

constdefs getNonBasicValuesCell :: Cell  $\Rightarrow$  Location set
getNonBasicValuesCell c == set (map (theLocation o snd)
    (filter (isNonBasicValue o fst) (zip (getArgType (getConstructorCell c)) (getValuesCell c)))))

constdefs descendants :: Location  $\Rightarrow$  Heap  $\Rightarrow$  Location set
descendants l h  $\equiv$  case ((fst h) l) of Some c  $\Rightarrow$  getNonBasicValuesCell (snd c)
    | None  $\Rightarrow$  {}

constdefs isConstant :: Val  $\Rightarrow$  bool
isConstant v  $\equiv$  (case v of (IntT -)  $\Rightarrow$  True
    | (BoolT -)  $\Rightarrow$  True
    | -  $\Rightarrow$  False)
end

```

2 Useful functions and theorems from the Haskell Library or Prelude

```

theory HaskellLib
imports Main

```

begin

Function *mapAccumL* is a powerful combination of *map* and *foldl*. Functions *unzip3* and *unzip* are respectively the inverse of *zip3* and *zip*.

consts

```
mapAccumL :: ('a => 'b => 'a × 'c) => 'a => 'b list => 'a × 'c list
zipWith   :: ('a => 'b => 'c) => 'a list => 'b list => 'c list
unzip3    :: ('a × 'b × 'c) list => 'a list × 'b list × 'c list
unzip     :: ('a × 'b) list => 'a list × 'b list
```

primrec

```
mapAccumL f s [] = (s,[])
mapAccumL f s (x#xs) = (let (s',y) = f s x;
                           (s'',ys) = mapAccumL f s' xs
                           in (s'',y#ys))
```

Some lemmas about *mapAccumL*

lemma *mapAccumL-non-empty*:

```
[(s'',ys) = mapAccumL f s xs;
 xs = x#xx
] ==> (∃ s' y ys'.
          (s',y) = f s x
          ∧ ys = y # ys')
```

apply *clarify*

```
apply (unfold mapAccumL.simps)
apply (rule-tac x=fst (f s x) in exI)
apply (rule-tac x=snd (f s x) in exI)
apply (rule-tac x=snd (mapAccumL f (fst (f s x)) xx) in exI)
apply (rule conjI)
apply simp
apply (case-tac f s x,simp)
by (case-tac mapAccumL f a xx,simp)
```

lemma *mapAccumL-non-empty2*:

```
[(s'',ys) = mapAccumL f s xs;
 xs = x#xx
] ==> (∃ s' y ys'.
          (s',y) = f s x
          ∧ (s'',ys') = mapAccumL f s' xx
          ∧ ys = y # ys')
```

apply *clarify*

```
apply (unfold mapAccumL.simps)
apply (rule-tac x=fst (f s x) in exI)
apply (rule-tac x=snd (f s x) in exI)
apply (rule-tac x=snd (mapAccumL f (fst (f s x)) xx) in exI)
apply (rule conjI)
apply simp
apply (rule conjI)
apply (case-tac f s x) apply (simp)
```

```

apply (case-tac mapAccumL f a xx)
apply (simp)
apply (case-tac f s x) apply (simp)
apply (case-tac mapAccumL f a xx)
apply simp
done

axioms mapAccumL-non-empty3:

$$\llbracket (s'', ys) = \text{mapAccumL } f s xs; \\ 0 < \text{length } xs \\ \rrbracket \implies (\exists s' y ys'. \\ (s', y) = f s (xs!0) \\ \wedge (s'', ys') = \text{mapAccumL } f s' (tl xs))$$


axioms mapAccumL-two-elements:

$$\llbracket (s3, ys) = \text{mapAccumL } f s xs; \\ xs = x1 \# x2 \# xx \\ \rrbracket \implies (\exists s1 s2 y1 y2 ys3. \\ (s1, y1) = f s x1 \\ \wedge (s2, y2) = f s1 x2 \\ \wedge (s3, ys3) = \text{mapAccumL } f s2 xx \\ \wedge ys = y1 \# y2 \# ys3)$$


axioms mapAccumL-split:

$$\llbracket (s2, ys) = \text{mapAccumL } f s xs; \\ xs1 @ xs2 = xs \\ \rrbracket \implies (\exists s1 ys1 ys2 . \\ (s1, ys1) = \text{mapAccumL } f s xs1 \\ \wedge (s2, ys2) = \text{mapAccumL } f s1 xs2 \\ \wedge ys = ys1 @ ys2)$$


axioms mapAccumL-one-more:

$$\llbracket (s1, ys) = \text{mapAccumL } f s xs; \\ (s2, y) = f s1 x \\ \rrbracket \implies (s2, ys@[y]) = \text{mapAccumL } f s (xs@[x])$$


Some integer arithmetic lemmas

lemma sum-nat:

$$\llbracket (x1 :: nat) = x2; (y1 :: nat) = y2 \rrbracket \implies x1 + y1 = x2 + y2$$

apply arith
done

axioms sum-subtract:

$$(x :: nat) - y + (z - x) = z - y$$


axioms additions1:

$$\llbracket i < m; \text{Suc } m + n \leq l \rrbracket \implies \\ m - i < \text{nat}(\text{int } l - 1) - n + 1 - (\text{nat}(\text{int } l - 1) - \text{Suc } m - n + 1)$$

axioms additions2:

```

```

 $\llbracket i < m; \text{Suc } m + n \leq l \rrbracket \implies$ 
 $\text{nat}(\text{int } l - 1) - m + (m - \text{Suc } i) = \text{nat}(\text{int } l - 1) - \text{Suc } m + (m - i)$ 
axioms additions3:
 $\llbracket i < m; \text{Suc } m + n \leq l \rrbracket \implies$ 
 $\text{nat}(\text{int } l - 1) - \text{Suc}(m + n) + (m - i) = \text{nat}(\text{int } l - 1) - (m + n) + (m -$ 
 $\text{Suc } i)$ 
axioms additions4:
 $\llbracket \text{Suc } m + n \leq l \rrbracket \implies$ 
 $\text{nat}(\text{int } l - 1) - m = \text{Suc}(\text{nat}(\text{int } l - 1) - \text{Suc } m)$ 
axioms additions5:
 $\llbracket \text{Suc } m + n \leq l \rrbracket \implies$ 
 $\text{Suc}(\text{nat}(\text{int } l - 1) - \text{Suc}(m + n)) = \text{nat}(\text{int } l - 1 - \text{int } n - \text{int } m)$ 
axioms additions6:
 $\llbracket \text{Suc } m + n \leq l \rrbracket \implies$ 
 $n + (\text{nat}(\text{int } l - 1) - \text{Suc}(m + n)) < \text{nat}(\text{int } l - 1)$ 

```

Some lemmas about lists

```

lemma list-non-empty:
 $0 < \text{length } xs \implies (\exists y ys . xs = y \# ys)$ 
apply auto
apply (insert neq-Nil-conv [of xs])
by simp

```

```

axioms drop-nth:
 $n < \text{length } xs \implies (\exists y ys . \text{drop } n xs = y \# ys \wedge xs!n = y)$ 

```

```

axioms drop-nth3:
 $n < \text{length } xs \implies \text{drop } n xs = (xs!n) \# \text{drop}(\text{Suc } n) xs$ 

```

```

axioms drop-take-Suc:
 $xs = (\text{take } n xs) @ (z \# zs) \implies \text{drop}(\text{Suc } n) xs = zs$ 

```

```

axioms drop-nth2:
 $\llbracket n < \text{length } xs; \text{drop } n xs = ys \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies ys = xs!n \# tl ys$ 

axioms drop-append2:
 $\llbracket \text{drop } n xs = zs1 @ ys1 @ ys2 @ zs2 @ rest;$ 
 $\text{drop } (m - n)(zs1 @ ys1 @ ys2 @ zs2) = ys1 @ rest'$ 
 $\rrbracket \implies$ 
 $\text{drop } (m + \text{length } ys1 - n)(zs1 @ ys1 @ ys2 @ zs2) = ys2 @ zs2$ 

```

```

axioms drop-append3:
 $\llbracket \text{drop } n xs = xs1 @ rest;$ 
 $\text{drop } (m - n) xs1 = ys1 @ ys2$ 
 $\rrbracket \implies$ 
 $\text{drop } m xs = ys1 @ ys2 @ rest$ 

```

```

lemma nth-via-drop-append: drop n xs = (y#ys)@zs ==> xs!n = y
apply (induct xs arbitrary: n, simp)
by(simp add:drop-Cons nth-Cons split:nat.splits)

lemma drop-Suc-append:
drop n xs = (y#ys)@zs ==> drop (Suc n) xs = ys@zs
apply (induct xs arbitrary: n,simp)
apply (simp add:drop-Cons)
by (simp split:nat.splits)

lemma nth-via-drop-append-2: drop n xs = ((y # ys) @ ws @ zs) @ ms ==>
xs!n = y
apply (induct xs arbitrary: n, simp)
by(simp add:drop-Cons nth-Cons split:nat.splits)

lemma drop-Suc-append-2:
drop n xs = ((y # ys) @ ws @ zs) @ ms ==> drop (Suc n) xs = ys @ ws @ zs
@ ms
apply (induct xs arbitrary: n,simp)
apply (simp add:drop-Cons)
by (simp split:nat.splits)

axioms drop-append-length:
drop n xs = [] @ ys @ zs @ ms ==> drop (n + length ys) xs = zs @ ms

axioms take-length:
n ≤ length xs ==> n = length (take n xs)

axioms take-append2:
n < length xs ==> x # take n xs = take n (x # xs) @ [(x # xs)!n]

axioms take-append3:
Suc n ≤ length xs ==> take (Suc n) xs = take n xs @ [xs!n]

axioms concat1:
xs @ y # ys = (xs @ [y]) @ ys

axioms concat2:
xs1 = xs2 ==> xs1 @ ys = xs2 @ ys

axioms upt-length:
n ≤ m ==> length [n..=m-n]

```

Some lemmas about finite maps

```

axioms map-of-distinct:
[] distinct (map fst xys);
l < length xys;
(x,y) = xys ! l
[] ==> map-of xys x = Some y

```

axioms *map-of-distinct2*:
map-of *xys* *x* = *Some y*
 $\Rightarrow (\exists l . l < \text{length } xys \wedge (x,y) = xys ! l)$

axioms *map-upds-nth*:
 $i < m - n \Rightarrow (A([n..<m] \rightarrow xs)) (n+i) = \text{Some} (xs ! i)$

— The unzip3 function of Haskell library

primrec
unzip3 [] = ([],[],[])
unzip3 (*tup#tups*) = (let (*xs,ys,zs*) = *unzip3 tups*;
 $(x,y,z) = \text{tup}$
 $\text{in } (x\#xs, y\#ys, z\#zs))$

axioms *unzip3-length*:
unzip3 xs = (*ys1,ys2,ys3*) $\Rightarrow \text{length } ys1 = \text{length } ys2$

primrec
unzip [] = ([],[])
unzip (*tup#tups*) = (let (*xs,ys*) = *unzip tups*;
 $(x,y) = \text{tup}$
 $\text{in } (x\#xs, y\#ys))$

primrec
zipWith f (x#xs) yy = (case *yy* of
 $[] \Rightarrow []$
 $| y\#ys \Rightarrow f x y \# \text{zipWith } f xs ys$)
zipWith f [] yy = []

axioms *zipWith-length*:
 $\text{length } (\text{zipWith } f xs ys) = \min (\text{length } xs) (\text{length } ys)$

— The Haskell sum type Either

datatype ('a,'b) Either = Left 'a | Right 'b

— insertion sort for list of strings

constdefs
leString :: string => string => bool
leString s1 s2 == True

consts

```

ins :: string => string list => string list
primrec
  ins s [] = [s]
  ins s (s' # ss) = (if leString s s' then s # s' # ss
    else s' # ins s ss)

fun sort :: string list => string list
where
  sort ss = foldr ins ss []

fun subList :: 'a list => 'a list => bool
where
  subList xs ys = ( $\exists$  hs ts. ys = hs @ xs @ ts)

end

```

3 Normalized Safe Expressions

```

theory SafeExpr imports ..../SafeImp/SafeHeap ..../SafeImp/HaskellLib
begin

```

This is a somewhat simplified copy of the abstract syntax used by the Safe compiler. The idea is that the Haskell code generated by Isabelle for the definition of the *trProg* function, translating from CoreSafe to SafeImp, can be directly used as a phase of the compiler. The simplifications are in expression LetE and in the definition of 'a Der, in order to avoid unnecessary mutual recursion between types. First, we define the key elements of Core-Safe abstract syntax.

```

constdefs
  intType :: string
  intType == "Int"
  boolType :: string
  boolType == "Bool"

datatype ExpTipo = VarT string
  | ConstrT string ExpTipo list bool string list
  | Rec

datatype AltData = ConstrA string (ExpTipo list) string

types DecData = string  $\times$  string list  $\times$  string list  $\times$  AltData list

datatype Lit = LitN int | LitB bool

datatype 'a Patron = ConstP Lit
  | VarP string 'a
  | ConstrP string ('a Patron) list 'a

```

Now we define the CoreSafe expressions.

```
types
  ProgVar = string
  RegVar = string

datatype 'a Exp = ConstE Lit 'a
  | ConstrE string ('a Exp) list RegVar 'a
  | VarE ProgVar 'a
  | CopyE ProgVar RegVar 'a      ( - @ - - 90 )
  | ReuseE ProgVar 'a
  | AppE FunName ('a Exp) list RegVar list 'a
  | LetE string ('a Exp) ('a Exp) 'a
    (Let - = - In - - 95)

  | CaseE ('a Exp) ('a Patron × 'a Exp) list 'a
    (Case - Of - - 95)
  | CaseDE ('a Exp) ('a Patron × 'a Exp) list 'a
    (CaseD - Of - - 95)
```

Now, the rest of the abstract syntax.

```
datatype 'a Der = Simple ('a Exp) int list

types
  'a Izq = string × ('a Patron × bool) list × string list
  'a Def = ExpTipo list × 'a Izq × 'a Der
  'a Prog = DecData list × ('a Def) list × 'a Exp
```

3.1 Free Variables

```
fun pat2var :: 'a Patron => string
where
  pat2var (VarP x -) = x
```

```
fun extractP :: 'a Patron => (string × string list)
where
  extractP (ConstrP C ps -) = (
    let xs = map pat2var ps
    in (C,xs))
  | extractP - = ([][],[])
```

```
fun extractVar :: 'a Patron => string list
where
  extractVar (ConstrP C ps a) = map pat2var ps
  | extractVar (ConstP l) = []
  | extractVar (VarP v a) = [v]
```

```
consts varProgPat :: 'a Patron => string set
```

```

varProgPats :: 'a Patron list  $\Rightarrow$  string set

primrec
varProgPat (ConstP l) = {}
varProgPat (VarP x a) = {x}
varProgPat (ConstrP C pats a) = varProgPats pats

varProgPats [] = {}
varProgPats (pat#pats) = varProgPat pat  $\cup$  varProgPats pats

consts varProg :: 'a Exp  $\Rightarrow$  ProgVar set
varProgs :: 'a Exp list  $\Rightarrow$  ProgVar set
varProgs' :: 'a Exp list  $\Rightarrow$  ProgVar set
varProgAlts :: ('a Patron  $\times$  'a Exp) list  $\Rightarrow$  string set
varProgAlts' :: ('a Patron  $\times$  'a Exp) list  $\Rightarrow$  string set
varProgTup :: 'a Patron  $\times$  'a Exp  $\Rightarrow$  string set
varProgTup' :: 'a Patron  $\times$  'a Exp  $\Rightarrow$  string set

primrec
varProg (ConstE Lit a) = {}
varProg (ConstrE C exps r a) = varProgs exps
varProg (VarE x a) = {x}
varProg (CopyE x r a) = {x}
varProg (ReuseE x a) = {x}
varProg (AppE fn exps rs a) = varProgs' exps
varProg (LetE x1 e1 e2 a) = varProg e1  $\cup$  varProg e2  $\cup$  {x1}
varProg (CaseE exp alts a) = varProg exp  $\cup$  varProgAlts alts
varProg (CaseDE exp alts a) = varProg exp  $\cup$  varProgAlts' alts

varProgs [] = {}
varProgs (exp#exp) = varProg exp  $\cup$  varProgs exps

varProgs' [] = {}
varProgs' (exp#exp) = varProg exp  $\cup$  varProgs' exps

varProgAlts [] = {}
varProgAlts (alt#alts) = varProgTup alt  $\cup$  varProgAlts alts

varProgAlts' [] = {}
varProgAlts' (alt#alts) = varProgTup' alt  $\cup$  varProgAlts' alts

varProgTup (pat,e) = varProgPat pat  $\cup$  varProg e

varProgTup' (pat,e) = varProgPat pat  $\cup$  varProg e

consts fv :: 'a Exp  $\Rightarrow$  string set
fvs :: 'a Exp list  $\Rightarrow$  string set
fvs' :: 'a Exp list  $\Rightarrow$  string set

```

```

fvAlts :: ('a Patron × 'a Exp) list ⇒ string set
fvAlts' :: ('a Patron × 'a Exp) list ⇒ string set
fvTup :: 'a Patron × 'a Exp ⇒ string set
fvTup' :: 'a Patron × 'a Exp ⇒ string set

```

primrec

```

fv (ConstE Lit a) = {}
fv (ConstrE C exps rv a) = fvs exps
fv (VarE x a) = {x}
fv (CopyE x rv a) = {x}
fv (ReuseE x a) = {x}
fv (AppE fn exps rvs a) = fvs' exps
fv (LetE x1 e1 e2 a) = fv e1 ∪ fv e2 − {x1}
fv (CaseE exp patexp a) = fvAlts patexp ∪ fv exp
fv (CaseDE exp patexp a) = fvAlts' patexp ∪ fv exp

```

```

fvs [] = {}
fvs (exp#exp) = fv exp ∪ fvs exps

```

```

fvs' [] = {}
fvs' (exp#exp) = fv exp ∪ fvs' exps

```

```

fvAlts [] = {}
fvAlts (alt#alts) = fvTup alt ∪ fvAlts alts

```

```

fvAlts' [] = {}
fvAlts' (alt#alts) = fvTup' alt ∪ fvAlts' alts

```

```

fvTup (pat,e) = fv e − set (snd (extractP pat))

```

```

fvTup' (pat,e) = fv e − set (snd (extractP pat))

```

```

consts fvReg :: 'a Exp ⇒ string set
fvsReg :: 'a Exp list ⇒ string set
fvsReg' :: 'a Exp list ⇒ string set
fvAltsReg :: ('a Patron × 'a Exp) list ⇒ string set
fvAltsReg' :: ('a Patron × 'a Exp) list ⇒ string set
fvTupReg :: 'a Patron × 'a Exp ⇒ string set
fvTupReg' :: 'a Patron × 'a Exp ⇒ string set

```

primrec

```

fvReg (ConstE Lit a) = {}
fvReg (ConstrE C exps r a) = {r}
fvReg (VarE x a) = {}
fvReg (CopyE x r a) = {r}
fvReg (ReuseE x a) = {}
fvReg (AppE fn exps rvs a) = set rvs
fvReg (LetE x1 e1 e2 a) = fvReg e1 ∪ fvReg e2

```

$fvReg (CaseE \ exp \ patexp \ a) = fvAltsReg \ patexp$
 $fvReg (CaseDE \ exp \ patexp \ a) = fvAltsReg' \ patexp$

$fvAltsReg [] = \{\}$
 $fvAltsReg (alt\#alts) = fvTupReg \ alt \cup fvAltsReg \ alts$

$fvAltsReg' [] = \{\}$
 $fvAltsReg' (alt\#alts) = fvTupReg' \ alt \cup fvAltsReg' \ alts$

$fvTupReg (pat, e) = fvReg \ e$

$fvTupReg' (pat, e) = fvReg \ e$

```

consts boundVar    :: 'a Exp  $\Rightarrow$  string set
boundVars     :: 'a Exp list  $\Rightarrow$  string set
boundVars'    :: 'a Exp list  $\Rightarrow$  string set
boundVarAlts  :: ('a Patron  $\times$  'a Exp) list  $\Rightarrow$  string set
boundVarAlts' :: ('a Patron  $\times$  'a Exp) list  $\Rightarrow$  string set
boundVarTup   :: 'a Patron  $\times$  'a Exp  $\Rightarrow$  string set
boundVarTup'  :: 'a Patron  $\times$  'a Exp  $\Rightarrow$  string set

```

primrec

```

boundVar (ConstE Lit a) = {}
boundVar (ConstrE C exps rv a) = boundVars exps
boundVar (VarE x a) = {}
boundVar (CopyE x rv a) = {}
boundVar (ReuseE x a) = {}
boundVar (AppE fn exps rvs a) = {}
boundVar (LetE x1 e1 e2 a) = {x1}
boundVar (CaseE exp patexp a) = boundVarAlts patexp
boundVar (CaseDE exp patexp a) = boundVarAlts' patexp

```

$boundVars [] = \{\}$
 $boundVars (exp\#exp) = boundVar \ exp \cup boundVars \ exp$

$boundVarAlts [] = \{\}$
 $boundVarAlts (alt\#alts) = boundVarTup \ alt \cup boundVarAlts \ alts$

$boundVarAlts' [] = \{\}$
 $boundVarAlts' (alt\#alts) = boundVarTup' \ alt \cup boundVarAlts' \ alts$

$boundVarTup (pat, e) = set (extractVar \ pat)$

$boundVarTup' (pat, e) = set (extractVar \ pat)$

A runtime environment consists of two partial mappings: one from program variables to normal form values, and one from region variables to actual

regions.

types

$$Environment = (ProgVar \rightarrow Val) \times (RegVar \rightarrow Region)$$

The runtime system provides a 'copy' function which generates a new data structure from a given location by copying those cells pointed to by recursive argument positions of data constructors. The Σ environment provides the textual definitions of previously defined Safe functions. Some auxiliary functions: 'extend' extends an environment with a collection of bindings from variables to values; 'fresh' is a predicate telling whether a variable is fresh with respect to a heap; 'atom2val', given an environment and an atom (a program variable or a literal expression) returns its corresponding value; 'atom2var', given an expression return its corresponding variable; 'atom', is a predicate telling whether a expression is a atom.

constdefs *recursiveArgs* :: *Constructor* \Rightarrow *bool list*

$$\begin{aligned} recursiveArgs C &\equiv (let \\ &\quad (-,-,args) = the (ConstructorTable C) \\ &\quad in map (\%a. a = Recursive) args) \end{aligned}$$

function *copy'* :: [*Region*, *HeapMap*, (*Val* \times *bool*)] \Rightarrow (*HeapMap* \times *Val*)
where

$$\begin{aligned} ©' j h (v, False) = (h, v) \\ | \quad ©' j h (Val.Loc p, True) = (let \\ &\quad (k, C, ps) = the (h p); \\ &\quad bs = recursiveArgs C; \\ &\quad pbs = zip ps bs; \\ &\quad (h', ps') = mapAccumL (copy' j) h pbs; \\ &\quad p' = getFresh h' \\ &\quad in (h'(p' \mapsto (j, C, ps')), Val.Loc p')) \\ | \quad ©' j h (IntT i, True) = (h, IntT i) \\ | \quad ©' j h (BoolT b, True) = (h, BoolT b) \\ \text{by } &pat-completeness\ auto \end{aligned}$$

function *copy* :: [*Heap*, *Location*, *Region*] \Rightarrow (*Heap* \times *Location*)

where

$$\begin{aligned} © (h, k) p j = (let \\ &\quad (h', p') = copy' j h (Val.Loc p, True) \\ &\quad in case p' of (Val.Loc q) \Rightarrow ((h', k), q)) \end{aligned}$$

by *pat-completeness auto*

termination by (*relation* {}) *simp*

types *FunDefEnv* = *string* \rightarrow *ProgVar list* \times *RegVar list* \times *unit Exp*

consts

$$\Sigma f :: FunDefEnv$$

```

constdefs bodyAPP :: FunDefEnv  $\Rightarrow$  string  $\Rightarrow$  unit Exp
  bodyAPP  $\Sigma f == (\text{case } \Sigma f \text{ of } \text{Some } (xs, rs, ef) \Rightarrow ef)$ 

constdefs varsAPP :: FunDefEnv  $\Rightarrow$  string  $\Rightarrow$  string list
  varsAPP  $\Sigma f \equiv (\text{case } \Sigma f \text{ of } \text{Some } (xs, rs, ef) \Rightarrow xs)$ 

constdefs regionsAPP :: FunDefEnv  $\Rightarrow$  string  $\Rightarrow$  string list
  regionsAPP  $\Sigma f \equiv (\text{case } \Sigma f \text{ of } \text{Some } (xs, rs, ef) \Rightarrow rs)$ 

definition
  extend :: [string  $\rightarrow$  Val, ProgVar list, Val list]  $\Rightarrow$  (ProgVar  $\rightarrow$  Val) where
  extend E xs vs = E ++ map-of (zip xs vs)

definition
  def-extend :: [string  $\rightarrow$  Val, ProgVar list, Val list]  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  def-extend E xs vs = (set xs  $\cap$  dom E = {}  $\wedge$  length xs = length vs  $\wedge$  distinct xs  $\wedge$  ( $\forall x \in \text{set } xs. x \neq \text{self}$ ))

fun atom2val :: (ProgVar  $\rightarrow$  Val)  $\Rightarrow$  'a Exp  $\Rightarrow$  Val
where
  atom2val E (ConstE (LitN i) a) = IntT i
  | atom2val E (ConstE (LitB b) a) = BoolT b
  | atom2val E (VarE x a) = the (E x)

fun atom2var :: 'a Exp  $\Rightarrow$  string
where
  atom2var (VarE x a) = x

fun atom :: 'a Exp  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  atom e = (case e of
    (VarE x a)  $\Rightarrow$  True
    | -  $\Rightarrow$  False)

```

Lemmas for extend function

```

lemma extend-monotone:  $x \notin \text{set } xs \implies E x = \text{extend } E xs vs x$ 
apply (induct xs vs rule:list-induct2')
  apply (simp add: extend-def)
  apply (simp add: extend-def)
  apply (simp add: extend-def)
  apply (subgoal-tac x  $\notin$  set xs simp)
  apply (subgoal-tac extend E (xa # xs) (y # ys) = (extend E xs ys)(xa  $\mapsto$  y))
    apply simp
  apply (simp add: extend-def)

```

```

by simp

lemma list-induct3:
[] P [] 0;
!!x xs. P (x#xs) 0;
!!i. P [] (Suc i);
!!x xs i. P xs i ==> P (x#xs) (Suc i) []
==> P xs i
by (induct xs arbitrary: i) (case-tac x, auto)+

lemma extend-monotone-i [rule-format]:
i < length alts —>
length alts > 0 —>
x ∉ set (snd (extractP (fst (alts ! i)))) —>
E x = extend E (snd (extractP (fst (alts ! i)))) vs x
apply (induct alts i rule: list-induct3, simp-all)
apply (rule impI)
apply (erule extend-monotone)
apply (rule impI, simp)
by (case-tac xs=[],simp-all)

lemma extend-prop1:
[] z ∈ dom (extend E xs vs); z ∉ set xs; length xs = length vs [] ==> z ∈ dom E
apply (simp add: extend-def)
apply (erule disjE)
apply (simp add: dom-def)
by simp

end

```

4 Primitive operators for SVM anf JVM

```

theory BinOP
imports Main
begin

Primitive operators

datatype PrimOp = Add | Subtract | Times | Divide | LessThan | LessEqual
| Equal | GreaterThan | GreaterEqual | NotEqual

end

```

5 State of the SVM

```
theory SVMState
```

```
imports SafeHeap .. / JVMSAFE / BinOP
begin
```

5.1 Sizes Table

This gives statically inferred information about the maximum number of heap cells, of heap regions, and of stack words needed by the compiled program.

```
types ncell = nat
      sizeRegions = nat
      sizeStackS = nat
```

```
types SizesTable = ncell × sizeRegions × sizeStackS
```

5.2 Stack

```
types
  CodeLabel = nat
  Continuation = Region × CodeLabel
```

```
datatype StackObject = Val Val | Reg Region | Cont Continuation
```

The SVM stack may contain normal form values, region arguments for functions or constructors, and continuations. A continuation (k_0, p) contains a jump p to a code sequence and an adjustment k_0 for the heap watermark k_0 of the SVM state.

```
types
  Stack = StackObject list
  StackOffset = nat
```

5.3 Code Store and SafeImp program

— Items are the components of environments and closures

```
datatype Item = ItemConst Val
            | ItemVar StackOffset
            | ItemRegSelf
```

— The SVM instruction repertory

```
datatype SafeInstr = DECREGION
                    | POPCONT
                    | PUSHCONT CodeLabel
                    | COPY
                    | REUSE
                    | CALL CodeLabel
                    | PRIMOP PrimOp
                    | MATCH StackOffset (CodeLabel list)
```

```

| MATCHD StackOffset (CodeLabel list)
| MATCHN StackOffset nat nat (CodeLabel list)
| BUILDENV (Item list)
| BUILDCLS Constructor (Item list) Item
| SLIDE nat nat

```

```

fun pushcont :: SafeInstr => bool
where
  pushcont (PUSHCONT p) = True
  | pushcont -           = False

fun popcont :: SafeInstr => bool
where
  popcont POPCONT = True
  | popcont -       = False

```

A Safe program, when translated into SafeImp, produces four components (1) a map from labels to pairs consisting of a code sequence and a function name. It is given as a list in order to be able to ‘traverse’ the map; (2) a map from function names to pairs consisting of a label and a list of labels. The first points to the starting sequence of the function and the second collects, for each function body, the code labels corresponding to continuations. The map is also given as a list; (3) the code label of the main expression; and (4) a constructor table collecting the properties of all the constructors.

types

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{CodeSequence} &= \text{SafeInstr list} \\
\text{SVMCode} &= (\text{CodeLabel} \times \text{CodeSequence} \times \text{FunName}) \text{ list} \\
\text{ContinuationMap} &= (\text{FunName} \times \text{CodeLabel} \times \text{CodeLabel list}) \text{ list} \\
\text{CodeStore} &= \text{SVMCode} \times \text{ContinuationMap} \\
\text{SafeImpProg} &= \text{CodeStore} \times \text{CodeLabel} \times \text{ConstructorTableType} \times \text{SizesTable}
\end{aligned}$$

5.4 Runtime State

types

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{PC} &= \text{CodeLabel} \times \text{nat} \\
\text{SVMState} &= \text{Heap} \times \text{Region} \times \text{PC} \times \text{Stack}
\end{aligned}$$

consts

$$\text{incrPC} :: \text{PC} \Rightarrow \text{PC}$$

primrec

$$\text{incrPC } (l,i) = (l,i+1)$$

This is the correspondence between primitive operators in CoreSafe and SafeImp.

constdefs

```

primops :: string → PrimOp

primops ≡ map-of [('+',Add),
                      ('-,Subtract),
                      ('*,Times),
                      ('%,Divide),
                      ('<,LessThan),
                      ('<=,LessEqual),
                      ('==,Equal),
                      ('>,GreaterThan),
                      ('>=,GreaterEqual)
                     ]

```

— Define primitive operations

consts

```
execOp :: [PrimOp,Val,Val] => Val
```

primrec

```

execOp Equal b1 b2 = BoolT (the-IntT(b1) = the-IntT(b2))
execOp NotEqual b1 b2 = BoolT (the-IntT(b1) ≠ the-IntT(b2))
execOp GreaterEqual b1 b2 = BoolT (the-IntT(b1) ≥ the-IntT(b2))
execOp GreaterThan b1 b2 = BoolT (the-IntT(b1) > the-IntT(b2))
execOp LessThan b1 b2 = BoolT (the-IntT(b1) < the-IntT(b2))
execOp LessEqual b1 b2 = BoolT (the-IntT(b1) ≤ the-IntT(b2))

execOp Add b1 b2 = IntT (the-IntT(b1) + the-IntT(b2))
execOp Subtract b1 b2 = IntT (the-IntT(b1) - the-IntT(b2))
execOp Times b1 b2 = IntT (the-IntT(b1) * the-IntT(b2))
execOp Divide b1 b2 = IntT (the-IntT(b1) div the-IntT(b2))

```

end

6 Definitions of Upper Bounds and Least Upper Bounds

```

theory Lubs
imports Main
begin

```

Thanks to suggestions by James Margetson

definition

```
setle :: ['a set, 'a::ord] => bool (infixl *≤ 70) where
  S *≤ x = (ALL y: S. y ≤ x)
```

definition

```
setge :: ['a::ord, 'a set] => bool (infixl ≤=* 70) where
```

$x <= S = (\text{ALL } y: S. x \leq y)$

definition

$\text{leastP} :: [a \Rightarrow \text{bool}, a::\text{ord}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{leastP } P x = (P x \& x <= \text{Collect } P)$

definition

$\text{isUb} :: [a \text{ set}, a \text{ set}, a::\text{ord}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{isUb } R S x = (S * \leq x \& x: R)$

definition

$\text{isLub} :: [a \text{ set}, a \text{ set}, a::\text{ord}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{isLub } R S x = \text{leastP } (\text{isUb } R S) x$

definition

$\text{ubs} :: [a \text{ set}, a::\text{ord set}] \Rightarrow a \text{ set}$ **where**
 $\text{ubs } R S = \text{Collect } (\text{isUb } R S)$

6.1 Rules for the Relations $* \leq$ and $<=$

lemma $\text{setleI}: \text{ALL } y: S. y \leq x \implies S * \leq x$
by (*simp add: setle-def*)

lemma $\text{setleD}: [| S * \leq x; y: S |] \implies y \leq x$
by (*simp add: setle-def*)

lemma $\text{setgeI}: \text{ALL } y: S. x \leq y \implies x <= S$
by (*simp add: setge-def*)

lemma $\text{setgeD}: [| x <= S; y: S |] \implies x \leq y$
by (*simp add: setge-def*)

6.2 Rules about the Operators leastP , ub and lub

lemma $\text{leastPD1}: \text{leastP } P x \implies P x$
by (*simp add: leastP-def*)

lemma $\text{leastPD2}: \text{leastP } P x \implies x <= \text{Collect } P$
by (*simp add: leastP-def*)

lemma $\text{leastPD3}: [| \text{leastP } P x; y: \text{Collect } P |] \implies x \leq y$
by (*blast dest!: leastPD2 setgeD*)

lemma $\text{isLubD1}: \text{isLub } R S x \implies S * \leq x$
by (*simp add: isLub-def isUb-def leastP-def*)

lemma $\text{isLubD1a}: \text{isLub } R S x \implies x: R$
by (*simp add: isLub-def isUb-def leastP-def*)

lemma $\text{isLub-isUb}: \text{isLub } R S x \implies \text{isUb } R S x$

```

apply (simp add: isUb-def)
apply (blast dest: isLubD1 isLubD1a)
done

lemma isLubD2: [| isLub R S x; y : S |] ==> y <= x
by (blast dest!: isLubD1 settleD)

lemma isLubD3: isLub R S x ==> leastP(isUb R S) x
by (simp add: isLub-def)

lemma isLubI1: leastP(isUb R S) x ==> isLub R S x
by (simp add: isLub-def)

lemma isLubI2: [| isUb R S x; x <== Collect (isUb R S) |] ==> isLub R S x
by (simp add: isLub-def leastP-def)

lemma isUbD: [| isUb R S x; y : S |] ==> y <= x
by (simp add: isUb-def settle-def)

lemma isUbD2: isUb R S x ==> S *<= x
by (simp add: isUb-def)

lemma isUbD2a: isUb R S x ==> x: R
by (simp add: isUb-def)

lemma isUbI: [| S *<= x; x: R |] ==> isUb R S x
by (simp add: isUb-def)

lemma isLub-le-isUb: [| isLub R S x; isUb R S y |] ==> x <= y
apply (simp add: isLub-def)
apply (blast intro!: leastPD3)
done

lemma isLub-ubs: isLub R S x ==> x <== ubs R S
apply (simp add: ubs-def isLub-def)
apply (erule leastPD2)
done

end

```

7 The Greatest Common Divisor

```

theory GCD
imports Main
begin

```

See [?].

7.1 Specification of GCD on nats

definition

```
is-gcd :: nat ⇒ nat ⇒ nat ⇒ bool
where — gcd as a relation
is-gcd p m n ↔ p dvd m ∧ p dvd n ∧
(∀ d. d dvd m → d dvd n → d dvd p)
```

Uniqueness

```
lemma is-gcd-unique: is-gcd m a b ⇒ is-gcd n a b ⇒ m = n
by (simp add: is-gcd-def) (blast intro: dvd-anti-sym)
```

Connection to divides relation

```
lemma is-gcd-dvd: is-gcd m a b ⇒ k dvd a ⇒ k dvd b ⇒ k dvd m
by (auto simp add: is-gcd-def)
```

Commutativity

```
lemma is-gcd-commute: is-gcd k m n = is-gcd k n m
by (auto simp add: is-gcd-def)
```

7.2 GCD on nat by Euclid's algorithm

fun

```
gcd :: nat × nat => nat
where
gcd (m, n) = (if n = 0 then m else gcd (n, m mod n))
```

lemma gcd-induct:

```
fixes m n :: nat
assumes ⋀m. P m 0
and ⋀m n. 0 < n ⇒ P n (m mod n) ⇒ P m n
shows P m n
apply (rule gcd.induct [of split P (m, n), unfolded Product-Type.split])
apply (case-tac n = 0)
apply simp-all
using assms apply simp-all
done
```

```
lemma gcd-0 [simp]: gcd (m, 0) = m
by simp
```

```
lemma gcd-0-left [simp]: gcd (0, m) = m
by simp
```

```
lemma gcd-non-0: n > 0 ⇒ gcd (m, n) = gcd (n, m mod n)
by simp
```

```
lemma gcd-1 [simp]: gcd (m, Suc 0) = 1
by simp
```

```
declare gcd.simps [simp del]
```

$\text{gcd}(m, n)$ divides m and n . The conjunctions don't seem provable separately.

```
lemma gcd-dvd1 [iff]: gcd(m, n) dvd m
  and gcd-dvd2 [iff]: gcd(m, n) dvd n
  apply (induct m n rule: gcd-induct)
    apply (simp-all add: gcd-non-0)
  apply (blast dest: dvd-mod-imp-dvd)
  done
```

Maximality: for all m, n, k naturals, if k divides m and k divides n then k divides $\text{gcd}(m, n)$.

```
lemma gcd-greatest: k dvd m ==> k dvd n ==> k dvd gcd(m, n)
  by (induct m n rule: gcd-induct) (simp-all add: gcd-non-0 dvd-mod)
```

Function gcd yields the Greatest Common Divisor.

```
lemma is-gcd: is-gcd (gcd(m, n)) m n
  by (simp add: is-gcd-def gcd-greatest)
```

7.3 Derived laws for GCD

```
lemma gcd-greatest-iff [iff]: k dvd gcd(m, n)  $\longleftrightarrow$  k dvd m  $\wedge$  k dvd n
  by (blast intro!: gcd-greatest intro: dvd-trans)
```

```
lemma gcd-zero: gcd(m, n) = 0  $\longleftrightarrow$  m = 0  $\wedge$  n = 0
  by (simp only: dvd-0-left-iff [symmetric] gcd-greatest-iff)
```

```
lemma gcd-commute: gcd(m, n) = gcd(n, m)
  apply (rule is-gcd-unique)
  apply (rule is-gcd)
  apply (subst is-gcd-commute)
  apply (simp add: is-gcd)
  done
```

```
lemma gcd-assoc: gcd(gcd(k, m), n) = gcd(k, gcd(m, n))
  apply (rule is-gcd-unique)
  apply (rule is-gcd)
  apply (simp add: is-gcd-def)
  apply (blast intro: dvd-trans)
  done
```

```
lemma gcd-1-left [simp]: gcd(Suc 0, m) = 1
  by (simp add: gcd-commute)
```

Multiplication laws

```
lemma gcd-mult-distrib2: k * gcd(m, n) = gcd(k * m, k * n)
```

— [?, page 27]

```

apply (induct m n rule: gcd-induct)
apply simp
apply (case-tac k = 0)
apply (simp-all add: mod-geq gcd-non-0 mod-mult-distrib2)
done

lemma gcd-mult [simp]:  $\text{gcd}(k, k * n) = k$ 
apply (rule gcd-mult-distrib2 [of k 1 n, simplified, symmetric])
done

lemma gcd-self [simp]:  $\text{gcd}(k, k) = k$ 
apply (rule gcd-mult [of k 1, simplified])
done

lemma relprime-dvd-mult:  $\text{gcd}(k, n) = 1 \implies k \text{ dvd } m * n \implies k \text{ dvd } m$ 
apply (insert gcd-mult-distrib2 [of m k n])
apply simp
apply (erule-tac t = m in ssubst)
apply simp
done

lemma relprime-dvd-mult-iff:  $\text{gcd}(k, n) = 1 \implies (k \text{ dvd } m * n) = (k \text{ dvd } m)$ 
apply (blast intro: relprime-dvd-mult dvd-trans)
done

lemma gcd-mult-cancel:  $\text{gcd}(k, n) = 1 \implies \text{gcd}(k * m, n) = \text{gcd}(m, n)$ 
apply (rule dvd-anti-sym)
apply (rule gcd-greatest)
apply (rule-tac n = k in relprime-dvd-mult)
apply (simp add: gcd-assoc)
apply (simp add: gcd-commute)
apply (simp-all add: mult-commute)
apply (blast intro: dvd-trans)
done
```

Addition laws

```

lemma gcd-add1 [simp]:  $\text{gcd}(m + n, n) = \text{gcd}(m, n)$ 
apply (case-tac n = 0)
apply (simp-all add: gcd-non-0)
done

lemma gcd-add2 [simp]:  $\text{gcd}(m, m + n) = \text{gcd}(m, n)$ 
proof –
  have  $\text{gcd}(m, m + n) = \text{gcd}(m + n, m)$  by (rule gcd-commute)
  also have ... =  $\text{gcd}(n + m, m)$  by (simp add: add-commute)
  also have ... =  $\text{gcd}(n, m)$  by simp
  also have ... =  $\text{gcd}(m, n)$  by (rule gcd-commute)
  finally show ?thesis .
```

qed

```
lemma gcd-add2' [simp]: gcd (m, n + m) = gcd (m, n)
  apply (subst add-commute)
  apply (rule gcd-add2)
  done
```

```
lemma gcd-add-mult: gcd (m, k * m + n) = gcd (m, n)
  by (induct k) (simp-all add: add-assoc)
```

```
lemma gcd-dvd-prod: gcd (m, n) dvd m * n
  using mult-dvd-mono [of 1] by auto
```

Division by gcd yields rrelatively primes.

```
lemma div-gcd-relprime:
  assumes nz: a ≠ 0 ∨ b ≠ 0
  shows gcd (a div gcd(a,b), b div gcd(a,b)) = 1
proof -
  let ?g = gcd (a, b)
  let ?a' = a div ?g
  let ?b' = b div ?g
  let ?g' = gcd (?a', ?b')
  have dvdg: ?g dvd a ?g dvd b by simp-all
  have dvdg': ?g' dvd ?a' ?g' dvd ?b' by simp-all
  from dvdg dvdg' obtain ka kb ka' kb' where
    kab: a = ?g * ka b = ?g * kb ?a' = ?g' * ka' ?b' = ?g' * kb'
    unfolding dvd-def by blast
  then have ?g * ?a' = (?g * ?g') * ka' ?g * ?b' = (?g * ?g') * kb' by simp-all
  then have dvdgg':?g * ?g' dvd a ?g* ?g' dvd b
    by (auto simp add: dvd-mult-div-cancel [OF dvdg(1)]
      dvd-mult-div-cancel [OF dvdg(2)] dvd-def)
  have ?g ≠ 0 using nz by (simp add: gcd-zero)
  then have gp: ?g > 0 by simp
  from gcd-greatest [OF dvdgg'] have ?g * ?g' dvd ?g .
  with dvd-mult-cancel1 [OF gp] show ?g' = 1 by simp
qed
```

7.4 LCM defined by GCD

definition

$lcm :: nat \times nat \Rightarrow nat$

where

$lcm = (\lambda(m, n). m * n \text{ div } gcd (m, n))$

```
lemma lcm-def:
  lcm (m, n) = m * n div gcd (m, n)
  unfolding lcm-def by simp
```

```
lemma prod-gcd-lcm:
```

```

 $m * n = gcd(m, n) * lcm(m, n)$ 
unfolding lcm-def by (simp add: dvd-mult-div-cancel [OF gcd-dvd-prod])

lemma lcm-0 [simp]:  $lcm(m, 0) = 0$ 
unfolding lcm-def by simp

lemma lcm-1 [simp]:  $lcm(m, 1) = m$ 
unfolding lcm-def by simp

lemma lcm-0-left [simp]:  $lcm(0, n) = 0$ 
unfolding lcm-def by simp

lemma lcm-1-left [simp]:  $lcm(1, m) = m$ 
unfolding lcm-def by simp

lemma dvd-pos:
fixes n m :: nat
assumes n > 0 and m dvd n
shows m > 0
using assms by (cases m) auto

lemma lcm-least:
assumes m dvd k and n dvd k
shows lcm(m, n) dvd k
proof (cases k)
case 0 then show ?thesis by auto
next
case (Suc k) then have pos-k: k > 0 by auto
from assms dvd-pos [OF this] have pos-mn: m > 0 n > 0 by auto
with gcd-zero [of m n] have pos-gcd: gcd(m, n) > 0 by simp
from assms obtain p where k-m: k = m * p using dvd-def by blast
from assms obtain q where k-n: k = n * q using dvd-def by blast
from pos-k k-m have pos-p: p > 0 by auto
from pos-k k-n have pos-q: q > 0 by auto
have k * k * gcd(q, p) = k * gcd(k * q, k * p)
by (simp add: mult-ac gcd-mult-distrib2)
also have ... = k * gcd(m * p * q, n * q * p)
by (simp add: k-m [symmetric] k-n [symmetric])
also have ... = k * p * q * gcd(m, n)
by (simp add: mult-ac gcd-mult-distrib2)
finally have (m * p) * (n * q) * gcd(q, p) = k * p * q * gcd(m, n)
by (simp only: k-m [symmetric] k-n [symmetric])
then have p * q * m * n * gcd(q, p) = p * q * k * gcd(m, n)
by (simp add: mult-ac)
with pos-p pos-q have m * n * gcd(q, p) = k * gcd(m, n)
by simp
with prod-gcd-lcm [of m n]
have lcm(m, n) * gcd(q, p) * gcd(m, n) = k * gcd(m, n)
by (simp add: mult-ac)

```

```

with pos-gcd have lcm (m, n) * gcd (q, p) = k by simp
then show ?thesis using dvd-def by auto
qed

lemma lcm-dvd1 [iff]:
  m dvd lcm (m, n)
proof (cases m)
  case 0 then show ?thesis by simp
next
  case (Suc -)
    then have mpos: m > 0 by simp
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases n)
      case 0 then show ?thesis by simp
    next
      case (Suc -)
        then have npos: n > 0 by simp
        have gcd (m, n) dvd n by simp
        then obtain k where n = gcd (m, n) * k using dvd-def by auto
        then have m * n div gcd (m, n) = m * (gcd (m, n) * k) div gcd (m, n) by
          (simp add: mult-ac)
        also have ... = m * k using mpos npos gcd-zero by simp
        finally show ?thesis by (simp add: lcm-def)
    qed
  qed

lemma lcm-dvd2 [iff]:
  n dvd lcm (m, n)
proof (cases n)
  case 0 then show ?thesis by simp
next
  case (Suc -)
    then have npos: n > 0 by simp
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases m)
      case 0 then show ?thesis by simp
    next
      case (Suc -)
        then have mpos: m > 0 by simp
        have gcd (m, n) dvd m by simp
        then obtain k where m = gcd (m, n) * k using dvd-def by auto
        then have m * n div gcd (m, n) = (gcd (m, n) * k) * n div gcd (m, n) by
          (simp add: mult-ac)
        also have ... = n * k using mpos npos gcd-zero by simp
        finally show ?thesis by (simp add: lcm-def)
    qed
  qed

```

7.5 GCD and LCM on integers

definition

```
igcd :: int ⇒ int ⇒ int where
  igcd i j = int (gcd (nat (abs i), nat (abs j)))
```

lemma igcd-dvd1 [simp]: $\text{igcd } i \ j \ \text{dvd } i$
by (simp add: igcd-def int-dvd-iff)

lemma igcd-dvd2 [simp]: $\text{igcd } i \ j \ \text{dvd } j$
by (simp add: igcd-def int-dvd-iff)

lemma igcd-pos: $\text{igcd } i \ j \geq 0$
by (simp add: igcd-def)

lemma igcd0 [simp]: $(\text{igcd } i \ j = 0) = (i = 0 \wedge j = 0)$
by (simp add: igcd-def gcd-zero) arith

lemma igcd-commute: $\text{igcd } i \ j = \text{igcd } j \ i$
unfolding igcd-def **by** (simp add: gcd-commute)

lemma igcd-neg1 [simp]: $\text{igcd } (-i) \ j = \text{igcd } i \ j$
unfolding igcd-def **by** simp

lemma igcd-neg2 [simp]: $\text{igcd } i \ (-j) = \text{igcd } i \ j$
unfolding igcd-def **by** simp

lemma zrelprime-dvd-mult: $\text{igcd } i \ j = 1 \implies i \ \text{dvd } k * j \implies i \ \text{dvd } k$
unfolding igcd-def

proof –

assume $\text{int} (\text{gcd} (\text{nat} |i|, \text{nat} |j|)) = 1 \ i \ \text{dvd } k * j$

then have $g: \text{gcd} (\text{nat} |i|, \text{nat} |j|) = 1$ **by** simp

from $\langle i \ \text{dvd } k * j \rangle$ obtain h **where** $h: k * j = i * h$ **unfolding** dvd-def **by** blast
have $th: \text{nat} |i| \ \text{dvd } \text{nat} |k| * \text{nat} |j|$

unfolding dvd-def

by (rule-tac $x = \text{nat} |h|$ **in** exI, simp add: h nat-abs-mult-distrib [symmetric])
from relprime-dvd-mult [OF g th] obtain h' **where** $h': \text{nat} |k| = \text{nat} |i| * h'$

unfolding dvd-def **by** blast

from h' have $\text{int} (\text{nat} |k|) = \text{int} (\text{nat} |i| * h')$ **by** simp

then have $|k| = |i| * \text{int} h'$ **by** (simp add: int-mult)

then show ?thesis

apply (subst zdvd-abs1 [symmetric])

apply (subst zdvd-abs2 [symmetric])

apply (unfold dvd-def)

apply (rule-tac $x = \text{int} h'$ **in** exI, simp)

done

qed

lemma int-nat-abs: $\text{int} (\text{nat} (\text{abs } x)) = \text{abs } x$ **by** arith

```

lemma igcd-greatest:
  assumes k dvd m and k dvd n
  shows k dvd igcd m n
proof -
  let ?k' = nat |k|
  let ?m' = nat |m|
  let ?n' = nat |n|
  from ⟨k dvd m⟩ and ⟨k dvd n⟩ have dvd': ?k' dvd ?m' ?k' dvd ?n'
    unfolding zdvd-int by (simp-all only: int-nat-abs zdvd-abs1 zdvd-abs2)
  from gcd-greatest [OF dvd'] have int (nat |k|) dvd igcd m n
    unfolding igcd-def by (simp only: zdvd-int)
  then have |k| dvd igcd m n by (simp only: int-nat-abs)
  then show k dvd igcd m n by (simp add: zdvd-abs1)
qed

lemma div-igcd-relprime:
  assumes nz: a ≠ 0 ∨ b ≠ 0
  shows igcd (a div (igcd a b)) (b div (igcd a b)) = 1
proof -
  from nz have nz': nat |a| ≠ 0 ∨ nat |b| ≠ 0 by arith
  let ?g = igcd a b
  let ?a' = a div ?g
  let ?b' = b div ?g
  let ?g' = igcd ?a' ?b'
  have dvdg: ?g dvd a ?g dvd b by (simp-all add: igcd-dvd1 igcd-dvd2)
  have dvdg': ?g' dvd ?a' ?g' dvd ?b' by (simp-all add: igcd-dvd1 igcd-dvd2)
  from dvdg dvdg' obtain ka kb ka' kb' where
    kab: a = ?g*ka b = ?g*kb ?a' = ?g'*ka' ?b' = ?g'*kb'
    unfolding dvd-def by blast
  then have ?g* ?a' = (?g * ?g') * ka' ?g* ?b' = (?g * ?g') * kb' by simp-all
  then have dvdgg': ?g * ?g' dvd a ?g* ?g' dvd b
    by (auto simp add: zdvd-mult-div-cancel [OF dvdg(1)]
      zdvd-mult-div-cancel [OF dvdg(2)] dvd-def)
  have ?g ≠ 0 using nz by simp
  then have gp: ?g ≠ 0 using igcd-pos[where i=a and j=b] by arith
  from igcd-greatest [OF dvdgg'] have ?g * ?g' dvd ?g .
  with zdvd-mult-cancel1 [OF gp] have |?g'| = 1 by simp
  with igcd-pos show ?g' = 1 by simp
qed

definition ilcm = (λi j. int (lcm(nat(abs i),nat(abs j)))))

lemma dvd-ilcm-self1 [simp]: i dvd ilcm i j
by (simp add:ilcm-def dvd-int-iff)

lemma dvd-ilcm-self2 [simp]: j dvd ilcm i j
by (simp add:ilcm-def dvd-int-iff)

```

```

lemma dvd-imp-dvd-ilcm1:
  assumes k dvd i shows k dvd (ilcm i j)
  proof -
    have nat(abs k) dvd nat(abs i) using ⟨k dvd i⟩
      by(simp add:int-dvd-iff[symmetric] dvd-int-iff[symmetric] zdvd-abs1)
    thus ?thesis by(simp add:ilcm-def dvd-int-iff)(blast intro: dvd-trans)
  qed

```

```

lemma dvd-imp-dvd-ilcm2:
  assumes k dvd j shows k dvd (ilcm i j)
  proof -
    have nat(abs k) dvd nat(abs j) using ⟨k dvd j⟩
      by(simp add:int-dvd-iff[symmetric] dvd-int-iff[symmetric] zdvd-abs1)
    thus ?thesis by(simp add:ilcm-def dvd-int-iff)(blast intro: dvd-trans)
  qed

```

```

lemma zdvd-self-abs1: (d::int) dvd (abs d)
by (case-tac d <0, simp-all)

```

```

lemma zdvd-self-abs2: (abs (d::int)) dvd d
by (case-tac d<0, simp-all)

```

```

lemma lcm-pos:
  assumes mpos: m > 0
  and npos: n>0
  shows lcm (m,n) > 0
  proof(rule ccontr, simp add: lcm-def gcd-zero)
  assume h:m*n div gcd(m,n) = 0
  from mpos npos have gcd (m,n) ≠ 0 using gcd-zero by simp
  hence gcdp: gcd(m,n) > 0 by simp
  with h
  have m*n < gcd(m,n)
    by (cases m * n < gcd (m, n)) (auto simp add: div-if[OF gcdp, where m=m*n])
  moreover
  have gcd(m,n) dvd m by simp
    with mpos dvd-imp-le have t1:gcd(m,n) ≤ m by simp
    with npos have t1:gcd(m,n)*n ≤ m*n by simp
    have gcd(m,n) ≤ gcd(m,n)*n using npos by simp
    with t1 have gcd(m,n) ≤ m*n by arith
  ultimately show False by simp
  qed

```

```

lemma ilcm-pos:
  assumes anz: a ≠ 0
  and bnz: b ≠ 0
  shows 0 < ilcm a b

```

```

proof-
  let ?na = nat (abs a)
  let ?nb = nat (abs b)
  have nap: ?na >0 using anz by simp
  have nbp: ?nb >0 using bnz by simp
  have 0 < lcm (?na,?nb) by (rule lcm-pos[OF nap nbp])
  thus ?thesis by (simp add: ilcm-def)
qed

end

```

8 Abstract rational numbers

```

theory Abstract-Rat
imports GCD
begin

types Num = int × int

abbreviation
  Num0-syn :: Num (0N)
where 0N ≡ (0, 0)

abbreviation
  Numi-syn :: int ⇒ Num (-N)
where iN ≡ (i, 1)

definition
  isnormNum :: Num ⇒ bool
where
  isnormNum = (λ(a,b). (if a = 0 then b = 0 else b > 0 ∧ igcd a b = 1))

definition
  normNum :: Num ⇒ Num
where
  normNum = (λ(a,b). (if a=0 ∨ b = 0 then (0,0) else
    (let g = igcd a b
      in if b > 0 then (a div g, b div g) else (-(a div g), -(b div g)))))

lemma normNum-isnormNum [simp]: isnormNum (normNum x)
proof -
  have ∃ a b. x = (a,b) by auto
  then obtain a b where x[simp]: x = (a,b) by blast
  {assume a=0 ∨ b = 0 hence ?thesis by (simp add: normNum-def isnormNum-def) }

moreover
{assume anz: a ≠ 0 and bnz: b ≠ 0
 let ?g = igcd a b

```

```

let ?a' = a div ?g
let ?b' = b div ?g
let ?g' = igcd ?a' ?b'
from anz bnz have ?g ≠ 0 by simp with igcd-pos[of a b]
have gpos: ?g > 0 by arith
have gdvd: ?g dvd a ?g dvd b by (simp-all add: igcd-dvd1 igcd-dvd2)
from zdvd-mult-div-cancel[OF gdvd(1)] zdvd-mult-div-cancel[OF gdvd(2)]
anz bnz
have nz':?a' ≠ 0 ?b' ≠ 0
by – (rule notI,simp add:igcd-def)+
from anz bnz have stupid: a ≠ 0 ∨ b ≠ 0 by blast
from div-igcd-relprime[OF stupid] have gp1: ?g' = 1 .
from bnz have b < 0 ∨ b > 0 by arith
moreover
{assume b: b > 0
from pos-imp-zdiv-nonneg-iff[OF gpos] b
have ?b' ≥ 0 by simp
with nz' have b': ?b' > 0 by simp
from b b' anz bnz nz' gp1 have ?thesis
by (simp add: isnormNum-def normNum-def Let-def split-def fst-conv
snd-conv)}
moreover {assume b: b < 0
{assume b': ?b' ≥ 0
from gpos have th: ?g ≥ 0 by arith
from mult-nonneg-nonneg[OF th b'] zdvd-mult-div-cancel[OF gdvd(2)]
have False using b by simp }
hence b': ?b' < 0 by (presburger add: linorder-not-le[symmetric])
from anz bnz nz' b b' gp1 have ?thesis
by (simp add: isnormNum-def normNum-def Let-def split-def fst-conv
snd-conv)}
ultimately have ?thesis by blast
}
ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed

```

Arithmetic over Num

definition

$Nadd :: Num \Rightarrow Num \Rightarrow Num$ (**infixl** $+_N$ 60)

where

$$Nadd = (\lambda(a,b) (a',b'). if a = 0 \vee b = 0 then normNum(a',b') \\ else if a'=0 \vee b' = 0 then normNum(a,b) \\ else normNum(a*b' + b*a', b*b'))$$

definition

$Nmul :: Num \Rightarrow Num \Rightarrow Num$ (**infixl** $*_N$ 60)

where

$$Nmul = (\lambda(a,b) (a',b'). let g = igcd (a*a') (b*b') \\ in (a*a' div g, b*b' div g))$$

```

definition
   $Nneg :: Num \Rightarrow Num (\sim_N)$ 
where
   $Nneg \equiv (\lambda(a,b). (-a,b))$ 

definition
   $Nsub :: Num \Rightarrow Num \Rightarrow Num (\text{infixl } -_N 60)$ 
where
   $Nsub = (\lambda a b. a +_N \sim_N b)$ 

definition
   $Ninv :: Num \Rightarrow Num$ 
where
   $Ninv \equiv \lambda(a,b). \text{if } a < 0 \text{ then } (-b, |a|) \text{ else } (b,a)$ 

definition
   $Ndiv :: Num \Rightarrow Num \Rightarrow Num (\text{infixl } \div_N 60)$ 
where
   $Ndiv \equiv \lambda a b. a *_N Ninv b$ 

lemma  $Nneg\text{-normN}[simp]: isnormNum x \implies isnormNum (\sim_N x)$ 
  by (simp add: isnormNum-def Nneg-def split-def)
lemma  $Nadd\text{-normN}[simp]: isnormNum (x +_N y)$ 
  by (simp add: Nadd-def split-def)
lemma  $Nsub\text{-normN}[simp]: [| isnormNum y |] \implies isnormNum (x -_N y)$ 
  by (simp add: Nsub-def split-def)
lemma  $Nmul\text{-normN}[simp]: \text{assumes } xn:\text{isnormNum } x \text{ and } yn:\text{isnormNum } y$ 
  shows  $\text{isnormNum } (x *_N y)$ 
proof-
  have  $\exists a b. x = (a,b) \text{ and } \exists a' b'. y = (a',b') \text{ by auto}$ 
  then obtain  $a b a' b'$  where  $ab: x = (a,b) \text{ and } ab': y = (a',b') \text{ by blast}$ 
  {assume  $a = 0$ 
    hence ?thesis using xn ab ab'
    by (simp add: igcd-def isnormNum-def Let-def Nmul-def split-def)}
  moreover
  {assume  $a' = 0$ 
    hence ?thesis using yn ab ab'
    by (simp add: igcd-def isnormNum-def Let-def Nmul-def split-def)}
  moreover
  {assume  $a: a \neq 0 \text{ and } a': a' \neq 0$ 
    hence  $bp: b > 0 \text{ and } b' > 0$  using xn yn ab ab' by (simp-all add: isnormNum-def)
    from mult-pos-pos[OF bp] have  $x *_N y = normNum (a*a', b*b')$ 
    using ab ab' a a' bp by (simp add: Nmul-def Let-def split-def normNum-def)
    hence ?thesis by simp}
  ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed

lemma  $Ninv\text{-normN}[simp]: isnormNum x \implies isnormNum (Ninv x)$ 
  by (simp add: Ninv-def isnormNum-def split-def)

```

(cases $\text{fst } x = 0$, auto simp add: igcd-commute)

lemma *isnormNum-int*[simp]:
 $\text{isnormNum } 0_N \text{ isnormNum } (1:\text{int})_N \ i \neq 0 \implies \text{isnormNum } i_N$
by (simp-all add: isnormNum-def igcd-def)

Relations over Num

definition

$Nlt0:: \text{Num} \Rightarrow \text{bool } (0 >_N)$

where

$Nlt0 = (\lambda(a,b). a < b)$

definition

$Nle0:: \text{Num} \Rightarrow \text{bool } (0 \geq_N)$

where

$Nle0 = (\lambda(a,b). a \leq b)$

definition

$Ngt0:: \text{Num} \Rightarrow \text{bool } (0 < N)$

where

$Ngt0 = (\lambda(a,b). a > b)$

definition

$Nge0:: \text{Num} \Rightarrow \text{bool } (0 \leq N)$

where

$Nge0 = (\lambda(a,b). a \geq b)$

definition

$Nlt :: \text{Num} \Rightarrow \text{Num} \Rightarrow \text{bool } (\text{infix } <_N 55)$

where

$Nlt = (\lambda a b. 0 >_N (a -_N b))$

definition

$Nle :: \text{Num} \Rightarrow \text{Num} \Rightarrow \text{bool } (\text{infix } \leq_N 55)$

where

$Nle = (\lambda a b. 0 \geq_N (a -_N b))$

definition

$INum = (\lambda(a,b). \text{of-int } a / \text{of-int } b)$

lemma *INum-int* [simp]: $INum i_N = ((\text{of-int } i) :: 'a::\text{field})$ $INum 0_N = (0 :: 'a::\text{field})$
by (simp-all add: INum-def)

lemma *isnormNum-unique*[simp]:

assumes $na: \text{isnormNum } x$ **and** $nb: \text{isnormNum } y$

shows $((INum x :: 'a::\{\text{ring-char-0}, \text{field}, \text{division-by-zero}\}) = INum y) = (x = y)$ (**is** ?lhs = ?rhs)

proof

have $\exists a b a' b'. x = (a,b) \wedge y = (a',b')$ **by** auto

```

then obtain a b a' b' where xy[simp]: x = (a,b) y=(a',b') by blast
assume H: ?lhs
{assume a = 0 ∨ b = 0 ∨ a' = 0 ∨ b' = 0 hence ?rhs
  using na nb H
  apply (simp add: INum-def split-def isnormNum-def)
  apply (cases a = 0, simp-all)
  apply (cases b = 0, simp-all)
  apply (cases a' = 0, simp-all)
  apply (cases a' = 0, simp-all add: of-int-eq-0-iff)
  done}
moreover
{ assume az: a ≠ 0 and bz: b ≠ 0 and a'z: a'≠0 and b'z: b'≠0
  from az bz a'z b'z na nb have pos: b > 0 b' > 0 by (simp-all add: isnormNum-def)
  from prems have eq:a * b' = a'*b
    by (simp add: INum-def eq-divide-eq divide-eq-eq of-int-mult[symmetric] del:
      of-int-mult)
  from prems have gcd1: igcd a b = 1 igcd b a = 1 igcd a' b' = 1 igcd b' a' =
  1
    by (simp-all add: isnormNum-def add: igcd-commute)
  from eq have raw-dvd: a dvd a'*b b dvd b'*a a' dvd a*b' b' dvd b*a'
    apply(unfold dvd-def)
    apply (rule-tac x=b' in exI, simp add: mult-ac)
    apply (rule-tac x=a' in exI, simp add: mult-ac)
    apply (rule-tac x=b in exI, simp add: mult-ac)
    apply (rule-tac x=a in exI, simp add: mult-ac)
    done
  from zdvd-dvd-eq[OF bz zrelprime-dvd-mult[OF gcd1(2) raw-dvd(2)]]
    zrelprime-dvd-mult[OF gcd1(4) raw-dvd(4)]]
  have eq1: b = b' using pos by simp-all
  with eq have a = a' using pos by simp
  with eq1 have ?rhs by simp}
ultimately show ?rhs by blast
next
  assume ?rhs thus ?lhs by simp
qed

```

```

lemma isnormNum0[simp]: isnormNum x ==> (INum x = (0::'a::{ring-char-0,
field,division-by-zero})) = (x = 0_N)
  unfolding INum-int(2)[symmetric]
  by (rule isnormNum-unique, simp-all)

lemma of-int-div-aux: d ~ 0 ==> ((of-int x)::'a::{field, ring-char-0}) / (of-int
d) =
  of-int (x div d) + (of-int (x mod d)) / ((of-int d)::'a)
proof -
  assume d ~ 0
  hence dz: of-int d ≠ (0::'a) by (simp add: of-int-eq-0-iff)
  let ?t = of-int (x div d) * ((of-int d)::'a) + of-int(x mod d)

```

```

let ?f =  $\lambda x. x / \text{of-int } d$ 
have  $x = (x \text{ div } d) * d + x \text{ mod } d$ 
  by auto
then have  $\text{eq}: \text{of-int } x = ?t$ 
  by (simp only: of-int-mult[symmetric] of-int-add [symmetric])
then have  $\text{of-int } x / \text{of-int } d = ?t / \text{of-int } d$ 
  using cong[OF refl[of ?f] eq] by simp
then show ?thesis by (simp add: add-divide-distrib ring-simps prems)
qed

```

```

lemma of-int-div:  $(d:\text{int}) \sim= 0 \implies d \text{ dvd } n \implies$ 
 $(\text{of-int}(n \text{ div } d) :: 'a :: \{\text{field}, \text{ring-char-0}\}) = \text{of-int } n / \text{of-int } d$ 
apply (frule of-int-div-aux [of d n, where ?'a = 'a])
apply simp
apply (simp add: zdvd-iff-zmod-eq-0)
done

```

```

lemma normNum[simp]:  $I\text{Num} (\text{normNum } x) = (I\text{Num } x :: 'a :: \{\text{ring-char-0}, \text{field},$ 
 $\text{division-by-zero}\})$ 
proof-
have  $\exists a b. x = (a,b)$  by auto
then obtain a b where  $x[\text{simp}]: x = (a,b)$  by blast
{assume a=0 ∨ b = 0 hence ?thesis
  by (simp add: INum-def normNum-def split-def Let-def)}
moreover
{assume a: a≠0 and b: b≠0
let ?g = igcd a b
from a b have g: ?g ≠ 0 by simp
from of-int-div[OF g, where ?'a = 'a]
have ?thesis by (auto simp add: INum-def normNum-def split-def Let-def)}
ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed

```

```

lemma INum-normNum-iff [code]:  $(I\text{Num } x :: 'a :: \{\text{field}, \text{division-by-zero}, \text{ring-char-0}\})$ 
 $= I\text{Num } y \longleftrightarrow \text{normNum } x = \text{normNum } y$  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
have  $\text{normNum } x = \text{normNum } y \longleftrightarrow (I\text{Num} (\text{normNum } x) :: 'a) = I\text{Num}$ 
 $(\text{normNum } y)$ 
  by (simp del: normNum)
also have ... = ?lhs by simp
finally show ?thesis by simp
qed

```

```

lemma Nadd[simp]:  $I\text{Num} (x +_N y) = I\text{Num } x + (I\text{Num } y :: 'a :: \{\text{ring-char-0}, \text{division-by-zero}, \text{field}\})$ 
proof-
let ?z = 0 :: 'a
have  $\exists a b. x = (a,b) \exists a' b'. y = (a',b')$  by auto
then obtain a b a' b' where  $x[\text{simp}]: x = (a,b)$ 

```

```

and  $y[\text{simp}]: y = (a', b')$  by blast
{assume  $a=0 \vee a'=0 \vee b=0 \vee b'=0$  hence ?thesis
  apply (cases  $a=0$ ,simp-all add: Nadd-def)
  apply (cases  $b=0$ ,simp-all add: INum-def)
  apply (cases  $a'=0$ ,simp-all)
  apply (cases  $b'=0$ ,simp-all)
  done }
moreover
{assume  $aa':a \neq 0 \ a' \neq 0$  and  $bb': b \neq 0 \ b' \neq 0$ 
  {assume  $z: a * b' + b * a' = 0$ 
    hence  $\text{of-int}(a * b' + b * a') / (\text{of-int } b * \text{of-int } b') = ?z$  by simp
    hence  $\text{of-int } b' * \text{of-int } a / (\text{of-int } b * \text{of-int } b') + \text{of-int } b * \text{of-int } a' / (\text{of-int } b * \text{of-int } b') = ?z$  by (simp add: add-divide-distrib)
    hence  $\text{th}: \text{of-int } a / \text{of-int } b + \text{of-int } a' / \text{of-int } b' = ?z$  using  $bb' aa'$  by simp
    from  $z aa' bb'$  have ?thesis
    by (simp add: th Nadd-def normNum-def INum-def split-def)}
  moreover {assume  $z: a * b' + b * a' \neq 0$ 
    let  $?g = \text{igcd}(a * b' + b * a') (b * b')$ 
    have  $gz: ?g \neq 0$  using  $z$  by simp
    have ?thesis using  $aa' bb' z gz$ 
       $\text{of-int-div}[\text{where } ?'a = 'a,$ 
       $\text{OF } gz \text{ igcd-dvd1}[\text{where } i=a * b' + b * a' \text{ and } j=b * b']]$ 
       $\text{of-int-div}[\text{where } ?'a = 'a,$ 
       $\text{OF } gz \text{ igcd-dvd2}[\text{where } i=a * b' + b * a' \text{ and } j=b * b']]$ 
    by (simp add: x y Nadd-def INum-def normNum-def Let-def add-divide-distrib)}
  ultimately have ?thesis using  $aa' bb'$ 
    by (simp add: Nadd-def INum-def normNum-def x y Let-def) }
  ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed

```

lemma $Nmul[\text{simp}]: \text{INum}(x *_N y) = \text{INum} x * (\text{INum } y :: 'a :: \{\text{ring-char-0}, \text{division-by-zero}, \text{field}\})$

```

proof-
let  $?z = 0 :: 'a$ 
have  $\exists a b. x = (a, b) \ \exists a' b'. y = (a', b')$  by auto
then obtain  $a b a' b'$  where  $x: x = (a, b)$  and  $y: y = (a', b')$  by blast
{assume  $a=0 \vee a'=0 \vee b=0 \vee b'=0$  hence ?thesis
  apply (cases  $a=0$ ,simp-all add: x y Nmul-def INum-def Let-def)
  apply (cases  $b=0$ ,simp-all)
  apply (cases  $a'=0$ ,simp-all)
  done }
moreover
{assume  $z: a \neq 0 \ a' \neq 0 \ b \neq 0 \ b' \neq 0$ 
  let  $?g=\text{igcd}(a*a') (b*b')$ 
  have  $gz: ?g \neq 0$  using  $z$  by simp
  from  $z$   $\text{of-int-div}[\text{where } ?'a = 'a, \text{OF } gz \text{ igcd-dvd1}[\text{where } i=a*a' \text{ and } j=b*b']]$ 
     $\text{of-int-div}[\text{where } ?'a = 'a, \text{OF } gz \text{ igcd-dvd2}[\text{where } i=a*a' \text{ and } j=b*b']]$ 

```

40

```

have ?thesis by (simp add: Nmul-def x y Let-def INum-def)}
ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed

```

```

lemma Nneg[simp]: INum ( $\sim_N$  x) =  $-$  (INum x ::'a:: field)
by (simp add: Nneg-def split-def INum-def)

```

```

lemma Nsub[simp]: shows INum (x  $-_N$  y) = INum x  $-$  (INum y :: 'a :: {ring-char-0,division-by-zero,field})
by (simp add: Nsub-def split-def)

```

```

lemma Ninv[simp]: INum (Ninv x) = (1 ::'a :: {division-by-zero,field}) / (INum
x)
by (simp add: Ninv-def INum-def split-def)

```

```

lemma Ndiv[simp]: INum (x  $\div_N$  y) = INum x / (INum y ::'a :: {ring-char-0,
division-by-zero,field}) by (simp add: Ndiv-def)

```

```

lemma Nlt0-iff[simp]: assumes nx: isnormNum x
shows ((INum x :: 'a :: {ring-char-0,division-by-zero,ordered-field}) < 0) = 0 >_N
x

```

proof-

```

have  $\exists a b. x = (a,b)$  by simp
then obtain a b where x[simp]:x = (a,b) by blast
{assume a = 0 hence ?thesis by (simp add: Nlt0-def INum-def) }
moreover
{assume a: a  $\neq$  0 hence b: (of-int b ::'a) > 0 using nx by (simp add: isnormNum-def)
from pos-divide-less-eq[OF b, where b=of-int a and a=0 ::'a]
have ?thesis by (simp add: Nlt0-def INum-def)}
ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed

```

```

lemma Nle0-iff[simp]:assumes nx: isnormNum x
shows ((INum x :: 'a :: {ring-char-0,division-by-zero,ordered-field})  $\leq$  0) = 0  $\geq_N$ 
x

```

proof-

```

have  $\exists a b. x = (a,b)$  by simp
then obtain a b where x[simp]:x = (a,b) by blast
{assume a = 0 hence ?thesis by (simp add: Nle0-def INum-def) }
moreover
{assume a: a  $\neq$  0 hence b: (of-int b :: 'a) > 0 using nx by (simp add: isnormNum-def)
from pos-divide-le-eq[OF b, where b=of-int a and a=0 ::'a]
have ?thesis by (simp add: Nle0-def INum-def)}
ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed

```

```

lemma Ngt0-iff[simp]:assumes nx: isnormNum x shows ((INum x :: 'a :: {ring-char-0,division-by-zero,order-
0}) = 0 <_N x

```

proof-

```

have  $\exists a b. x = (a,b)$  by simp

```

then obtain a b **where** $x[\text{simp}]:x = (a,b)$ **by** *blast*
{**assume** $a = 0$ **hence** $?thesis$ **by** (*simp add: Ngt0-def INum-def*) }
moreover
{**assume** $a: a \neq 0$ **hence** $b: (\text{of-int } b :: 'a) > 0$ **using** nx **by** (*simp add: isnormNum-def*)
 from *pos-less-divide-eq[OF b, where b=of-int a and a=0::'a]*
 have $?thesis$ **by** (*simp add: Ngt0-def INum-def*) }
ultimately show $?thesis$ **by** *blast*
qed
lemma *Nge0-iff*[simp]:**assumes** $nx: \text{isnormNum } x$
 shows $((\text{INum } x :: 'a :: \{\text{ring-char-0}, \text{division-by-zero}, \text{ordered-field}\}) \geq 0) = 0 \leq_N x$
proof-
 have $\exists a b. x = (a,b)$ **by** *simp*
 then obtain a b **where** $x[\text{simp}]:x = (a,b)$ **by** *blast*
{**assume** $a = 0$ **hence** $?thesis$ **by** (*simp add: Nge0-def INum-def*) }
moreover
{**assume** $a: a \neq 0$ **hence** $b: (\text{of-int } b :: 'a) > 0$ **using** nx **by** (*simp add: isnormNum-def*)
 from *pos-le-divide-eq[OF b, where b=of-int a and a=0::'a]*
 have $?thesis$ **by** (*simp add: Nge0-def INum-def*) }
ultimately show $?thesis$ **by** *blast*
qed
lemma *Nlt-iff*[simp]:**assumes** $nx: \text{isnormNum } x$ **and** $ny: \text{isnormNum } y$
 shows $((\text{INum } x :: 'a :: \{\text{ring-char-0}, \text{division-by-zero}, \text{ordered-field}\}) < \text{INum } y) = (x <_N y)$
proof-
 let $?z = 0 :: 'a$
 have $((\text{INum } x :: 'a) < \text{INum } y) = (\text{INum } (x -_N y) < ?z)$ **using** $nx ny$ **by** *simp*
 also have $\dots = (0 \geq_N (x -_N y))$ **using** *Nlt0-iff[OF Nsub-normN[OF ny]]* **by** *simp*
 finally show $?thesis$ **by** (*simp add: Nlt-def*)
qed
lemma *Nle-iff*[simp]:**assumes** $nx: \text{isnormNum } x$ **and** $ny: \text{isnormNum } y$
 shows $((\text{INum } x :: 'a :: \{\text{ring-char-0}, \text{division-by-zero}, \text{ordered-field}\}) \leq \text{INum } y) = (x \leq_N y)$
proof-
 have $((\text{INum } x :: 'a) \leq \text{INum } y) = (\text{INum } (x -_N y) \leq (0 :: 'a))$ **using** $nx ny$ **by** *simp*
 also have $\dots = (0 \geq_N (x -_N y))$ **using** *Nle0-iff[OF Nsub-normN[OF ny]]* **by** *simp*
 finally show $?thesis$ **by** (*simp add: Nle-def*)
qed
lemma *Nadd-commute*: $x +_N y = y +_N x$
proof-
 have $n: \text{isnormNum } (x +_N y) \text{ isnormNum } (y +_N x)$ **by** *simp-all*
 have $(\text{INum } (x +_N y) :: 'a :: \{\text{ring-char-0}, \text{division-by-zero}, \text{field}\}) = \text{INum } (y +_N x)$ **by** *simp*

```

with isnormNum-unique[OF n] show ?thesis by simp
qed

lemma[simp]: (0, b) +N y = normNum y (a, 0) +N y = normNum y
x +N (0, b) = normNum x x +N (a, 0) = normNum x
apply (simp add: Nadd-def split-def, simp add: Nadd-def split-def)
apply (subst Nadd-commute,simp add: Nadd-def split-def)
apply (subst Nadd-commute,simp add: Nadd-def split-def)
done

lemma normNum-nilpotent-aux[simp]: assumes nx: isnormNum x
shows normNum x = x
proof-
let ?a = normNum x
have n: isnormNum ?a by simp
have th:INum ?a = (INum x :: 'a :: {ring-char-0, division-by-zero,field}) by simp
with isnormNum-unique[OF n nx]
show ?thesis by simp
qed

lemma normNum-nilpotent[simp]: normNum (normNum x) = normNum x
by simp
lemma normNum0[simp]: normNum (0,b) = 0N normNum (a,0) = 0N
by (simp-all add: normNum-def)
lemma normNum-Nadd: normNum (x +N y) = x +N y by simp
lemma Nadd-normNum1[simp]: normNum x +N y = x +N y
proof-
have n: isnormNum (normNum x +N y) isnormNum (x +N y) by simp-all
have INum (normNum x +N y) = INum x + (INum y :: 'a :: {ring-char-0, division-by-zero,field}) by simp
also have ... = INum (x +N y) by simp
finally show ?thesis using isnormNum-unique[OF n] by simp
qed

lemma Nadd-normNum2[simp]: x +N normNum y = x +N y
proof-
have n: isnormNum (x +N normNum y) isnormNum (x +N y) by simp-all
have INum (x +N normNum y) = INum x + (INum y :: 'a :: {ring-char-0, division-by-zero,field}) by simp
also have ... = INum (x +N y) by simp
finally show ?thesis using isnormNum-unique[OF n] by simp
qed

lemma Nadd-assoc: x +N y +N z = x +N (y +N z)
proof-
have n: isnormNum (x +N y +N z) isnormNum (x +N (y +N z)) by simp-all
have INum (x +N y +N z) = (INum (x +N (y +N z))) :: 'a :: {ring-char-0, division-by-zero,field}) by simp
with isnormNum-unique[OF n] show ?thesis by simp
qed

```

```

lemma Nmul-commute: isnormNum x  $\implies$  isnormNum y  $\implies$   $x *_N y = y *_N x$ 
by (simp add: Nmul-def split-def Let-def igcd-commute mult-commute)

lemma Nmul-assoc: assumes nx: isnormNum x and ny:isnormNum y and nz:isnormNum z
shows  $x *_N y *_N z = x *_N (y *_N z)$ 
proof-
  from nx ny nz have n: isnormNum ( $x *_N y *_N z$ ) isnormNum ( $x *_N (y *_N z)$ )
    by simp-all
  have INum ( $x +_N y +_N z$ ) = (INum ( $x +_N (y +_N z)$ ) :: 'a :: {ring-char-0,
  division-by-zero,field}) by simp
  with isnormNum-unique[OF n] show ?thesis by simp
qed

lemma Nsub0: assumes x: isnormNum x and y:isnormNum y shows ( $x -_N y$ 
=  $0_N$ ) = ( $x = y$ )
proof-
  {fix h :: 'a :: {ring-char-0,division-by-zero,ordered-field}
    from isnormNum-unique[where ?'a = 'a, OF Nsub-normN[OF y], where
    y= $0_N$ ]
    have ( $x -_N y = 0_N$ ) = (INum ( $x -_N y$ ) = (INum  $0_N$  :: 'a)) by simp
    also have ... = (INum x = (INum y:: 'a)) by simp
    also have ... = ( $x = y$ ) using x y by simp
    finally show ?thesis .}
qed

lemma Nmul0[simp]: c *_N 0_N = 0_N 0_N *_N c = 0_N
by (simp-all add: Nmul-def Let-def split-def)

lemma Nmul-eq0[simp]: assumes nx:isnormNum x and ny: isnormNum y
shows ( $x*_N y = 0_N$ ) = ( $x = 0_N \vee y = 0_N$ )
proof-
  {fix h :: 'a :: {ring-char-0,division-by-zero,ordered-field}
    have  $\exists a b a' b'. x = (a,b) \wedge y = (a',b')$  by auto
    then obtain a b a' b' where xy[simp]:  $x = (a,b)$   $y = (a',b')$  by blast
    have n0: isnormNum  $0_N$  by simp
    show ?thesis using nx ny
      apply (simp only: isnormNum-unique[where ?'a = 'a, OF Nmul-normN[OF
      nx ny] n0, symmetric] Nmul[where ?'a = 'a])
      apply (simp add: INum-def split-def isnormNum-def fst-conv snd-conv)
      apply (cases a=0,simp-all)
      apply (cases a'=0,simp-all)
      done}
qed

lemma Nneg-Nneg[simp]:  $\sim_N (\sim_N c) = c$ 
by (simp add: Nneg-def split-def)

```

```

lemma Nmul1[simp]:
  isnormNum c ==> 1_N *_N c = c
  isnormNum c ==> c *_N 1_N = c
  apply (simp-all add: Nmul-def Let-def split-def isnormNum-def)
  by (cases fst c = 0, simp-all,cases c, simp-all)+

end

```

9 Rational numbers

```

theory Rational
imports Abstract-Rat
uses (rat-arith.ML)
begin

```

9.1 Rational numbers

9.1.1 Equivalence of fractions

definition

```

fraction :: (int × int) set where
  fraction = {x. snd x ≠ 0}

```

definition

```

ratrel :: ((int × int) × (int × int)) set where
  ratrel = {(x,y). snd x ≠ 0 ∧ snd y ≠ 0 ∧ fst x * snd y = fst y * snd x}

```

```

lemma fraction-iff [simp]: (x ∈ fraction) = (snd x ≠ 0)
by (simp add: fraction-def)

```

```

lemma ratrel-iff [simp]:
  ((x,y) ∈ ratrel) =
    (snd x ≠ 0 ∧ snd y ≠ 0 ∧ fst x * snd y = fst y * snd x)
by (simp add: ratrel-def)

```

```

lemma refl-ratrel: refl fraction ratrel
by (auto simp add: refl-def fraction-def ratrel-def)

```

```

lemma sym-ratrel: sym ratrel
by (simp add: ratrel-def sym-def)

```

```

lemma trans-ratrel-lemma:
  assumes 1: a * b' = a' * b
  assumes 2: a' * b'' = a'' * b'
  assumes 3: b' ≠ (0::int)
  shows a * b'' = a'' * b
proof –
  have b' * (a * b'') = b'' * (a * b') by simp
  also note 1

```

```

also have  $b'' * (a' * b) = b * (a' * b'')$  by simp
also note 2
also have  $b * (a'' * b') = b' * (a'' * b)$  by simp
finally have  $b' * (a * b'') = b' * (a'' * b)$  .
with 3 show  $a * b'' = a'' * b$  by simp
qed

lemma trans-ratrel: trans ratrel
by (auto simp add: trans-def elim: trans-ratrel-lemma)

lemma equiv-ratrel: equiv fraction ratrel
by (rule equiv.intro [OF refl-ratrel sym-ratrel trans-ratrel])

lemmas equiv-ratrel-iff [iff] = eq-equiv-class-iff [OF equiv-ratrel]

lemma equiv-ratrel-iff2:
[ $\text{snd } x \neq 0; \text{snd } y \neq 0$ ]
 $\implies (\text{ratrel} `` \{x\} = \text{ratrel} `` \{y\}) = ((x,y) \in \text{ratrel})$ 
by (rule eq-equiv-class-iff [OF equiv-ratrel], simp-all)

```

9.1.2 The type of rational numbers

```

typedef (Rat) rat = fraction//ratrel
proof
have  $(0,1) \in \text{fraction}$  by (simp add: fraction-def)
thus  $\text{ratrel} `` \{(0,1)\} \in \text{fraction} // \text{ratrel}$  by (rule quotientI)
qed

lemma ratrel-in-Rat [simp]:  $\text{snd } x \neq 0 \implies \text{ratrel} `` \{x\} \in \text{Rat}$ 
by (simp add: Rat-def quotientI)

declare Abs-Rat-inject [simp] Abs-Rat-inverse [simp]

```

definition

```

Fract :: int  $\Rightarrow$  int  $\Rightarrow$  rat where
[code func del]: Fract a b = Abs-Rat (ratrel `` {(a,b)})

```

```

lemma Fract-zero:
Fract k 0 = Fract l 0
by (simp add: Fract-def ratrel-def)

```

```

theorem Rat-cases [case-names Fract, cases type: rat]:
 $(\forall a b. q = \text{Fract } a b \implies b \neq 0 \implies C) \implies C$ 
by (cases q) (clarify simp add: Fract-def Rat-def fraction-def quotient-def)

```

```

theorem Rat-induct [case-names Fract, induct type: rat]:
 $(\forall a b. b \neq 0 \implies P (\text{Fract } a b)) \implies P q$ 
by (cases q) simp

```

9.1.3 Congruence lemmas

```

lemma add-congruent2:
  ( $\lambda x y. \text{ratrel}^{\text{“}}\{(fst x * snd y + fst y * snd x, snd x * snd y)\})$ 
   respects2 ratrel
apply (rule equiv-ratrel [THEN congruent2-commuteI])
apply (simp-all add: left-distrib)
done

lemma minus-congruent:
  ( $\lambda x. \text{ratrel}^{\text{“}}\{(- fst x, snd x)\})$  respects ratrel
by (simp add: congruent-def)

lemma mult-congruent2:
  ( $\lambda x y. \text{ratrel}^{\text{“}}\{(fst x * fst y, snd x * snd y)\})$  respects2 ratrel
by (rule equiv-ratrel [THEN congruent2-commuteI], simp-all)

lemma inverse-congruent:
  ( $\lambda x. \text{ratrel}^{\text{“}}\{\text{if } fst x = 0 \text{ then } (0, 1) \text{ else } (snd x, fst x)\})$  respects ratrel
by (auto simp add: congruent-def mult-commute)

lemma le-congruent2:
  ( $\lambda x y. \{(fst x * snd y) * (snd x * snd y) \leq (fst y * snd x) * (snd x * snd y)\})$ 
   respects2 ratrel
proof (clarsimp simp add: congruent2-def)
  fix a b a' b' c d c' d'::int
  assume neq:  $b \neq 0$   $b' \neq 0$   $d \neq 0$   $d' \neq 0$ 
  assume eq1:  $a * b' = a' * b$ 
  assume eq2:  $c * d' = c' * d$ 

  let ?le =  $\lambda a b c d. ((a * d) * (b * d) \leq (c * b) * (d * c))$ 
  {
    fix a b c d x :: int assume x:  $x \neq 0$ 
    have ?le a b c d = ?le (a * x) (b * x) c d
    proof -
      from x have  $0 < x * x$  by (auto simp add: zero-less-mult-iff)
      hence ?le a b c d =
        ( $((a * d) * (b * d)) * (x * x) \leq (c * b) * (d * c) * (x * x)$ )
        by (simp add: mult-le-cancel-right)
      also have ... = ?le (a * x) (b * x) c d
        by (simp add: mult-ac)
      finally show ?thesis .
    qed
  } note le-factor = this

  let ?D = b * d and ?D' = b' * d'
  from neq have D:  $?D \neq 0$  by simp
  from neq have ?D':  $?D' \neq 0$  by simp
  hence ?le a b c d = ?le (a * ?D') (b * ?D') c d
    by (rule le-factor)

```

```

also have ... = (( $a * b'$ ) * ?D * ?D' * d * d'  $\leq$  ( $c * d'$ ) * ?D * ?D' * b * b')
  by (simp add: mult-ac)
also have ... = (( $a' * b$ ) * ?D * ?D' * d * d'  $\leq$  ( $c' * d$ ) * ?D * ?D' * b * b')
  by (simp only: eq1 eq2)
also have ... = ?le ( $a' * ?D$ ) ( $b' * ?D$ ) c' d'
  by (simp add: mult-ac)
also from D have ... = ?le a' b' c' d'
  by (rule le-factor [symmetric])
finally show ?le a b c d = ?le a' b' c' d'.
qed

```

```

lemmas UN-ratrel = UN-equiv-class [OF equiv-ratrel]
lemmas UN-ratrel2 = UN-equiv-class2 [OF equiv-ratrel equiv-ratrel]

```

9.1.4 Standard operations on rational numbers

instance rat :: zero

Zero-rat-def: $0 == \text{Fract } 0 1 ..$

lemmas [code func del] = Zero-rat-def

instance rat :: one

One-rat-def: $1 == \text{Fract } 1 1 ..$

lemmas [code func del] = One-rat-def

instance rat :: plus

add-rat-def:

$q + r ==$
 $\text{Abs-Rat} (\bigcup x \in \text{Rep-Rat } q. \bigcup y \in \text{Rep-Rat } r.$
 $\text{ratrel}^{\text{def}} \{(fst x * snd y + fst y * snd x, snd x * snd y)\}) ..$

lemmas [code func del] = add-rat-def

instance rat :: minus

minus-rat-def:

$- q == \text{Abs-Rat} (\bigcup x \in \text{Rep-Rat } q. \text{ratrel}^{\text{def}} \{(- fst x, snd x)\})$
diff-rat-def: $q - r == q + - (r::\text{rat}) ..$

lemmas [code func del] = minus-rat-def diff-rat-def

instance rat :: times

mult-rat-def:

$q * r ==$
 $\text{Abs-Rat} (\bigcup x \in \text{Rep-Rat } q. \bigcup y \in \text{Rep-Rat } r.$
 $\text{ratrel}^{\text{def}} \{(fst x * fst y, snd x * snd y)\}) ..$

lemmas [code func del] = mult-rat-def

instance rat :: inverse

inverse-rat-def:

$\text{inverse } q ==$
 $\text{Abs-Rat} (\bigcup x \in \text{Rep-Rat } q.$
 $\text{ratrel}^{\text{def}} \{\text{if } fst x = 0 \text{ then } (0,1) \text{ else } (snd x, fst x)\})$

```

divide-rat-def: q / r ==> q * inverse (r::rat) ..
lemmas [code func del] = inverse-rat-def divide-rat-def

instance rat :: ord
le-rat-def:
q ≤ r ==> contents (⋃ x ∈ Rep-Rat q. ⋃ y ∈ Rep-Rat r.
{(fst x * snd y)*(snd x * snd y) ≤ (fst y * snd x)*(snd x * snd y)})}
less-rat-def: (z < (w::rat)) ==> (z ≤ w & z ≠ w) ..
lemmas [code func del] = le-rat-def less-rat-def

instance rat :: abs
abs-rat-def: |q| ==> if q < 0 then -q else (q::rat) ..

instance rat :: sgn
sgn-rat-def: sgn(q::rat) ==> (if q=0 then 0 else if 0<q then 1 else - 1) ..

instance rat :: power ..
primrec (rat)
rat-power-0: q ^ 0 = 1
rat-power-Suc: q ^ (Suc n) = (q::rat) * (q ^ n)

theorem eq-rat: b ≠ 0 ==> d ≠ 0 ==>
(Fract a b = Fract c d) = (a * d = c * b)
by (simp add: Fract-def)

theorem add-rat: b ≠ 0 ==> d ≠ 0 ==>
Fract a b + Fract c d = Fract (a * d + c * b) (b * d)
by (simp add: Fract-def add-rat-def add-congruent2 UN-ratrel2)

theorem minus-rat: b ≠ 0 ==> -(Fract a b) = Fract (-a) b
by (simp add: Fract-def minus-rat-def minus-congruent UN-ratrel)

theorem diff-rat: b ≠ 0 ==> d ≠ 0 ==>
Fract a b - Fract c d = Fract (a * d - c * b) (b * d)
by (simp add: diff-rat-def add-rat minus-rat)

theorem mult-rat: b ≠ 0 ==> d ≠ 0 ==>
Fract a b * Fract c d = Fract (a * c) (b * d)
by (simp add: Fract-def mult-rat-def mult-congruent2 UN-ratrel2)

theorem inverse-rat: a ≠ 0 ==> b ≠ 0 ==>
inverse (Fract a b) = Fract b a
by (simp add: Fract-def inverse-rat-def inverse-congruent UN-ratrel)

theorem divide-rat: c ≠ 0 ==> b ≠ 0 ==> d ≠ 0 ==>
Fract a b / Fract c d = Fract (a * d) (b * c)
by (simp add: divide-rat-def inverse-rat mult-rat)

```

theorem *le-rat*: $b \neq 0 \implies d \neq 0 \implies$
 $(\text{Fract } a b \leq \text{Fract } c d) = ((a * d) * (b * d) \leq (c * b) * (b * d))$
by (*simp add*: *Fract-def le-rat-def le-congruent2 UN-ratrel2*)

theorem *less-rat*: $b \neq 0 \implies d \neq 0 \implies$
 $(\text{Fract } a b < \text{Fract } c d) = ((a * d) * (b * d) < (c * b) * (b * d))$
by (*simp add*: *less-rat-def le-rat eq-rat order-less-le*)

theorem *abs-rat*: $b \neq 0 \implies |\text{Fract } a b| = \text{Fract } |a| |b|$
by (*simp add*: *abs-rat-def minus-rat Zero-rat-def less-rat eq-rat*)
(*auto simp add*: *mult-less-0-iff zero-less-mult-iff order-le-less split: abs-split*)

9.1.5 The ordered field of rational numbers

instance *rat :: field*

proof

```

fix q r s :: rat
show (q + r) + s = q + (r + s)
  by (induct q, induct r, induct s)
    (simp add: add-rat add-ac mult-ac int-distrib)
show q + r = r + q
  by (induct q, induct r) (simp add: add-rat add-ac mult-ac)
show 0 + q = q
  by (induct q) (simp add: Zero-rat-def add-rat)
show (-q) + q = 0
  by (induct q) (simp add: Zero-rat-def minus-rat add-rat eq-rat)
show q - r = q + (-r)
  by (induct q, induct r) (simp add: add-rat minus-rat diff-rat)
show (q * r) * s = q * (r * s)
  by (induct q, induct r, induct s) (simp add: mult-rat mult-ac)
show q * r = r * q
  by (induct q, induct r) (simp add: mult-rat mult-ac)
show 1 * q = q
  by (induct q) (simp add: One-rat-def mult-rat)
show (q + r) * s = q * s + r * s
  by (induct q, induct r, induct s)
    (simp add: add-rat mult-rat eq-rat int-distrib)
show q ≠ 0 ==> inverse q * q = 1
  by (induct q) (simp add: inverse-rat mult-rat One-rat-def Zero-rat-def eq-rat)
show q / r = q * inverse r
  by (simp add: divide-rat-def)
show 0 ≠ (1::rat)
  by (simp add: Zero-rat-def One-rat-def eq-rat)
qed
```

instance *rat :: linorder*

proof

```
fix q r s :: rat
```

```

{
  assume q ≤ r and r ≤ s
  show q ≤ s
  proof (insert prems, induct q, induct r, induct s)
    fix a b c d e f :: int
    assume neq: b ≠ 0 d ≠ 0 f ≠ 0
    assume 1: Fract a b ≤ Fract c d and 2: Fract c d ≤ Fract e f
    show Fract a b ≤ Fract e f
    proof -
      from neq obtain bb: 0 < b * b and dd: 0 < d * d and ff: 0 < f * f
      by (auto simp add: zero-less-mult-iff linorder-neq-iff)
      have (a * d) * (b * d) * (f * f) ≤ (c * b) * (b * d) * (f * f)
      proof -
        from neq 1 have (a * d) * (b * d) ≤ (c * b) * (b * d)
        by (simp add: le-rat)
        with ff show ?thesis by (simp add: mult-le-cancel-right)
      qed
      also have ... = (c * f) * (d * f) * (b * b)
      by (simp only: mult-ac)
      also have ... ≤ (e * d) * (d * f) * (b * b)
      proof -
        from neq 2 have (c * f) * (d * f) ≤ (e * d) * (d * f)
        by (simp add: le-rat)
        with bb show ?thesis by (simp add: mult-le-cancel-right)
      qed
      finally have (a * f) * (b * f) * (d * d) ≤ e * b * (b * f) * (d * d)
      by (simp only: mult-ac)
      with dd have (a * f) * (b * f) ≤ (e * b) * (b * f)
      by (simp add: mult-le-cancel-right)
      with neq show ?thesis by (simp add: le-rat)
    qed
  qed
  next
  assume q ≤ r and r ≤ q
  show q = r
  proof (insert prems, induct q, induct r)
    fix a b c d :: int
    assume neq: b ≠ 0 d ≠ 0
    assume 1: Fract a b ≤ Fract c d and 2: Fract c d ≤ Fract a b
    show Fract a b = Fract c d
    proof -
      from neq 1 have (a * d) * (b * d) ≤ (c * b) * (b * d)
      by (simp add: le-rat)
      also have ... ≤ (a * d) * (b * d)
      proof -
        from neq 2 have (c * b) * (d * b) ≤ (a * d) * (d * b)
        by (simp add: le-rat)
        thus ?thesis by (simp only: mult-ac)
      qed
    qed
  
```

```

finally have  $(a * d) * (b * d) = (c * b) * (b * d)$  .
moreover from neq have  $b * d \neq 0$  by simp
ultimately have  $a * d = c * b$  by simp
with neq show ?thesis by (simp add: eq-rat)
qed
qed
next
show  $q \leq q$ 
by (induct q) (simp add: le-rat)
show  $(q < r) = (q \leq r \wedge q \neq r)$ 
by (simp only: less-rat-def)
show  $q \leq r \vee r \leq q$ 
by (induct q, induct r)
(simp add: le-rat mult-commute, rule linorder-linear)
}
qed

instance rat :: distrib-lattice
inf r s ≡ min r s
sup r s ≡ max r s
by default (auto simp add: min-max.sup-inf-distrib1 inf-rat-def sup-rat-def)

instance rat :: ordered-field
proof
fix q r s :: rat
show  $q \leq r ==> s + q \leq s + r$ 
proof (induct q, induct r, induct s)
fix a b c d e f :: int
assume neq:  $b \neq 0 \ d \neq 0 \ f \neq 0$ 
assume le:  $\text{Fract } a b \leq \text{Fract } c d$ 
show  $\text{Fract } e f + \text{Fract } a b \leq \text{Fract } e f + \text{Fract } c d$ 
proof -
let ?F =  $f * f$  from neq have F:  $0 < ?F$ 
by (auto simp add: zero-less-mult-iff)
from neq le have  $(a * d) * (b * d) \leq (c * b) * (b * d)$ 
by (simp add: le-rat)
with F have  $(a * d) * (b * d) * ?F * ?F \leq (c * b) * (b * d) * ?F * ?F$ 
by (simp add: mult-le-cancel-right)
with neq show ?thesis by (simp add: add-rat le-rat mult-ac int-distrib)
qed
qed
show  $q < r ==> 0 < s ==> s * q < s * r$ 
proof (induct q, induct r, induct s)
fix a b c d e f :: int
assume neq:  $b \neq 0 \ d \neq 0 \ f \neq 0$ 
assume le:  $\text{Fract } a b < \text{Fract } c d$ 
assume gt:  $0 < \text{Fract } e f$ 
show  $\text{Fract } e f * \text{Fract } a b < \text{Fract } e f * \text{Fract } c d$ 
proof -

```

```

let ?E = e * f and ?F = f * f
from neq gt have 0 < ?E
  by (auto simp add: Zero-rat-def less-rat le-rat order-less-le eq-rat)
moreover from neq have 0 < ?F
  by (auto simp add: zero-less-mult-iff)
moreover from neq le have (a * d) * (b * d) < (c * b) * (b * d)
  by (simp add: less-rat)
ultimately have (a * d) * (b * d) * ?E * ?F < (c * b) * (b * d) * ?E * ?F
  by (simp add: mult-less-cancel-right)
with neq show ?thesis
  by (simp add: less-rat mult-rat mult-ac)
qed
qed
show |q| = (if q < 0 then -q else q)
  by (simp only: abs-rat-def)
qed (auto simp: sgn-rat-def)

instance rat :: division-by-zero
proof
  show inverse 0 = (0::rat)
    by (simp add: Zero-rat-def Fract-def inverse-rat-def
      inverse-congruent UN-ratrel)
qed

instance rat :: recpower
proof
  fix q :: rat
  fix n :: nat
  show q ^ 0 = 1 by simp
  show q ^ (Suc n) = q * (q ^ n) by simp
qed

```

9.2 Various Other Results

lemma minus-rat-cancel [simp]: $b \neq 0 \implies \text{Fract}(-a)(-b) = \text{Fract}a b$
 by (simp add: eq-rat)

theorem Rat-induct-pos [case-names Fract, induct type: rat]:
 assumes step: $\forall a b. 0 < b \implies P(\text{Fract} a b)$
 shows $P q$
proof (cases q)
 have step': $\forall a b. b < 0 \implies P(\text{Fract} a b)$
proof –
 fix a::int and b::int
 assume b: $b < 0$
 hence $0 < -b$ by simp
 hence $P(\text{Fract}(-a)(-b))$ by (rule step)
 thus $P(\text{Fract} a b)$ by (simp add: order-less-imp-not-eq [OF b])
qed

```

case (Fract a b)
  thus P q by (force simp add: linorder-neq-iff step step')
qed

lemma zero-less-Fract-iff:
   $0 < b \iff (0 < \text{Fract } a b) = (0 < a)$ 
by (simp add: Zero-rat-def less-rat order-less-imp-not-eq2 zero-less-mult-iff)

lemma Fract-add-one:  $n \neq 0 \implies \text{Fract } (m + n) n = \text{Fract } m n + 1$ 
apply (insert add-rat [of concl: m n 1 1])
apply (simp add: One-rat-def [symmetric])
done

lemma of-nat-rat:  $\text{of-nat } k = \text{Fract } (\text{of-nat } k) 1$ 
by (induct k) (simp-all add: Zero-rat-def One-rat-def add-rat)

lemma of-int-rat:  $\text{of-int } k = \text{Fract } k 1$ 
by (cases k rule: int-diff-cases, simp add: of-nat-rat diff-rat)

lemma Fract-of-nat-eq:  $\text{Fract } (\text{of-nat } k) 1 = \text{of-nat } k$ 
by (rule of-nat-rat [symmetric])

lemma Fract-of-int-eq:  $\text{Fract } k 1 = \text{of-int } k$ 
by (rule of-int-rat [symmetric])

lemma Fract-of-int-quotient:  $\text{Fract } k l = (\text{if } l = 0 \text{ then } \text{Fract } 1 0 \text{ else } \text{of-int } k / \text{of-int } l)$ 
by (auto simp add: Fract-zero Fract-of-int-eq [symmetric] divide-rat)

```

9.3 Numerals and Arithmetic

```

instance rat :: number
  rat-number-of-def: (number-of w :: rat)  $\equiv$  of-int w ..

instance rat :: number-ring
  by default (simp add: rat-number-of-def)

use rat-arith.ML
declaration  $\langle\!\langle K \text{ rat-arith-setup } \rangle\!\rangle$ 

```

9.4 Embedding from Rationals to other Fields

```

class field-char-0 = field + ring-char-0

instance ordered-field < field-char-0 ..

definition
  of-rat :: rat  $\Rightarrow$  'a::field-char-0
where
  [code func del]: of-rat q = contents ( $\bigcup (a,b) \in \text{Rep-Rat } q$ .  $\{ \text{of-int } a / \text{of-int } b \}$ )

```

```

lemma of-rat-congruent:
  ( $\lambda(a, b). \{of\text{-}int a / of\text{-}int b\} : a::field\text{-}char\text{-}0\}) respects ratrel
apply (rule congruent.intro)
apply (clar simp simp add: nonzero-divide-eq-eq nonzero-eq-divide-eq)
apply (simp only: of-int-mult [symmetric])
done

lemma of-rat-rat:
   $b \neq 0 \implies of\text{-}rat (\text{Fract } a b) = of\text{-}int a / of\text{-}int b$ 
unfolding Fract-def of-rat-def
by (simp add: UN-ratrel of-rat-congruent)

lemma of-rat-0 [simp]: of-rat 0 = 0
by (simp add: Zero-rat-def of-rat-rat)

lemma of-rat-1 [simp]: of-rat 1 = 1
by (simp add: One-rat-def of-rat-rat)

lemma of-rat-add: of-rat (a + b) = of-rat a + of-rat b
by (induct a, induct b, simp add: add-rat of-rat-rat add-frac-eq)

lemma of-rat-minus: of-rat (- a) = - of-rat a
by (induct a, simp add: minus-rat of-rat-rat)

lemma of-rat-diff: of-rat (a - b) = of-rat a - of-rat b
by (simp only: diff-minus of-rat-add of-rat-minus)

lemma of-rat-mult: of-rat (a * b) = of-rat a * of-rat b
apply (induct a, induct b, simp add: mult-rat of-rat-rat)
apply (simp add: divide-inverse nonzero-inverse-mult-distrib mult-ac)
done

lemma nonzero-of-rat-inverse:
   $a \neq 0 \implies of\text{-}rat (\text{inverse } a) = \text{inverse} (of\text{-}rat a)$ 
apply (rule inverse-unique [symmetric])
apply (simp add: of-rat-mult [symmetric])
done

lemma of-rat-inverse:
  ( $of\text{-}rat (\text{inverse } a) : a::\{field\text{-}char\text{-}0, division\text{-}by\text{-}zero\}$ ) =
   $\text{inverse} (of\text{-}rat a)$ )
by (cases a = 0, simp-all add: nonzero-of-rat-inverse)

lemma nonzero-of-rat-divide:
   $b \neq 0 \implies of\text{-}rat (a / b) = of\text{-}rat a / of\text{-}rat b$ 
by (simp add: divide-inverse of-rat-mult nonzero-of-rat-inverse)

lemma of-rat-divide:$ 
```

```

(of-rat (a / b)::'a::{field-char-0,division-by-zero})
= of-rat a / of-rat b
by (cases b = 0, simp-all add: nonzero-of-rat-divide)

lemma of-rat-power:
(of-rat (a ^ n)::'a::{field-char-0,recpower}) = of-rat a ^ n
by (induct n) (simp-all add: of-rat-mult power-Suc)

lemma of-rat-eq-iff [simp]: (of-rat a = of-rat b) = (a = b)
apply (induct a, induct b)
apply (simp add: of-rat-rat eq-rat)
apply (simp add: nonzero-divide-eq-eq nonzero-eq-divide-eq)
apply (simp only: of-int-mult [symmetric] of-int-eq-iff)
done

lemmas of-rat-eq-0-iff [simp] = of-rat-eq-iff [of - 0, simplified]

lemma of-rat-eq-id [simp]: of-rat = (id :: rat ⇒ rat)
proof
fix a
show of-rat a = id a
by (induct a)
(simp add: of-rat-rat divide-rat Fract-of-int-eq [symmetric])
qed

Collapse nested embeddings

lemma of-rat-of-nat-eq [simp]: of-rat (of-nat n) = of-nat n
by (induct n) (simp-all add: of-rat-add)

lemma of-rat-of-int-eq [simp]: of-rat (of-int z) = of-int z
by (cases z rule: int-diff-cases, simp add: of-rat-diff)

lemma of-rat-number-of-eq [simp]:
of-rat (number-of w) = (number-of w :: 'a::{number-ring,field-char-0})
by (simp add: number-of-eq)

lemmas zero-rat = Zero-rat-def
lemmas one-rat = One-rat-def

abbreviation
rat-of-nat :: nat ⇒ rat
where
rat-of-nat ≡ of-nat

abbreviation
rat-of-int :: int ⇒ rat
where
rat-of-int ≡ of-int

```

9.5 Implementation of rational numbers as pairs of integers

definition

Rational :: *int* × *int* ⇒ *rat*

where

Rational = *INum*

code-datatype *Rational*

lemma *Rational-simp*:

Rational (k, l) = *rat-of-int* k / *rat-of-int* l

unfolding *Rational-def* *INum-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *Rational-zero* [*simp*]: *Rational* 0_N = 0

by (*simp add:* *Rational-simp*)

lemma *Rational-lit* [*simp*]: *Rational* i_N = *rat-of-int* i

by (*simp add:* *Rational-simp*)

lemma *zero-rat-code* [*code, code unfold*]:

0 = *Rational* 0_N **by** *simp*

lemma *zero-rat-code* [*code, code unfold*]:

1 = *Rational* 1_N **by** *simp*

lemma [*code, code unfold*]:

number-of k = *rat-of-int* (*number-of* k)

by (*simp add:* *number-of-is-id* *rat-number-of-def*)

definition

[*code func del*]: *Fract'* (b::bool) k l = *Fract* k l

lemma [*code*]:

Fract k l = *Fract'* (l ≠ 0) k l

unfolding *Fract'-def* ..

lemma [*code*]:

Fract' True k l = (if l ≠ 0 then *Rational* (k, l) else *Fract* 1 0)

by (*simp add:* *Fract'-def Rational-simp Fract-of-int-quotient* [of k l])

lemma [*code*]:

of-rat (*Rational* (k, l)) = (if l ≠ 0 then *of-int* k / *of-int* l else 0)

by (*cases l = 0*)

(*auto simp add:* *Rational-simp of-rat-rat* [*simplified Fract-of-int-quotient* [of k l], *symmetric*])

instance *rat* :: *eq* ..

lemma *rat-eq-code* [*code*]: *Rational* x = *Rational* y ←→ *normNum* x = *normNum* y

```

unfolding Rational-def INum-normNum-iff ..

lemma rat-less-eq-code [code]: Rational x ≤ Rational y ↔ normNum x ≤N normNum y
proof -
  have normNum x ≤N normNum y ↔ Rational (normNum x) ≤ Rational (normNum y)
    by (simp add: Rational-def del: normNum)
  also have ... = (Rational x ≤ Rational y) by (simp add: Rational-def)
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed

lemma rat-less-code [code]: Rational x < Rational y ↔ normNum x <N normNum y
proof -
  have normNum x <N normNum y ↔ Rational (normNum x) < Rational (normNum y)
    by (simp add: Rational-def del: normNum)
  also have ... = (Rational x < Rational y) by (simp add: Rational-def)
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed

lemma rat-add-code [code]: Rational x + Rational y = Rational (x +N y)
  unfolding Rational-def by simp

lemma rat-mul-code [code]: Rational x * Rational y = Rational (x *N y)
  unfolding Rational-def by simp

lemma rat-neg-code [code]: - Rational x = Rational (¬N x)
  unfolding Rational-def by simp

lemma rat-sub-code [code]: Rational x - Rational y = Rational (x -N y)
  unfolding Rational-def by simp

lemma rat-inv-code [code]: inverse (Rational x) = Rational (Ninv x)
  unfolding Rational-def Ninv divide-rat-def by simp

lemma rat-div-code [code]: Rational x / Rational y = Rational (x ÷N y)
  unfolding Rational-def by simp

Setup for SML code generator

types-code
rat ((int */ int))
attach (term-of) <<
fun term-of-rat (p, q) =
  let
    val rT = Type (Rational.rat, [])
  in
    if q = 1 orelse p = 0 then HOLogic.mk-number rT p

```

```

else Const (HOL.inverse-class.divide, rT --> rT --> rT) $  

  HOLogic.mk-number rT p \$ HOLogic.mk-number rT q  

end;  

>>  

attach (test) <<  

fun gen-rat i =  

  let  

    val p = random-range 0 i;  

    val q = random-range 1 (i + 1);  

    val g = Integer.gcd p q;  

    val p' = p div g;  

    val q' = q div g;  

    in  

      (if one-of [true, false] then p' else ~ p',  

       if p' = 0 then 0 else q')  

    end;  

>>  

consts-code  

Rational ((-))  

consts-code  

of-int :: int => rat (<module>rat'-of'-int)  

attach <<  

fun rat-of-int 0 = (0, 0)  

  | rat-of-int i = (i, 1);  

>>  

end

```

10 Positive real numbers

```

theory PReal
imports Rational
begin

```

Could be generalized and moved to *Ring-and-Field*

```

lemma add-eq-exists:  $\exists x. a+x = (b::rat)$ 
by (rule-tac x=b-a in exI, simp)

```

definition

```

cut :: rat set => bool where
cut A = ({}) ⊂ A &
  A < {r. 0 < r} &
  ( $\forall y \in A. ((\forall z. 0 < z \& z < y \rightarrow z \in A) \& (\exists u \in A. y < u)))$ )

```

lemma cut-of-rat:

```

assumes q:  $0 < q$  shows cut {r::rat.  $0 < r \& r < q$ } (is cut ?A)

```

```

proof -
  from q have pos: ?A < {r. 0 < r} by force
    have nonempty: {} ⊂ ?A
      proof
        show {} ⊆ ?A by simp
        show {} ≠ ?A
          by (force simp only: q eq-commute [of {}] interval-empty-iff)
      qed
      show ?thesis
        by (simp add: cut-def pos nonempty,
          blast dest: dense intro: order-less-trans)
    qed

```

```

typedef preal = {A. cut A}
  by (blast intro: cut-of-rat [OF zero-less-one])

```

```

instance preal :: {ord, plus, minus, times, inverse, one} ..

```

definition

```

preal-of-rat :: rat => preal where
  preal-of-rat q = Abs-preal {x::rat. 0 < x & x < q}

```

definition

```

psup :: preal set => preal where
  psup P = Abs-preal (⋃ X ∈ P. Rep-preal X)

```

definition

```

add-set :: [rat set, rat set] => rat set where
  add-set A B = {w. ∃ x ∈ A. ∃ y ∈ B. w = x + y}

```

definition

```

diff-set :: [rat set, rat set] => rat set where
  diff-set A B = {w. ∃ x. 0 < w & 0 < x & x ∉ B & x + w ∈ A}

```

definition

```

mult-set :: [rat set, rat set] => rat set where
  mult-set A B = {w. ∃ x ∈ A. ∃ y ∈ B. w = x * y}

```

definition

```

inverse-set :: rat set => rat set where
  inverse-set A = {x. ∃ y. 0 < x & x < y & inverse y ∉ A}

```

defs (overloaded)

```

preal-less-def:
  R < S == Rep-preal R < Rep-preal S

```

```

preal-le-def:

$$R \leq S == \text{Rep-preal } R \subseteq \text{Rep-preal } S$$


preal-add-def:

$$R + S == \text{Abs-preal } (\text{add-set } (\text{Rep-preal } R) (\text{Rep-preal } S))$$


preal-diff-def:

$$R - S == \text{Abs-preal } (\text{diff-set } (\text{Rep-preal } R) (\text{Rep-preal } S))$$


preal-mult-def:

$$R * S == \text{Abs-preal } (\text{mult-set } (\text{Rep-preal } R) (\text{Rep-preal } S))$$


preal-inverse-def:

$$\text{inverse } R == \text{Abs-preal } (\text{inverse-set } (\text{Rep-preal } R))$$


preal-one-def:

$$1 == \text{preal-of-rat } 1$$


```

Reduces equality on abstractions to equality on representatives

```

declare Abs-preal-inject [simp]
declare Abs-preal-inverse [simp]

```

```

lemma rat-mem-preal:  $0 < q ==> \{r::rat. 0 < r \& r < q\} \in \text{preal}$ 
by (simp add: preal-def cut-of-rat)

```

```

lemma preal-nonempty:  $A \in \text{preal} ==> \exists x \in A. 0 < x$ 
by (unfold preal-def cut-def, blast)

```

```

lemma preal-Ex-mem:  $A \in \text{preal} \implies \exists x. x \in A$ 
by (drule preal-nonempty, fast)

```

```

lemma preal-imp-psubset-positives:  $A \in \text{preal} ==> A < \{r. 0 < r\}$ 
by (force simp add: preal-def cut-def)

```

```

lemma preal-exists-bound:  $A \in \text{preal} ==> \exists x. 0 < x \& x \notin A$ 
by (drule preal-imp-psubset-positives, auto)

```

```

lemma preal-exists-greater:  $\| A \in \text{preal}; y \in A \| ==> \exists u \in A. y < u$ 
by (unfold preal-def cut-def, blast)

```

```

lemma preal-downwards-closed:  $\| A \in \text{preal}; y \in A; 0 < z; z < y \| ==> z \in A$ 
by (unfold preal-def cut-def, blast)

```

Relaxing the final premise

```

lemma preal-downwards-closed':

$$\| A \in \text{preal}; y \in A; 0 < z; z \leq y \| ==> z \in A$$

apply (simp add: order-le-less)
apply (blast intro: preal-downwards-closed)
done

```

A positive fraction not in a positive real is an upper bound. Gleason p. 122
- Remark (1)

```
lemma not-in-preal-ub:
  assumes A: A ∈ preal
    and notx: x ∉ A
    and y: y ∈ A
    and pos: 0 < x
  shows y < x
proof (cases rule: linorder-cases)
  assume x < y
  with notx show ?thesis
    by (simp add: preal-downwards-closed [OF A y] pos)
next
  assume x = y
  with notx and y show ?thesis by simp
next
  assume y < x
  thus ?thesis .
qed
```

preal lemmas instantiated to *Rep-preal X*

```
lemma mem-Rep-preal-Ex: ∃ x. x ∈ Rep-preal X
by (rule preal-Ex-mem [OF Rep-preal])
```

```
lemma Rep-preal-exists-bound: ∃ x > 0. x ∉ Rep-preal X
by (rule preal-exists-bound [OF Rep-preal])
```

```
lemmas not-in-Rep-preal-ub = not-in-preal-ub [OF Rep-preal]
```

10.1 preal-of-prat: the Injection from prat to preal

```
lemma rat-less-set-mem-preal: 0 < y ==> {u::rat. 0 < u & u < y} ∈ preal
by (simp add: preal-def cut-of-rat)
```

```
lemma rat-subset-imp-le:
  [|{u::rat. 0 < u & u < x}| ⊆ {u. 0 < u & u < y}; 0 < x|] ==> x ≤ y
apply (simp add: linorder-not-less [symmetric])
apply (blast dest: dense intro: order-less-trans)
done
```

```
lemma rat-set-eq-imp-eq:
  [|{u::rat. 0 < u & u < x}| = {u. 0 < u & u < y}; 0 < x; 0 < y|] ==> x = y
by (blast intro: rat-subset-imp-le order-antisym)
```

10.2 Properties of Ordering

```
lemma preal-le-refl: w ≤ (w::preal)
by (simp add: preal-le-def)
```

```

lemma preal-le-trans: [|  $i \leq j; j \leq k$  |] ==>  $i \leq (k::preal)$ 
by (force simp add: preal-le-def)

lemma preal-le-anti-sym: [|  $z \leq w; w \leq z$  |] ==>  $z = (w::preal)$ 
apply (simp add: preal-le-def)
apply (rule Rep-preal-inject [THEN iffD1], blast)
done

lemma preal-less-le:  $((w::preal) < z) = (w \leq z \& w \neq z)$ 
by (simp add: preal-le-def preal-less-def Rep-preal-inject psubset-def)

instance preal :: order
by intro-classes
  (assumption |
   rule preal-le-refl preal-le-trans preal-le-anti-sym preal-less-le)+

lemma preal-imp-pos: [|  $A \in \text{preal}; r \in A$  |] ==>  $0 < r$ 
by (insert preal-imp-psubset-positives, blast)

lemma preal-le-linear:  $x \leq y \mid y \leq (x::preal)$ 
apply (auto simp add: preal-le-def)
apply (rule ccontr)
apply (blast dest: not-in-Rep-preal-ub intro: preal-imp-pos [OF Rep-preal]
          elim: order-less-asym)
done

instance preal :: linorder
by intro-classes (rule preal-le-linear)

instance preal :: distrib-lattice
  inf ≡ min
  sup ≡ max
by intro-classes
  (auto simp add: inf-preal-def sup-preal-def min-max.sup-inf-distrib1)

```

10.3 Properties of Addition

```

lemma preal-add-commute:  $(x::preal) + y = y + x$ 
apply (unfold preal-add-def add-set-def)
apply (rule-tac f = Abs-preal in arg-cong)
apply (force simp add: add-commute)
done

```

Lemmas for proving that addition of two positive reals gives a positive real

```

lemma empty-psubset-nonempty:  $a \in A ==> \{\} \subset A$ 
by blast

```

Part 1 of Dedekind sections definition

```

lemma add-set-not-empty:
  [|A ∈ preal; B ∈ preal|] ==> {} ⊂ add-set A B
apply (drule preal-nonempty)+
apply (auto simp add: add-set-def)
done

```

Part 2 of Dedekind sections definition. A structured version of this proof is *preal-not-mem-mult-set-Ex* below.

```

lemma preal-not-mem-add-set-Ex:
  [|A ∈ preal; B ∈ preal|] ==> ∃ q>0. q ∉ add-set A B
apply (insert preal-exists-bound [of A] preal-exists-bound [of B], auto)
apply (rule-tac x = x+xa in exI)
apply (simp add: add-set-def, clarify)
apply (drule (3) not-in-preal-ub)+
apply (force dest: add-strict-mono)
done

```

```

lemma add-set-not-rat-set:
  assumes A: A ∈ preal
  and B: B ∈ preal
  shows add-set A B < {r. 0 < r}
proof
  from preal-imp-pos [OF A] preal-imp-pos [OF B]
  show add-set A B ⊆ {r. 0 < r} by (force simp add: add-set-def)
next
  show add-set A B ≠ {r. 0 < r}
  by (insert preal-not-mem-add-set-Ex [OF A B], blast)
qed

```

Part 3 of Dedekind sections definition

```

lemma add-set-lemma3:
  [|A ∈ preal; B ∈ preal; u ∈ add-set A B; 0 < z; z < u|]
  ==> z ∈ add-set A B
proof (unfold add-set-def, clarify)
  fix x::rat and y::rat
  assume A: A ∈ preal
  and B: B ∈ preal
  and [simp]: 0 < z
  and zless: z < x + y
  and x: x ∈ A
  and y: y ∈ B
  have xpos [simp]: 0 < x by (rule preal-imp-pos [OF A x])
  have ypos [simp]: 0 < y by (rule preal-imp-pos [OF B y])
  have xypos [simp]: 0 < x+y by (simp add: pos-add-strict)
  let ?f = z/(x+y)
  have fless: ?f < 1 by (simp add: zless pos-divide-less-eq)
  show ∃ x' ∈ A. ∃ y' ∈ B. z = x' + y'
  proof (intro bexI)
    show z = x * ?f + y * ?f

```

```

by (simp add: left-distrib [symmetric] divide-inverse mult-ac
      order-less-imp-not-eq2)
next
  show  $y * ?f \in B$ 
  proof (rule preal-downwards-closed [OF B y])
    show  $0 < y * ?f$ 
      by (simp add: divide-inverse zero-less-mult-iff)
  next
    show  $y * ?f < y$ 
      by (insert mult-strict-left-mono [OF fless ypos], simp)
  qed
next
  show  $x * ?f \in A$ 
  proof (rule preal-downwards-closed [OF A x])
    show  $0 < x * ?f$ 
      by (simp add: divide-inverse zero-less-mult-iff)
  next
    show  $x * ?f < x$ 
      by (insert mult-strict-left-mono [OF fless xpos], simp)
  qed
qed
qed

```

Part 4 of Dedekind sections definition

```

lemma add-set-lemma4:
  [| $A \in \text{preal}; B \in \text{preal}; y \in \text{add-set } A \cap B|] ==> \exists u \in \text{add-set } A \cap B. y < u
apply (auto simp add: add-set-def)
apply (frule preal-exists-greater [of A], auto)
apply (rule-tac x=u + y in exI)
apply (auto intro: add-strict-left-mono)
done

lemma mem-add-set:
  [| $A \in \text{preal}; B \in \text{preal}|] ==> \text{add-set } A \cap B \in \text{preal}
apply (simp (no-asm-simp) add: preal-def cut-def)
apply (blast intro!: add-set-not-empty add-set-not-rat-set
            add-set-lemma3 add-set-lemma4)
done

lemma preal-add-assoc:  $((x::\text{preal}) + y) + z = x + (y + z)$ 
apply (simp add: preal-add-def mem-add-set Rep-preal)
apply (force simp add: add-set-def add-ac)
done

instance preal :: ab-semigroup-add
proof
  fix a b c :: preal
  show  $(a + b) + c = a + (b + c)$  by (rule preal-add-assoc)
  show  $a + b = b + a$  by (rule preal-add-commute)$$ 
```

qed

```
lemma preal-add-left-commute: x + (y + z) = y + ((x + z)::preal)
by (rule add-left-commute)
```

Positive Real addition is an AC operator

```
lemmas preal-add-ac = preal-add-assoc preal-add-commute preal-add-left-commute
```

10.4 Properties of Multiplication

Proofs essentially same as for addition

```
lemma preal-mult-commute: (x::preal) * y = y * x
apply (unfold preal-mult-def mult-set-def)
apply (rule-tac f = Abs-preal in arg-cong)
apply (force simp add: mult-commute)
done
```

Multiplication of two positive reals gives a positive real.

Lemmas for proving positive reals multiplication set in *preal*

Part 1 of Dedekind sections definition

```
lemma mult-set-not-empty:
[] [A ∈ preal; B ∈ preal] ==> {} ⊂ mult-set A B
apply (insert preal-nonempty [of A] preal-nonempty [of B])
apply (auto simp add: mult-set-def)
done
```

Part 2 of Dedekind sections definition

```
lemma preal-not-mem-mult-set-Ex:
assumes A: A ∈ preal
and B: B ∈ preal
shows ∃ q. 0 < q & q ∉ mult-set A B
proof -
from preal-exists-bound [OF A]
obtain x where [simp]: 0 < x x ∉ A by blast
from preal-exists-bound [OF B]
obtain y where [simp]: 0 < y y ∉ B by blast
show ?thesis
proof (intro exI conjI)
show 0 < x*y by (simp add: mult-pos-pos)
show x * y ∉ mult-set A B
proof -
{ fix u::rat and v::rat
assume u ∈ A and v ∈ B and x*y = u*v
moreover
with prems have u < x and v < y by (blast dest: not-in-preal-ub)+
moreover
```

```

with prems have  $0 \leq v$ 
  by (blast intro: preal-imp-pos [OF B] order-less-imp-le prems)
moreover
from calculation
have  $u*v < x*y$  by (blast intro: mult-strict-mono prems)
ultimately have False by force }
thus ?thesis by (auto simp add: mult-set-def)
qed
qed
qed

```

lemma mult-set-not-rat-set:

assumes $A: A \in \text{preal}$
 and $B: B \in \text{preal}$
 shows mult-set $A B < \{r. 0 < r\}$

proof

show mult-set $A B \subseteq \{r. 0 < r\}$
 by (force simp add: mult-set-def
 intro: preal-imp-pos [OF A] preal-imp-pos [OF B] mult-pos-pos)
 show mult-set $A B \neq \{r. 0 < r\}$
 using preal-not-mem-mult-set-Ex [OF A B] by blast

qed

Part 3 of Dedekind sections definition

lemma mult-set-lemma3:

$\|A \in \text{preal}; B \in \text{preal}; u \in \text{mult-set } A B; 0 < z; z < u\|$
 $\implies z \in \text{mult-set } A B$

proof (unfold mult-set-def, clarify)

fix $x::\text{rat}$ and $y::\text{rat}$
 assume $A: A \in \text{preal}$
 and $B: B \in \text{preal}$
 and [simp]: $0 < z$
 and zless: $z < x * y$
 and $x: x \in A$
 and $y: y \in B$
 have [simp]: $0 < y$ by (rule preal-imp-pos [OF B y])
 show $\exists x' \in A. \exists y' \in B. z = x' * y'$

proof

show $\exists y' \in B. z = (z/y) * y'$

proof

show $z = (z/y) * y$
 by (simp add: divide-inverse mult-commute [of y] mult-assoc
 order-less-imp-not-eq2)

show $y \in B$ by fact

qed

next

show $z/y \in A$

proof (rule preal-downwards-closed [OF A x])
 show $0 < z/y$

```

    by (simp add: zero-less-divide-iff)
  show z/y < x by (simp add: pos-divide-less-eq zless)
qed
qed
qed

```

Part 4 of Dedekind sections definition

```

lemma mult-set-lemma4:
  [| A ∈ preal; B ∈ preal; y ∈ mult-set A B|] ==> ∃ u ∈ mult-set A B. y < u
apply (auto simp add: mult-set-def)
apply (frule preal-exists-greater [of A], auto)
apply (rule-tac x=u * y in exI)
apply (auto intro: preal-imp-pos [of A] preal-imp-pos [of B]
          mult-strict-right-mono)
done

```

```

lemma mem-mult-set:
  [| A ∈ preal; B ∈ preal|] ==> mult-set A B ∈ preal
apply (simp (no-asm-simp) add: preal-def cut-def)
apply (blast intro!: mult-set-not-empty mult-set-not-rat-set
          mult-set-lemma3 mult-set-lemma4)
done

```

```

lemma preal-mult-assoc: ((x::preal) * y) * z = x * (y * z)
apply (simp add: preal-mult-def mem-mult-set Rep-preal)
apply (force simp add: mult-set-def mult-ac)
done

```

```

instance preal :: ab-semigroup-mult
proof
  fix a b c :: preal
  show (a * b) * c = a * (b * c) by (rule preal-mult-assoc)
  show a * b = b * a by (rule preal-mult-commute)
qed

```

```

lemma preal-mult-left-commute: x * (y * z) = y * ((x * z)::preal)
by (rule mult-left-commute)

```

Positive Real multiplication is an AC operator

```

lemmas preal-mult-ac =
  preal-mult-assoc preal-mult-commute preal-mult-left-commute

```

Positive real 1 is the multiplicative identity element

```

lemma preal-mult-1: (1::preal) * z = z
unfolding preal-one-def
proof (induct z)
  fix A :: rat set
  assume A: A ∈ preal

```

```

have { $w$ .  $\exists u$ .  $0 < u \wedge u < 1 \wedge (\exists v \in A. w = u * v)$ } = A (is ?lhs = A)
proof
  show ?lhs ⊆ A
  proof clarify
    fix  $x::rat$  and  $u::rat$  and  $v::rat$ 
    assume  $upos$ :  $0 < u$  and  $u < 1$  and  $v$ :  $v \in A$ 
    have  $vpos$ :  $0 < v$  by (rule preal-imp-pos [OF A v])
    hence  $u * v < 1 * v$  by (simp only: mult-strict-right-mono prems)
    thus  $u * v \in A$ 
      by (force intro: preal-downwards-closed [OF A v] mult-pos-pos
           upos vpos)
  qed
next
  show  $A \subseteq ?lhs$ 
  proof clarify
    fix  $x::rat$ 
    assume  $x$ :  $x \in A$ 
    have  $xpos$ :  $0 < x$  by (rule preal-imp-pos [OF A x])
    from preal-exists-greater [OF A x]
    obtain  $v$  where  $v$ :  $v \in A$  and  $xlessv$ :  $x < v ..$ 
    have  $vpos$ :  $0 < v$  by (rule preal-imp-pos [OF A v])
    show  $\exists u$ .  $0 < u \wedge u < 1 \wedge (\exists v \in A. x = u * v)$ 
    proof (intro exI conjI)
      show  $0 < x/v$ 
        by (simp add: zero-less-divide-iff xpos vpos)
      show  $x / v < 1$ 
        by (simp add: pos-divide-less-eq vpos xlessv)
      show  $\exists v' \in A$ .  $x = (x / v) * v'$ 
      proof
        show  $x = (x/v)*v$ 
          by (simp add: divide-inverse mult-assoc vpos
                  order-less-imp-not-eq2)
        show  $v \in A$  by fact
      qed
    qed
  qed
qed
thus preal-of-rat 1 * Abs-preal A = Abs-preal A
  by (simp add: preal-of-rat-def preal-mult-def mult-set-def
                rat-mem-preal A)
qed

instance preal :: comm-monoid-mult
by intro-classes (rule preal-mult-1)

lemma preal-mult-1-right:  $z * (1::preal) = z$ 
by (rule mult-1-right)

```

10.5 Distribution of Multiplication across Addition

```

lemma mem-Rep-preal-add-iff:
  ( $z \in \text{Rep-preal}(R+S)$ ) = ( $\exists x \in \text{Rep-preal } R. \exists y \in \text{Rep-preal } S. z = x + y$ )
apply (simp add: preal-add-def mem-add-set Rep-preal)
apply (simp add: add-set-def)
done

lemma mem-Rep-preal-mult-iff:
  ( $z \in \text{Rep-preal}(R*S)$ ) = ( $\exists x \in \text{Rep-preal } R. \exists y \in \text{Rep-preal } S. z = x * y$ )
apply (simp add: preal-mult-def mem-mult-set Rep-preal)
apply (simp add: mult-set-def)
done

lemma distrib-subset1:
   $\text{Rep-preal}(w * (x + y)) \subseteq \text{Rep-preal}(w * x + w * y)$ 
apply (auto simp add: Bex-def mem-Rep-preal-add-iff mem-Rep-preal-mult-iff)
apply (force simp add: right-distrib)
done

lemma preal-add-mult-distrib-mean:
  assumes a:  $a \in \text{Rep-preal } w$ 
  and b:  $b \in \text{Rep-preal } w$ 
  and d:  $d \in \text{Rep-preal } x$ 
  and e:  $e \in \text{Rep-preal } y$ 
  shows  $\exists c \in \text{Rep-preal } w. a * d + b * e = c * (d + e)$ 
proof
  let ?c =  $(a*d + b*e)/(d+e)$ 
  have [simp]:  $0 < a \ 0 < b \ 0 < d \ 0 < e \ 0 < d+e$ 
    by (blast intro: preal-imp-pos [OF Rep-preal] a b d e pos-add-strict)+
  have cpos:  $0 < ?c$ 
    by (simp add: zero-less-divide-iff zero-less-mult-iff pos-add-strict)
  show  $a * d + b * e = ?c * (d + e)$ 
    by (simp add: divide-inverse mult-assoc order-less-imp-not-eq2)
  show ?c  $\in \text{Rep-preal } w$ 
  proof (cases rule: linorder-le-cases)
    assume a  $\leq b$ 
    hence ?c  $\leq b$ 
      by (simp add: pos-divide-le-eq right-distrib mult-right-mono
          order-less-imp-le)
    thus ?thesis by (rule preal-downwards-closed' [OF Rep-preal b cpos])
  next
    assume b  $\leq a$ 
    hence ?c  $\leq a$ 
      by (simp add: pos-divide-le-eq right-distrib mult-right-mono
          order-less-imp-le)
    thus ?thesis by (rule preal-downwards-closed' [OF Rep-preal a cpos])
  qed
qed

```

```

lemma distrib-subset2:
  Rep-preal (w * x + w * y) ⊆ Rep-preal (w * (x + y))
apply (auto simp add: Bex-def mem-Rep-preal-add-iff mem-Rep-preal-mult-iff)
apply (drule-tac w=w and x=x and y=y in preal-add-mult-distrib-mean, auto)
done

lemma preal-add-mult-distrib2: (w * ((x::preal) + y)) = (w * x) + (w * y)
apply (rule Rep-preal-inject [THEN iffD1])
apply (rule equalityI [OF distrib-subset1 distrib-subset2])
done

lemma preal-add-mult-distrib: (((x::preal) + y) * w) = (x * w) + (y * w)
by (simp add: preal-mult-commute preal-add-mult-distrib2)

instance preal :: comm-semiring
by intro-classes (rule preal-add-mult-distrib)

```

10.6 Existence of Inverse, a Positive Real

```

lemma mem-inv-set-ex:
  assumes A: A ∈ preal shows ∃ x y. 0 < x & x < y & inverse y ∉ A
proof –
  from preal-exists-bound [OF A]
  obtain x where [simp]: 0 < x x ∉ A by blast
  show ?thesis
  proof (intro exI conjI)
    show 0 < inverse (x+1)
      by (simp add: order-less-trans [OF - less-add-one])
    show inverse(x+1) < inverse x
      by (simp add: less-imp-inverse-less less-add-one)
    show inverse (inverse x) ∉ A
      by (simp add: order-less-imp-not-eq2)
  qed
qed

```

Part 1 of Dedekind sections definition

```

lemma inverse-set-not-empty:
  A ∈ preal ==> {} ⊂ inverse-set A
apply (insert mem-inv-set-ex [of A])
apply (auto simp add: inverse-set-def)
done

```

Part 2 of Dedekind sections definition

```

lemma preal-not-mem-inverse-set-Ex:
  assumes A: A ∈ preal shows ∃ q. 0 < q & q ∉ inverse-set A
proof –
  from preal-nonempty [OF A]
  obtain x where x: x ∈ A and xpos [simp]: 0 < x ..
  show ?thesis

```

```

proof (intro exI conjI)
  show  $0 < \text{inverse } x$  by simp
  show  $\text{inverse } x \notin \text{inverse-set } A$ 
proof -
  { fix  $y::\text{rat}$ 
    assume  $ygt: \text{inverse } x < y$ 
    have [simp]:  $0 < y$  by (simp add: order-less-trans [OF - ygt])
    have  $iyless: \text{inverse } y < x$ 
      by (simp add: inverse-less-imp-less [of } x] ygt)
    have  $\text{inverse } y \in A$ 
      by (simp add: preal-downwards-closed [OF A x] iyless)}
    thus ?thesis by (auto simp add: inverse-set-def)
  qed
  qed
  qed

```

```

lemma inverse-set-not-rat-set:
  assumes  $A: A \in \text{preal}$  shows  $\text{inverse-set } A < \{r. 0 < r\}$ 
proof
  show  $\text{inverse-set } A \subseteq \{r. 0 < r\}$  by (force simp add: inverse-set-def)
next
  show  $\text{inverse-set } A \neq \{r. 0 < r\}$ 
    by (insert preal-not-mem-inverse-set-Ex [OF A], blast)
qed

```

Part 3 of Dedekind sections definition

```

lemma inverse-set-lemma3:
   $[\exists A \in \text{preal}; u \in \text{inverse-set } A; 0 < z; z < u] \implies z \in \text{inverse-set } A$ 
apply (auto simp add: inverse-set-def)
apply (auto intro: order-less-trans)
done

```

Part 4 of Dedekind sections definition

```

lemma inverse-set-lemma4:
   $[\exists A \in \text{preal}; y \in \text{inverse-set } A] \implies \exists u \in \text{inverse-set } A. y < u$ 
apply (auto simp add: inverse-set-def)
apply (drule dense [of y])
apply (blast intro: order-less-trans)
done

```

```

lemma mem-inverse-set:
   $A \in \text{preal} \implies \text{inverse-set } A \in \text{preal}$ 
apply (simp (no-asm-simp) add: preal-def cut-def)
apply (blast intro!: inverse-set-not-empty inverse-set-not-rat-set
          inverse-set-lemma3 inverse-set-lemma4)
done

```

10.7 Gleason's Lemma 9-3.4, page 122

```

lemma Gleason9-34-exists:
assumes A: A ∈ preal
  and ∀x∈A. x + u ∈ A
  and 0 ≤ z
shows ∃b∈A. b + (of-int z) * u ∈ A
proof (cases z rule: int-cases)
  case (nonneg n)
  show ?thesis
  proof (simp add: prems, induct n)
    case 0
      from preal-nonempty [OF A]
      show ?case by force
    case (Suc k)
      from this obtain b where b ∈ A b + of-nat k * u ∈ A ..
      hence b + of-int (int k)*u + u ∈ A by (simp add: prems)
      thus ?case by (force simp add: left-distrib add-ac prems)
  qed
next
  case (neg n)
  with prems show ?thesis by simp
qed

lemma Gleason9-34-contra:
assumes A: A ∈ preal
  shows [| ∀x∈A. x + u ∈ A; 0 < u; 0 < y; y ∉ A |] ==> False
proof (induct u, induct y)
  fix a::int and b::int
  fix c::int and d::int
  assume bpos [simp]: 0 < b
  and dpos [simp]: 0 < d
  and closed: ∀x∈A. x + (Fract c d) ∈ A
  and upos: 0 < Fract c d
  and ypos: 0 < Fract a b
  and notin: Fract a b ∉ A
  have cpos [simp]: 0 < c
    by (simp add: zero-less-Fract-iff [OF dpos, symmetric] upos)
  have apos [simp]: 0 < a
    by (simp add: zero-less-Fract-iff [OF bpos, symmetric] ypos)
  let ?k = a*d
  have frle: Fract a b ≤ Fract ?k 1 * (Fract c d)
  proof -
    have ?thesis = ((a * d * b * d) ≤ c * b * (a * d * b * d))
      by (simp add: mult-rat le-rat order-less-imp-not-eq2 mult-ac)
    moreover
    have (1 * (a * d * b * d)) ≤ c * b * (a * d * b * d)
      by (rule mult-mono,
          simp-all add: int-one-le-iff-zero-less zero-less-mult-iff
          order-less-imp-le)
  qed

```

```

ultimately
show ?thesis by simp
qed
have k:  $0 \leq ?k$  by (simp add: order-less-imp-le zero-less-mult-iff)
from Gleason9-34-exists [OF A closed k]
obtain z where z:  $z \in A$ 
    and mem:  $z + \text{of-int } ?k * \text{Fract } c d \in A$  ..
have less:  $z + \text{of-int } ?k * \text{Fract } c d < \text{Fract } a b$ 
    by (rule not-in-preal-ub [OF A notin mem ypos])
have 0<z by (rule preal-imp-pos [OF A z])
with frle and less show False by (simp add: Fract-of-int-eq)
qed

```

```

lemma Gleason9-34:
assumes A:  $A \in \text{preal}$ 
and upos:  $0 < u$ 
shows  $\exists r \in A. r + u \notin A$ 
proof (rule ccontr, simp)
assume closed:  $\forall r \in A. r + u \in A$ 
from preal-exists-bound [OF A]
obtain y where y:  $y \notin A$  and ypos:  $0 < y$  by blast
show False
by (rule Gleason9-34-contra [OF A closed upos ypos y])
qed

```

10.8 Gleason's Lemma 9-3.6

```

lemma lemma-gleason9-36:
assumes A:  $A \in \text{preal}$ 
and x:  $1 < x$ 
shows  $\exists r \in A. r * x \notin A$ 
proof -
from preal-nonempty [OF A]
obtain y where y:  $y \in A$  and ypos:  $0 < y$  ..
show ?thesis
proof (rule classical)
assume  $\neg(\exists r \in A. r * x \notin A)$ 
with y have ymem:  $y * x \in A$  by blast
from ypos mult-strict-left-mono [OF x]
have yless:  $y < y * x$  by simp
let ?d =  $y * x - y$ 
from yless have dpos:  $0 < ?d$  and eq:  $y + ?d = y * x$  by auto
from Gleason9-34 [OF A dpos]
obtain r where r:  $r \in A$  and notin:  $r + ?d \notin A$  ..
have rpos:  $0 < r$  by (rule preal-imp-pos [OF A r])
with dpos have rdpos:  $0 < r + ?d$  by arith
have  $\neg(r + ?d \leq y + ?d)$ 
proof

```

```

assume le:  $r + ?d \leq y + ?d$ 
from ymem have yd:  $y + ?d \in A$  by (simp add: eq)
have  $r + ?d \in A$  by (rule preal-downwards-closed' [OF A yd rdpos le])
  with notin show False by simp
qed
hence  $y < r$  by simp
with ypos have dless:  $?d < (r * ?d)/y$ 
  by (simp add: pos-less-divide-eq mult-commute [of ?d]
    mult-strict-right-mono dpos)
have  $r + ?d < r*x$ 
proof -
  have  $r + ?d < r + (r * ?d)/y$  by (simp add: dless)
  also with ypos have ... =  $(r/y) * (y + ?d)$ 
    by (simp only: right-distrib divide-inverse mult-ac, simp)
  also have ... =  $r*x$  using ypos
    by (simp add: times-divide-eq-left)
  finally show  $r + ?d < r*x$  .
qed
with r notin rdpos
show  $\exists r \in A. r * x \notin A$  by (blast dest: preal-downwards-closed [OF A])
qed
qed

```

10.9 Existence of Inverse: Part 2

```

lemma mem-Rep-preal-inverse-iff:
   $(z \in \text{Rep-preal}(\text{inverse } R)) =$ 
   $(0 < z \wedge (\exists y. z < y \wedge \text{inverse } y \notin \text{Rep-preal } R))$ 
apply (simp add: preal-inverse-def mem-inverse-set Rep-preal)
apply (simp add: inverse-set-def)
done

lemma Rep-preal-of-rat:
   $0 < q \implies \text{Rep-preal}(\text{preal-of-rat } q) = \{x. 0 < x \wedge x < q\}$ 
by (simp add: preal-of-rat-def rat-mem-preal)

lemma subset-inverse-mult-lemma:
assumes xpos:  $0 < x$  and xless:  $x < 1$ 
shows  $\exists r u y. 0 < r \wedge r < y \wedge \text{inverse } y \notin \text{Rep-preal } R \wedge$ 
   $u \in \text{Rep-preal } R \wedge x = r * u$ 
proof -
  from xpos and xless have 1 < inverse x by (simp add: one-less-inverse-iff)
  from lemma-gleason9-36 [OF Rep-preal this]
  obtain r where r:  $r \in \text{Rep-preal } R$ 
    and notin:  $r * (\text{inverse } x) \notin \text{Rep-preal } R ..$ 
  have rpos:  $0 < r$  by (rule preal-imp-pos [OF Rep-preal r])
  from preal-exists-greater [OF Rep-preal r]
  obtain u where u:  $u \in \text{Rep-preal } R$  and rless:  $r < u ..$ 
  have upos:  $0 < u$  by (rule preal-imp-pos [OF Rep-preal u])

```

```

show ?thesis
proof (intro exI conjI)
  show 0 < x/u using xpos upos
    by (simp add: zero-less-divide-iff)
  show x/u < x/r using xpos upos rpos
    by (simp add: divide-inverse mult-less-cancel-left rless)
  show inverse (x / r) ∈ Rep-preal R using notin
    by (simp add: divide-inverse mult-commute)
  show u ∈ Rep-preal R by (rule u)
  show x = x / u * u using upos
    by (simp add: divide-inverse mult-commute)
qed
qed

lemma subset-inverse-mult:
  Rep-preal(preal-of-rat 1) ⊆ Rep-preal(inverse R * R)
apply (auto simp add: Bex-def Rep-preal-of-rat mem-Rep-preal-inverse-iff
           mem-Rep-preal-mult-iff)
apply (blast dest: subset-inverse-mult-lemma)
done

lemma inverse-mult-subset-lemma:
assumes rpos: 0 < r
and rless: r < y
and notin: inverse y ∉ Rep-preal R
and q: q ∈ Rep-preal R
shows r*q < 1
proof -
  have q < inverse y using rpos rless
    by (simp add: not-in-preal-ub [OF Rep-preal notin] q)
  hence r * q < r/y using rpos
    by (simp add: divide-inverse mult-less-cancel-left)
  also have ... ≤ 1 using rpos rless
    by (simp add: pos-divide-le-eq)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma inverse-mult-subset:
  Rep-preal(inverse R * R) ⊆ Rep-preal(preal-of-rat 1)
apply (auto simp add: Bex-def Rep-preal-of-rat mem-Rep-preal-inverse-iff
           mem-Rep-preal-mult-iff)
apply (simp add: zero-less-mult-iff preal-imp-pos [OF Rep-preal])
apply (blast intro: inverse-mult-subset-lemma)
done

lemma preal-mult-inverse: inverse R * R = (1::preal)
unfolding preal-one-def
apply (rule Rep-preal-inject [THEN iffD1])
apply (rule equalityI [OF inverse-mult-subset subset-inverse-mult])

```

done

```
lemma preal-mult-inverse-right:  $R * \text{inverse } R = (1::\text{preal})$ 
apply (rule preal-mult-commute [THEN subst])
apply (rule preal-mult-inverse)
done
```

Theorems needing *Gleason9-34*

```
lemma Rep-preal-self-subset:  $\text{Rep-preal}(R) \subseteq \text{Rep-preal}(R + S)$ 
```

proof

```
  fix  $r$ 
  assume  $r: r \in \text{Rep-preal } R$ 
  have  $rpos: 0 < r$  by (rule preal-imp-pos [OF Rep-preal r])
  from mem-Rep-preal-Ex
  obtain  $y$  where  $y: y \in \text{Rep-preal } S ..$ 
  have  $ypos: 0 < y$  by (rule preal-imp-pos [OF Rep-preal y])
  have  $ry: r + y \in \text{Rep-preal}(R + S)$  using  $r y$ 
    by (auto simp add: mem-Rep-preal-add-iff)
  show  $r \in \text{Rep-preal}(R + S)$  using  $r ypos rpos$ 
    by (simp add: preal-downwards-closed [OF Rep-preal ry])
qed
```

```
lemma Rep-preal-sum-not-subset:  $\sim \text{Rep-preal}(R + S) \subseteq \text{Rep-preal}(R)$ 
```

proof –

```
  from mem-Rep-preal-Ex
  obtain  $y$  where  $y: y \in \text{Rep-preal } S ..$ 
  have  $ypos: 0 < y$  by (rule preal-imp-pos [OF Rep-preal y])
  from Gleason9-34 [OF Rep-preal ypos]
  obtain  $r$  where  $r: r \in \text{Rep-preal } R$  and  $\text{notin}: r + y \notin \text{Rep-preal } R ..$ 
  have  $r + y \in \text{Rep-preal}(R + S)$  using  $r y$ 
    by (auto simp add: mem-Rep-preal-add-iff)
  thus ?thesis using  $\text{notin}$  by blast
qed
```

```
lemma Rep-preal-sum-not-eq:  $\text{Rep-preal}(R + S) \neq \text{Rep-preal}(R)$ 
by (insert Rep-preal-sum-not-subset, blast)
```

at last, Gleason prop. 9-3.5(iii) page 123

```
lemma preal-self-less-add-left:  $(R::\text{preal}) < R + S$ 
apply (unfold preal-less-def psubset-def)
apply (simp add: Rep-preal-self-subset Rep-preal-sum-not-eq [THEN not-sym])
done
```

```
lemma preal-self-less-add-right:  $(R::\text{preal}) < S + R$ 
by (simp add: preal-add-commute preal-self-less-add-left)
```

```
lemma preal-not-eq-self:  $x \neq x + (y::\text{preal})$ 
by (insert preal-self-less-add-left [of  $x y$ ], auto)
```

10.10 Subtraction for Positive Reals

Gleason prop. 9-3.5(iv), page 123: proving $A < B \implies \exists D. A + D = B$.
We define the claimed D and show that it is a positive real

Part 1 of Dedekind sections definition

```
lemma diff-set-not-empty:
   $R < S \implies \{\} \subset \text{diff-set } (\text{Rep-preal } S) (\text{Rep-preal } R)$ 
apply (auto simp add: preal-less-def diff-set-def elim!: equalityE)
apply (frule-tac  $x_1 = S$  in Rep-preal [THEN preal-exists-greater])
apply (drule preal-imp-pos [OF Rep-preal], clarify)
apply (cut-tac  $a=x$  and  $b=u$  in add-eq-exists, force)
done
```

Part 2 of Dedekind sections definition

```
lemma diff-set-nonempty:
   $\exists q. 0 < q \& q \notin \text{diff-set } (\text{Rep-preal } S) (\text{Rep-preal } R)$ 
apply (cut-tac  $X = S$  in Rep-preal-exists-bound)
apply (erule exE)
apply (rule-tac  $x = x$  in exI, auto)
apply (simp add: diff-set-def)
apply (auto dest: Rep-preal [THEN preal-downwards-closed])
done
```

lemma diff-set-not-rat-set:

$\text{diff-set } (\text{Rep-preal } S) (\text{Rep-preal } R) < \{r. 0 < r\}$ (**is** ?lhs < ?rhs)

proof

show ?lhs \subseteq ?rhs **by** (auto simp add: diff-set-def)
show ?lhs \neq ?rhs **using** diff-set-nonempty **by** blast

qed

Part 3 of Dedekind sections definition

```
lemma diff-set-lemma3:
   $[|R < S; u \in \text{diff-set } (\text{Rep-preal } S) (\text{Rep-preal } R); 0 < z; z < u|]$ 
   $\implies z \in \text{diff-set } (\text{Rep-preal } S) (\text{Rep-preal } R)$ 
apply (auto simp add: diff-set-def)
apply (rule-tac  $x=x$  in exI)
apply (drule Rep-preal [THEN preal-downwards-closed], auto)
done
```

Part 4 of Dedekind sections definition

```
lemma diff-set-lemma4:
   $[|R < S; y \in \text{diff-set } (\text{Rep-preal } S) (\text{Rep-preal } R)|]$ 
   $\implies \exists u \in \text{diff-set } (\text{Rep-preal } S) (\text{Rep-preal } R). y < u$ 
apply (auto simp add: diff-set-def)
apply (drule Rep-preal [THEN preal-exists-greater], clarify)
apply (cut-tac  $a=x+y$  and  $b=u$  in add-eq-exists, clarify)
apply (rule-tac  $x=y+xa$  in exI)
```

```

apply (auto simp add: add-ac)
done

lemma mem-diff-set:
   $R < S \implies \text{diff-set}(\text{Rep-preal } S) (\text{Rep-preal } R) \in \text{preal}$ 
apply (unfold preal-def cut-def)
apply (blast intro!: diff-set-not-empty diff-set-not-rat-set
          diff-set-lemma3 diff-set-lemma4)
done

lemma mem-Rep-preal-diff-iff:
   $R < S \iff$ 
   $(z \in \text{Rep-preal}(S - R)) =$ 
   $(\exists x. 0 < x \& 0 < z \& x \notin \text{Rep-preal } R \& x + z \in \text{Rep-preal } S)$ 
apply (simp add: preal-diff-def mem-diff-set Rep-preal)
apply (force simp add: diff-set-def)
done

proving that  $R + D \leq S$ 

lemma less-add-left-lemma:
  assumes Rless:  $R < S$ 
  and a:  $a \in \text{Rep-preal } R$ 
  and cb:  $c + b \in \text{Rep-preal } S$ 
  and cnotin:  $c \notin \text{Rep-preal } R$ 
  and 0ltb:  $0 < b$ 
  and 0ltc:  $0 < c$ 
  shows a+cb:  $a + b \in \text{Rep-preal } S$ 
proof -
  have 0lt a by (rule preal-imp-pos [OF Rep-preal a])
  moreover
  have a < c using prems
    by (blast intro: not-in-Rep-preal-ub )
  ultimately show ?thesis using prems
    by (simp add: preal-downwards-closed [OF Rep-preal cb])
qed

```

```

lemma less-add-left-le1:
   $R < (S::\text{preal}) \implies R + (S - R) \leq S$ 
apply (auto simp add: Bex-def preal-le-def mem-Rep-preal-add-iff
          mem-Rep-preal-diff-iff)
apply (blast intro: less-add-left-lemma)
done

```

10.11 proving that $S \leq R + D$ — trickier

```

lemma lemma-sum-mem-Rep-preal-ex:
   $x \in \text{Rep-preal } S \implies \exists e. 0 < e \& x + e \in \text{Rep-preal } S$ 
apply (drule Rep-preal [THEN preal-exists-greater], clarify)
apply (cut-tac a=x and b=u in add-eq-exists, auto)

```

done

```
lemma less-add-left-lemma2:
assumes Rless:  $R < S$ 
and x:  $x \in \text{Rep-preal } S$ 
and xnot:  $x \notin \text{Rep-preal } R$ 
shows  $\exists u v z. 0 < v \& 0 < z \& u \in \text{Rep-preal } R \& z \notin \text{Rep-preal } R \&$ 
 $z + v \in \text{Rep-preal } S \& x = u + v$ 
proof -
have xpos:  $0 < x$  by (rule preal-imp-pos [OF Rep-preal x])
from lemma-sum-mem-Rep-preal-ex [OF x]
obtain e where epos:  $0 < e$  and xe:  $x + e \in \text{Rep-preal } S$  by blast
from Gleason9-34 [OF Rep-preal epos]
obtain r where r:  $r \in \text{Rep-preal } R$  and notin:  $r + e \notin \text{Rep-preal } R ..$ 
with x xnot xpos have rless:  $r < x$  by (blast intro: not-in-Rep-preal-ub)
from add-eq-exists [of r x]
obtain y where eq:  $x = r + y$  by auto
show ?thesis
proof (intro exI conjI)
show r:  $r \in \text{Rep-preal } R$  by (rule r)
show r + e:  $r + e \notin \text{Rep-preal } R$  by (rule notin)
show r + e + y:  $r + e + y \in \text{Rep-preal } S$  using xe eq by (simp add: add-ac)
show x:  $x = r + y$  by (simp add: eq)
show 0 < r + e:  $0 < r + e$  using epos preal-imp-pos [OF Rep-preal r]
by simp
show 0 < y:  $0 < y$  using rless eq by arith
qed
qed
```

```
lemma less-add-left-le2:  $R < (S::\text{preal}) ==> S \leq R + (S - R)$ 
apply (auto simp add: preal-le-def)
apply (case-tac x:  $x \in \text{Rep-preal } R$ )
apply (cut-tac Rep-preal-self-subset [of R], force)
apply (auto simp add: Bex-def mem-Rep-preal-add-iff mem-Rep-preal-diff-iff)
apply (blast dest: less-add-left-lemma2)
done
```

```
lemma less-add-left:  $R < (S::\text{preal}) ==> R + (S - R) = S$ 
by (blast intro: preal-le-anti-sym [OF less-add-left-le1 less-add-left-le2])
```

```
lemma less-add-left-Ex:  $R < (S::\text{preal}) ==> \exists D. R + D = S$ 
by (fast dest: less-add-left)
```

```
lemma preal-add-less2-mono1:  $R < (S::\text{preal}) ==> R + T < S + T$ 
apply (auto dest!: less-add-left-Ex simp add: preal-add-assoc)
apply (rule-tac y1 = D in preal-add-commute [THEN subst])
apply (auto intro: preal-self-less-add-left simp add: preal-add-assoc [symmetric])
done
```

```

lemma preal-add-less2-mono2:  $R < (S::\text{preal}) \implies T + R < T + S$ 
by (auto intro: preal-add-less2-mono1 simp add: preal-add-commute [of T])

lemma preal-add-right-less-cancel:  $R + T < S + T \implies R < (S::\text{preal})$ 
apply (insert linorder-less-linear [of  $R$   $S$ ], auto)
apply (drule-tac  $R = S$  and  $T = T$  in preal-add-less2-mono1)
apply (blast dest: order-less-trans)
done

lemma preal-add-left-less-cancel:  $T + R < T + S \implies R < (S::\text{preal})$ 
by (auto elim: preal-add-right-less-cancel simp add: preal-add-commute [of T])

lemma preal-add-less-cancel-right:  $((R::\text{preal}) + T < S + T) = (R < S)$ 
by (blast intro: preal-add-less2-mono1 preal-add-right-less-cancel)

lemma preal-add-less-cancel-left:  $(T + (R::\text{preal}) < T + S) = (R < S)$ 
by (blast intro: preal-add-less2-mono2 preal-add-left-less-cancel)

lemma preal-add-le-cancel-right:  $((R::\text{preal}) + T \leq S + T) = (R \leq S)$ 
by (simp add: linorder-not-less [symmetric] preal-add-less-cancel-right)

lemma preal-add-le-cancel-left:  $(T + (R::\text{preal}) \leq T + S) = (R \leq S)$ 
by (simp add: linorder-not-less [symmetric] preal-add-less-cancel-left)

lemma preal-add-less-mono:
  [|  $x1 < y1$ ;  $x2 < y2$  |]  $\implies x1 + x2 < y1 + (y2::\text{preal})$ 
apply (auto dest!: less-add-left-Ex simp add: preal-add-ac)
apply (rule preal-add-assoc [THEN subst])
apply (rule preal-self-less-add-right)
done

lemma preal-add-right-cancel:  $(R::\text{preal}) + T = S + T \implies R = S$ 
apply (insert linorder-less-linear [of  $R$   $S$ ], safe)
apply (drule-tac [|]  $T = T$  in preal-add-less2-mono1, auto)
done

lemma preal-add-left-cancel:  $C + A = C + B \implies A = (B::\text{preal})$ 
by (auto intro: preal-add-right-cancel simp add: preal-add-commute)

lemma preal-add-left-cancel-iff:  $(C + A = C + B) = ((A::\text{preal}) = B)$ 
by (fast intro: preal-add-left-cancel)

lemma preal-add-right-cancel-iff:  $(A + C = B + C) = ((A::\text{preal}) = B)$ 
by (fast intro: preal-add-right-cancel)

lemmas preal-cancels =
  preal-add-less-cancel-right preal-add-less-cancel-left
  preal-add-le-cancel-right preal-add-le-cancel-left
  preal-add-left-cancel-iff preal-add-right-cancel-iff

```

```

instance preal :: ordered-cancel-ab-semigroup-add
proof
  fix a b c :: preal
  show a + b = a + c ==> b = c by (rule preal-add-left-cancel)
  show a ≤ b ==> c + a ≤ c + b by (simp only: preal-add-le-cancel-left)
qed

```

10.12 Completeness of type preal

Prove that supremum is a cut

Part 1 of Dedekind sections definition

```

lemma preal-sup-set-not-empty:
  P ≠ {} ==> {} ⊂ (⋃ X ∈ P. Rep-preal(X))
apply auto
apply (cut-tac X = x in mem-Rep-preal-Ex, auto)
done

```

Part 2 of Dedekind sections definition

```

lemma preal-sup-not-exists:
  ∀ X ∈ P. X ≤ Y ==> ∃ q. 0 < q & q ∉ (⋃ X ∈ P. Rep-preal(X))
apply (cut-tac X = Y in Rep-preal-exists-bound)
apply (auto simp add: preal-le-def)
done

```

```

lemma preal-sup-set-not-rat-set:
  ∀ X ∈ P. X ≤ Y ==> (⋃ X ∈ P. Rep-preal(X)) < {r. 0 < r}
apply (drule preal-sup-not-exists)
apply (blast intro: preal-imp-pos [OF Rep-preal])
done

```

Part 3 of Dedekind sections definition

```

lemma preal-sup-set-lemma3:
  [|P ≠ {}; ∀ X ∈ P. X ≤ Y; u ∈ (⋃ X ∈ P. Rep-preal(X)); 0 < z; z < u|]
  ==> z ∈ (⋃ X ∈ P. Rep-preal(X))
by (auto elim: Rep-preal [THEN preal-downwards-closed])

```

Part 4 of Dedekind sections definition

```

lemma preal-sup-set-lemma4:
  [|P ≠ {}; ∀ X ∈ P. X ≤ Y; y ∈ (⋃ X ∈ P. Rep-preal(X)) |]
  ==> ∃ u ∈ (⋃ X ∈ P. Rep-preal(X)). y < u
by (blast dest: Rep-preal [THEN preal-exists-greater])

```

```

lemma preal-sup:
  [|P ≠ {}; ∀ X ∈ P. X ≤ Y|] ==> (⋃ X ∈ P. Rep-preal(X)) ∈ preal
apply (unfold preal-def cut-def)
apply (blast intro!: preal-sup-set-not-empty preal-sup-set-not-rat-set)

```

```

  preal-sup-set-lemma3 preal-sup-set-lemma4)
done

```

```

lemma preal-psup-le:
  [| ∀ X ∈ P. X ≤ Y; x ∈ P |] ==> x ≤ psup P
apply (simp (no-asm-simp) add: preal-le-def)
apply (subgoal-tac P ≠ {})
apply (auto simp add: psup-def preal-sup)
done

```

```

lemma psup-le-ub: [| P ≠ {}; ∀ X ∈ P. X ≤ Y |] ==> psup P ≤ Y
apply (simp (no-asm-simp) add: preal-le-def)
apply (simp add: psup-def preal-sup)
apply (auto simp add: preal-le-def)
done

```

Supremum property

```

lemma preal-complete:
  [| P ≠ {}; ∀ X ∈ P. X ≤ Y |] ==> (∃ X ∈ P. Z < X) = (Z < psup P)
apply (simp add: preal-less-def psup-def preal-sup)
apply (auto simp add: preal-le-def)
apply (rename-tac U)
apply (cut-tac x = U and y = Z in linorder-less-linear)
apply (auto simp add: preal-less-def)
done

```

10.13 The Embedding from *rat* into *preal*

```

lemma preal-of-rat-add-lemma1:
  [| x < y + z; 0 < x; 0 < y |] ==> x * y * inverse (y + z) < (y::rat)
apply (frule-tac c = y * inverse (y + z) in mult-strict-right-mono)
apply (simp add: zero-less-mult-iff)
apply (simp add: mult-ac)
done

```

```

lemma preal-of-rat-add-lemma2:
assumes u < x + y
  and 0 < x
  and 0 < y
  and 0 < u
shows ∃ v w::rat. w < y & 0 < v & v < x & 0 < w & u = v + w
proof (intro exI conjI)
show u * x * inverse(x+y) < x using prems
  by (simp add: preal-of-rat-add-lemma1)
show u * y * inverse(x+y) < y using prems
  by (simp add: preal-of-rat-add-lemma1 add-commute [of x])
show 0 < u * x * inverse (x + y) using prems
  by (simp add: zero-less-mult-iff)
show 0 < u * y * inverse (x + y) using prems

```

```

    by (simp add: zero-less-mult-iff)
show u = u * x * inverse (x + y) + u * y * inverse (x + y) using prems
    by (simp add: left-distrib [symmetric] right-distrib [symmetric] mult-ac)
qed

lemma preal-of-rat-add:
  [| 0 < x; 0 < y|]
  ==> preal-of-rat ((x::rat) + y) = preal-of-rat x + preal-of-rat y
apply (unfold preal-of-rat-def preal-add-def)
apply (simp add: rat-mem-preal)
apply (rule-tac f = Abs-preal in arg-cong)
apply (auto simp add: add-set-def)
apply (blast dest: preal-of-rat-add-lemma2)
done

lemma preal-of-rat-mult-lemma1:
  [|x < y; 0 < x; 0 < z|] ==> x * z * inverse y < (z::rat)
apply (frule-tac c = z * inverse y in mult-strict-right-mono)
apply (simp add: zero-less-mult-iff)
apply (subgoal-tac y * (z * inverse y) = z * (y * inverse y))
apply (simp-all add: mult-ac)
done

lemma preal-of-rat-mult-lemma2:
assumes xless: x < y * z
and xpos: 0 < x
and ypos: 0 < y
shows x * z * inverse y * inverse z < (z::rat)
proof -
have 0 < y * z using prems by simp
hence zpos: 0 < z using prems by (simp add: zero-less-mult-iff)
have x * z * inverse y * inverse z = x * inverse y * (z * inverse z)
  by (simp add: mult-ac)
also have ... = x/y using zpos
  by (simp add: divide-inverse)
also from xless have ... < z
  by (simp add: pos-divide-less-eq [OF ypos] mult-commute)
finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma preal-of-rat-mult-lemma3:
assumes uless: u < x * y
and 0 < x
and 0 < y
and 0 < u
shows ∃v w::rat. v < x & w < y & 0 < v & 0 < w & u = v * w
proof -
from dense [OF uless]
obtain r where u < r r < x * y by blast

```

```

thus ?thesis
proof (intro exI conjI)
show u * x * inverse r < x using prems
  by (simp add: preal-of-rat-mult-lemma1)
show r * y * inverse x * inverse y < y using prems
  by (simp add: preal-of-rat-mult-lemma2)
show 0 < u * x * inverse r using prems
  by (simp add: zero-less-mult-iff)
show 0 < r * y * inverse x * inverse y using prems
  by (simp add: zero-less-mult-iff)
have u * x * inverse r * (r * y * inverse x * inverse y) =
  u * (r * inverse r) * (x * inverse x) * (y * inverse y)
  by (simp only: mult-ac)
thus u = u * x * inverse r * (r * y * inverse x * inverse y) using prems
  by simp
qed
qed

lemma preal-of-rat-mult:
  [| 0 < x; 0 < y |] ==> preal-of-rat ((x::rat) * y) = preal-of-rat x * preal-of-rat y
apply (unfold preal-of-rat-def preal-mult-def)
apply (simp add: rat-mem-preal)
apply (rule-tac f = Abs-preal in arg-cong)
apply (auto simp add: zero-less-mult-iff mult-strict-mono mult-set-def)
apply (blast dest: preal-of-rat-mult-lemma3)
done

lemma preal-of-rat-less-iff:
  [| 0 < x; 0 < y |] ==> (preal-of-rat x < preal-of-rat y) = (x < y)
by (force simp add: preal-of-rat-def preal-less-def rat-mem-preal)

lemma preal-of-rat-le-iff:
  [| 0 < x; 0 < y |] ==> (preal-of-rat x ≤ preal-of-rat y) = (x ≤ y)
by (simp add: preal-of-rat-less-iff linorder-not-less [symmetric])

lemma preal-of-rat-eq-iff:
  [| 0 < x; 0 < y |] ==> (preal-of-rat x = preal-of-rat y) = (x = y)
by (simp add: preal-of-rat-le-iff order-eq-iff)

end

```

11 Defining the Reals from the Positive Reals

```

theory RealDef
imports PReal
uses (real-arith.ML)
begin

```

```

definition
realrel :: ((preal * preal) * (preal * preal)) set where
realrel = {p.  $\exists x_1 y_1 x_2 y_2. p = ((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) \& x_1 + y_2 = x_2 + y_1\}$ 

typedef (Real) real = UNIV//realrel
by (auto simp add: quotient-def)

definition

real-of-preal :: preal => real where
real-of-preal m = Abs-Real(realrel“{(m + 1, 1)})}

instance real :: zero
real-zero-def: 0 == Abs-Real(realrel“{(1, 1)}) ..
lemmas [code func del] = real-zero-def

instance real :: one
real-one-def: 1 == Abs-Real(realrel“{(1 + 1, 1)}) ..
lemmas [code func del] = real-one-def

instance real :: plus
real-add-def: z + w ==
contents ( $\bigcup (x, y) \in \text{Rep-Real}(z). \bigcup (u, v) \in \text{Rep-Real}(w).$ 
{ Abs-Real(realrel“{(x+u, y+v)}) }) ..
lemmas [code func del] = real-add-def

instance real :: minus
real-minus-def: - r == contents ( $\bigcup (x, y) \in \text{Rep-Real}(r). \{ \text{Abs-Real}(\text{realrel}“{(y, x)}) \}$ )
real-diff-def: r - (s::real) == r + - s ..
lemmas [code func del] = real-minus-def real-diff-def

instance real :: times
real-mult-def:
z * w ==
contents ( $\bigcup (x, y) \in \text{Rep-Real}(z). \bigcup (u, v) \in \text{Rep-Real}(w).$ 
{ Abs-Real(realrel“{(x*u + y*v, x*v + y*u)}) }) ..
lemmas [code func del] = real-mult-def

instance real :: inverse
real-inverse-def: inverse (R::real) == (THE S. (R = 0 & S = 0) | S * R = 1)
real-divide-def: R / (S::real) == R * inverse S ..
lemmas [code func del] = real-inverse-def real-divide-def

instance real :: ord
real-le-def: z ≤ (w::real) ==
 $\exists x y u v. x + v \leq u + y \& (x, y) \in \text{Rep-Real } z \& (u, v) \in \text{Rep-Real } w$ 
real-less-def: (x < (y::real)) == (x ≤ y & x ≠ y) ..

```

```

lemmas [code func del] = real-le-def real-less-def

instance real :: abs
real-abs-def: abs (r::real) == (if r < 0 then - r else r) ..

instance real :: sgn
real-sgn-def: sgn x == (if x=0 then 0 else if 0<x then 1 else - 1) ..

```

11.1 Equivalence relation over positive reals

```

lemma preal-trans-lemma:
assumes x + y1 = x1 + y
and x + y2 = x2 + y
shows x1 + y2 = x2 + (y1::preal)
proof -
  have (x1 + y2) + x = (x + y2) + x1 by (simp add: add-ac)
  also have ... = (x2 + y) + x1 by (simp add: prems)
  also have ... = x2 + (x1 + y) by (simp add: add-ac)
  also have ... = x2 + (x + y1) by (simp add: prems)
  also have ... = (x2 + y1) + x by (simp add: add-ac)
  finally have (x1 + y2) + x = (x2 + y1) + x .
  thus ?thesis by (rule add-right-imp-eq)
qed

```

```

lemma realrel-iff [simp]: (((x1,y1),(x2,y2)) ∈ realrel) = (x1 + y2 = x2 + y1)
by (simp add: realrel-def)

```

```

lemma equiv-realrel: equiv UNIV realrel
apply (auto simp add: equiv-def refl-def sym-def trans-def realrel-def)
apply (blast dest: preal-trans-lemma)
done

```

Reduces equality of equivalence classes to the *realrel* relation: (*realrel* “ $\{x\}$ = *realrel* “ $\{y\}$) = ((x, y) ∈ *realrel*)

```

lemmas equiv-realrel-iff =
eq-equiv-class-iff [OF equiv-realrel UNIV-I UNIV-I]

```

```

declare equiv-realrel-iff [simp]

```

```

lemma realrel-in-real [simp]: realrel“{(x,y)}: Real
by (simp add: Real-def realrel-def quotient-def, blast)

```

```

declare Abs-Real-inject [simp]
declare Abs-Real-inverse [simp]

```

Case analysis on the representation of a real number as an equivalence class of pairs of positive reals.

```

lemma eq-Abs-Real [case-names Abs-Real, cases type: real]:
  (!!x y. z = Abs-Real(realrel“{(x,y)}) ==> P) ==> P
apply (rule Rep-Real [of z, unfolded Real-def, THEN quotientE])
apply (drule arg-cong [where f=Abs-Real])
apply (auto simp add: Rep-Real-inverse)
done

```

11.2 Addition and Subtraction

```

lemma real-add-congruent2-lemma:
  [| a + ba = aa + b; ab + bc = ac + bb |]
  ==> a + ab + (ba + bc) = aa + ac + (b + (bb::preal))
apply (simp add: add-assoc)
apply (rule add-left-commute [of ab, THEN ssubst])
apply (simp add: add-assoc [symmetric])
apply (simp add: add-ac)
done

lemma real-add:
  Abs-Real (realrel“{(x,y)}) + Abs-Real (realrel“{(u,v)}) =
  Abs-Real (realrel“{(x+u, y+v)})
proof –
  have (λz w. (λ(x,y). (λ(u,v). {Abs-Real (realrel “ {(x+u, y+v)})}) w) z)
    respects2 realrel
  by (simp add: congruent2-def, blast intro: real-add-congruent2-lemma)
  thus ?thesis
  by (simp add: real-add-def UN-UN-split-split-eq
    UN-equiv-class2 [OF equiv-realrel equiv-realrel])
qed

lemma real-minus: – Abs-Real(realrel“{(x,y)}) = Abs-Real(realrel “ {(y,x)})
proof –
  have (λ(x,y). {Abs-Real (realrel“{(y,x)})}) respects realrel
  by (simp add: congruent-def add-commute)
  thus ?thesis
  by (simp add: real-minus-def UN-equiv-class [OF equiv-realrel])
qed

instance real :: ab-group-add
proof
  fix x y z :: real
  show (x + y) + z = x + (y + z)
    by (cases x, cases y, cases z, simp add: real-add add-assoc)
  show x + y = y + x
    by (cases x, cases y, simp add: real-add add-commute)
  show 0 + x = x
    by (cases x, simp add: real-add real-zero-def add-ac)
  show – x + x = 0
    by (cases x, simp add: real-minus real-add real-zero-def add-commute)

```

```

show x - y = x + - y
  by (simp add: real-diff-def)
qed

```

11.3 Multiplication

```

lemma real-mult-congruent2-lemma:
  !!(x1::preal). [| x1 + y2 = x2 + y1 |] ==>
    x * x1 + y * y1 + (x * y2 + y * x2) =
    x * x2 + y * y2 + (x * y1 + y * x1)
  apply (simp add: add-left-commute add-assoc [symmetric])
  apply (simp add: add-assoc right-distrib [symmetric])
  apply (simp add: add-commute)
done

lemma real-mult-congruent2:
  (%p1 p2.
    (%(x1,y1). %(x2,y2).
      { Abs-Real (realrel``{(x1*x2 + y1*y2, x1*y2+y1*x2)}) }) p2) p1)
  respects2 realrel
  apply (rule congruent2-commuteI [OF equiv-realrel], clarify)
  apply (simp add: mult-commute add-commute)
  apply (auto simp add: real-mult-congruent2-lemma)
done

lemma real-mult:
  Abs-Real((realrel``{(x1,y1)})) * Abs-Real((realrel``{(x2,y2)})) =
  Abs-Real(realrel `` {(x1*x2+y1*y2,x1*y2+y1*x2)})
by (simp add: real-mult-def UN-UN-split-split-eq
  UN-equiv-class2 [OF equiv-realrel equiv-realrel real-mult-congruent2])

lemma real-mult-commute: (z::real) * w = w * z
by (cases z, cases w, simp add: real-mult add-ac mult-ac)

lemma real-mult-assoc: ((z1::real) * z2) * z3 = z1 * (z2 * z3)
apply (cases z1, cases z2, cases z3)
apply (simp add: real-mult right-distrib add-ac mult-ac)
done

lemma real-mult-1: (1::real) * z = z
apply (cases z)
apply (simp add: real-mult real-one-def right-distrib
  mult-1-right mult-ac add-ac)
done

lemma real-add-mult-distrib: ((z1::real) + z2) * w = (z1 * w) + (z2 * w)
apply (cases z1, cases z2, cases w)
apply (simp add: real-add real-mult right-distrib add-ac mult-ac)
done

```

one and zero are distinct

```

lemma real-zero-not-eq-one:  $0 \neq (1::\text{real})$ 
proof -
  have  $(1::\text{preal}) < 1 + 1$ 
    by (simp add: preal-self-less-add-left)
  thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: real-zero-def real-one-def)
qed

instance real :: comm-ring-1
proof
  fix x y z :: real
  show  $(x * y) * z = x * (y * z)$  by (rule real-mult-assoc)
  show  $x * y = y * x$  by (rule real-mult-commute)
  show  $1 * x = x$  by (rule real-mult-1)
  show  $(x + y) * z = x * z + y * z$  by (rule real-add-mult-distrib)
  show  $0 \neq (1::\text{real})$  by (rule real-zero-not-eq-one)
qed

```

11.4 Inverse and Division

```

lemma real-zero-iff: Abs-Real (realrel ``{(x, x)}) = 0
by (simp add: real-zero-def add-commute)

```

Instead of using an existential quantifier and constructing the inverse within the proof, we could define the inverse explicitly.

```

lemma real-mult-inverse-left-ex:  $x \neq 0 \implies \exists y. y * x = (1::\text{real})$ 
apply (simp add: real-zero-def real-one-def, cases x)
apply (cut-tac x = xa and y = y in linorder-less-linear)
apply (auto dest!: less-add-left-Ex simp add: real-zero-iff)
apply (rule-tac
  x = Abs-Real (realrel``{(1, inverse (D) + 1)})
  in exI)
apply (rule-tac [2]
  x = Abs-Real (realrel``{(inverse (D) + 1, 1)})
  in exI)
apply (auto simp add: real-mult preal-mult-inverse-right ring-simps)
done

lemma real-mult-inverse-left:  $x \neq 0 \implies \text{inverse}(x) * x = (1::\text{real})$ 
apply (simp add: real-inverse-def)
apply (drule real-mult-inverse-left-ex, safe)
apply (rule theI, assumption, rename-tac z)
apply (subgoal-tac  $(z * x) * y = z * (x * y)$ )
apply (simp add: mult-commute)
apply (rule mult-assoc)
done

```

11.5 The Real Numbers form a Field

```

instance real :: field
proof
  fix x y z :: real
  show x ≠ 0 ==> inverse x * x = 1 by (rule real-mult-inverse-left)
  show x / y = x * inverse y by (simp add: real-divide-def)
qed

```

Inverse of zero! Useful to simplify certain equations

```

lemma INVERSE-ZERO: inverse 0 = (0::real)
by (simp add: real-inverse-def)

```

```

instance real :: division-by-zero
proof
  show inverse 0 = (0::real) by (rule INVERSE-ZERO)
qed

```

11.6 The \leq Ordering

```

lemma real-le-refl: w ≤ (w::real)
by (cases w, force simp add: real-le-def)

```

The arithmetic decision procedure is not set up for type preal. This lemma is currently unused, but it could simplify the proofs of the following two lemmas.

```

lemma preal-eq-le-imp-le:
  assumes eq: a+b = c+d and le: c ≤ a
  shows b ≤ (d::preal)
proof –
  have c+d ≤ a+d by (simp add: prems)
  hence a+b ≤ a+d by (simp add: prems)
  thus b ≤ d by simp
qed

```

```

lemma real-le-lemma:
  assumes l: u1 + v2 ≤ u2 + v1
    and x1 + v1 = u1 + y1
    and x2 + v2 = u2 + y2
  shows x1 + y2 ≤ x2 + (y1::preal)
proof –
  have (x1+v1) + (u2+y2) = (u1+y1) + (x2+v2) by (simp add: prems)
  hence (x1+y2) + (u2+v1) = (x2+y1) + (u1+v2) by (simp add: add-ac)
  also have ... ≤ (x2+y1) + (u2+v1) by (simp add: prems)
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed

```

```

lemma real-le:
  (Abs-Real(realrel“{(x1,y1)})) ≤ Abs-Real(realrel“{(x2,y2)})) =

```

```


$$(x1 + y2 \leq x2 + y1)$$

apply (simp add: real-le-def)
apply (auto intro: real-le-lemma)
done

lemma real-le-anti-sym: [|  $z \leq w; w \leq z$  |] ==>  $z = (w::real)$ 
by (cases z, cases w, simp add: real-le)

lemma real-trans-lemma:
assumes  $x + v \leq u + y$ 
and  $u + v' \leq u' + v$ 
and  $x2 + v2 = u2 + y2$ 
shows  $x + v' \leq u' + (y::preal)$ 
proof -
have  $(x+v') + (u+v) = (x+v) + (u+v')$  by (simp add: add-ac)
also have ...  $\leq (u+y) + (u+v')$  by (simp add: prems)
also have ...  $\leq (u+y) + (u'+v)$  by (simp add: prems)
also have ...  $= (u'+y) + (u+v)$  by (simp add: add-ac)
finally show ?thesis by simp
qed

lemma real-le-trans: [|  $i \leq j; j \leq k$  |] ==>  $i \leq (k::real)$ 
apply (cases i, cases j, cases k)
apply (simp add: real-le)
apply (blast intro: real-trans-lemma)
done

lemma real-less-le:  $((w::real) < z) = (w \leq z \ \& \ w \neq z)$ 
by (simp add: real-less-def)

instance real :: order
proof qed
(assumption |
 rule real-le-refl real-le-trans real-le-anti-sym real-less-le)+

lemma real-le-linear:  $(z::real) \leq w \mid w \leq z$ 
apply (cases z, cases w)
apply (auto simp add: real-le real-zero-def add-ac)
done

instance real :: linorder
by (intro-classes, rule real-le-linear)

lemma real-le-eq-diff:  $(x \leq y) = (x-y \leq (0::real))$ 
apply (cases x, cases y)

```

```

apply (auto simp add: real-le real-zero-def real-diff-def real-add real-minus
      add-ac)
apply (simp-all add: add-assoc [symmetric])
done

lemma real-add-left-mono:
  assumes le:  $x \leq y$  shows  $z + x \leq z + y$  ( $x, y : \text{real}$ )
proof -
  have  $z + x - (z + y) = (z + -z) + (x - y)$ 
    by (simp add: diff-minus add-ac)
  with le show ?thesis
    by (simp add: real-le-eq-diff[of x] real-le-eq-diff[of z+x] diff-minus)
qed

lemma real-sum-gt-zero-less:  $(0 < S + (-W : \text{real})) \Rightarrow (W < S)$ 
by (simp add: linorder-not-le [symmetric] real-le-eq-diff [of S] diff-minus)

lemma real-less-sum-gt-zero:  $(W < S) \Rightarrow (0 < S + (-W : \text{real}))$ 
by (simp add: linorder-not-le [symmetric] real-le-eq-diff [of S] diff-minus)

lemma real-mult-order:  $\| 0 < x; 0 < y \| \Rightarrow (0 : \text{real}) < x * y$ 
apply (cases x, cases y)
apply (simp add: linorder-not-le [where 'a = real, symmetric]
               linorder-not-le [where 'a = preal]
               real-zero-def real-le real-mult)
  — Reduce to the (simpler)  $\leq$  relation
apply (auto dest!: less-add-left-Ex
          simp add: add-ac mult-ac
          right-distrib preal-self-less-add-left)
done

lemma real-mult-less-mono2:  $\| (0 : \text{real}) < z; x < y \| \Rightarrow z * x < z * y$ 
apply (rule real-sum-gt-zero-less)
apply (drule real-less-sum-gt-zero [of x y])
apply (drule real-mult-order, assumption)
apply (simp add: right-distrib)
done

instance real :: distrib-lattice
  inf x y ≡ min x y
  sup x y ≡ max x y
  by default (auto simp add: inf-real-def sup-real-def min-max.sup-inf-distrib1)

```

11.7 The Reals Form an Ordered Field

```

instance real :: ordered-field
proof
  fix x y z :: real
  show  $x \leq y \Rightarrow z + x \leq z + y$  by (rule real-add-left-mono)

```

```

show  $x < y \implies 0 < z \implies z * x < z * y$  by (rule real-mult-less-mono2)
show  $|x| = (\text{if } x < 0 \text{ then } -x \text{ else } x)$  by (simp only: real-abs-def)
show  $\text{sgn } x = (\text{if } x=0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else if } 0 < x \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } -1)$ 
    by (simp only: real-sgn-def)
qed

```

instance *real* :: *lordered-ab-group-add* ..

The function *real-of-preal* requires many proofs, but it seems to be essential for proving completeness of the reals from that of the positive reals.

```

lemma real-of-preal-add:
  real-of-preal ((x::preal) + y) = real-of-preal x + real-of-preal y
  by (simp add: real-of-preal-def real-add left-distrib add-ac)

```

```

lemma real-of-preal-mult:
  real-of-preal ((x::preal) * y) = real-of-preal x * real-of-preal y
  by (simp add: real-of-preal-def real-mult right-distrib add-ac mult-ac)

```

Gleason prop 9-4.4 p 127

```

lemma real-of-preal-trichotomy:
   $\exists m. (x::\text{real}) = \text{real-of-preal } m \mid x = 0 \mid x = -(\text{real-of-preal } m)$ 
apply (simp add: real-of-preal-def real-zero-def, cases x)
apply (auto simp add: real-minus add-ac)
apply (cut-tac x = x and y = y in linorder-less-linear)
apply (auto dest!: less-add-left-Ex simp add: add-assoc [symmetric])
done

```

```

lemma real-of-preal-leD:
  real-of-preal m1 ≤ real-of-preal m2 ==> m1 ≤ m2
  by (simp add: real-of-preal-def real-le)

```

```

lemma real-of-preal-lessI: m1 < m2 ==> real-of-preal m1 < real-of-preal m2
  by (auto simp add: real-of-preal-leD linorder-not-le [symmetric])

```

```

lemma real-of-preal-lessD:
  real-of-preal m1 < real-of-preal m2 ==> m1 < m2
  by (simp add: real-of-preal-def real-le linorder-not-le [symmetric])

```

```

lemma real-of-preal-less-iff [simp]:
  (real-of-preal m1 < real-of-preal m2) = (m1 < m2)
  by (blast intro: real-of-preal-lessI real-of-preal-lessD)

```

```

lemma real-of-preal-le-iff:
  (real-of-preal m1 ≤ real-of-preal m2) = (m1 ≤ m2)
  by (simp add: linorder-not-less [symmetric])

```

```

lemma real-of-preal-zero-less: 0 < real-of-preal m
apply (insert preal-self-less-add-left [of 1 m])
apply (auto simp add: real-zero-def real-of-preal-def)

```

```

real-less-def real-le-def add-ac)
apply (rule-tac x=m + 1 in exI, rule-tac x=1 in exI)
apply (simp add: add-ac)
done

lemma real-of-preal-minus-zero: - real-of-preal m < 0
by (simp add: real-of-preal-zero-less)

lemma real-of-preal-not-minus-gt-zero: ~ 0 < - real-of-preal m
proof -
  from real-of-preal-minus-zero
  show ?thesis by (blast dest: order-less-trans)
qed

```

11.8 Theorems About the Ordering

```

lemma real-gt-zero-preal-Ex: (0 < x) = (∃ y. x = real-of-preal y)
apply (auto simp add: real-of-preal-zero-less)
apply (cut-tac x = x in real-of-preal-trichotomy)
apply (blast elim!: real-of-preal-not-minus-gt-zero [THEN note])
done

```

```

lemma real-gt-preal-preal-Ex:
  real-of-preal z < x ==> ∃ y. x = real-of-preal y
by (blast dest!: real-of-preal-zero-less [THEN order-less-trans]
      intro: real-gt-zero-preal-Ex [THEN iffD1])

```

```

lemma real-ge-preal-preal-Ex:
  real-of-preal z ≤ x ==> ∃ y. x = real-of-preal y
by (blast dest: order-le-imp-less-or-eq real-gt-preal-preal-Ex)

```

```

lemma real-less-all-preal: y ≤ 0 ==> ∀ x. y < real-of-preal x
by (auto elim: order-le-imp-less-or-eq [THEN disjE]
      intro: real-of-preal-zero-less [THEN [2] order-less-trans]
      simp add: real-of-preal-zero-less)

```

```

lemma real-less-all-real2: ~ 0 < y ==> ∀ x. y < real-of-preal x
by (blast intro!: real-less-all-preal linorder-not-less [THEN iffD1])

```

11.9 More Lemmas

```

lemma real-mult-left-cancel: (c::real) ≠ 0 ==> (c*a=c*b) = (a=b)
by auto

```

```

lemma real-mult-right-cancel: (c::real) ≠ 0 ==> (a*c=b*c) = (a=b)
by auto

```

```

lemma real-mult-less-iff1 [simp]: (0::real) < z ==> (x*z < y*z) = (x < y)
by (force elim: order-less-asym
      simp add: Ring-and-Field.mult-less-cancel-right)

```

```

lemma real-mult-le-cancel-iff1 [simp]: ( $0::real$ )  $< z \implies (x*z \leq y*z) = (x \leq y)$ 
apply (simp add: mult-le-cancel-right)
apply (blast intro: elim: order-less-asym)
done

lemma real-mult-le-cancel-iff2 [simp]: ( $0::real$ )  $< z \implies (z*x \leq z*y) = (x \leq y)$ 
by(simp add:mult-commute)

lemma real-inverse-gt-one: [| ( $0::real$ )  $< x; x < 1$  |]  $\implies 1 < inverse\ x$ 
by (simp add: one-less-inverse-iff)

```

11.10 Embedding numbers into the Reals

abbreviation
 $real\text{-}of\text{-}nat :: nat \Rightarrow real$
where
 $real\text{-}of\text{-}nat \equiv of\text{-}nat$

abbreviation
 $real\text{-}of\text{-}int :: int \Rightarrow real$
where
 $real\text{-}of\text{-}int \equiv of\text{-}int$

abbreviation
 $real\text{-}of\text{-}rat :: rat \Rightarrow real$
where
 $real\text{-}of\text{-}rat \equiv of\text{-}rat$

consts

$real :: 'a \Rightarrow real$

defs (overloaded)
 $real\text{-}of\text{-}nat\text{-}def [code inline]: real == real\text{-}of\text{-}nat$
 $real\text{-}of\text{-}int\text{-}def [code inline]: real == real\text{-}of\text{-}int$

lemma real-eq-of-nat: $real = of\text{-}nat$
unfolding real-of-nat-def ..

lemma real-eq-of-int: $real = of\text{-}int$
unfolding real-of-int-def ..

lemma real-of-int-zero [simp]: $real\ (0::int) = 0$
by (simp add: real-of-int-def)

lemma real-of-one [simp]: $real\ (1::int) = (1::real)$
by (simp add: real-of-int-def)

```

lemma real-of-int-add [simp]:  $\text{real}(x + y) = \text{real}(x:\text{int}) + \text{real} y$ 
by (simp add: real-of-int-def)

lemma real-of-int-minus [simp]:  $\text{real}(-x) = -\text{real}(x:\text{int})$ 
by (simp add: real-of-int-def)

lemma real-of-int-diff [simp]:  $\text{real}(x - y) = \text{real}(x:\text{int}) - \text{real} y$ 
by (simp add: real-of-int-def)

lemma real-of-int-mult [simp]:  $\text{real}(x * y) = \text{real}(x:\text{int}) * \text{real} y$ 
by (simp add: real-of-int-def)

lemma real-of-int-setsum [simp]:  $\text{real}((\text{SUM } x:A. f x):\text{int}) = (\text{SUM } x:A. \text{real}(f x))$ 
apply (subst real-eq-of-int)+  

apply (rule of-int-setsum)
done

lemma real-of-int-setprod [simp]:  $\text{real}((\text{PROD } x:A. f x):\text{int}) = (\text{PROD } x:A. \text{real}(f x))$ 
apply (subst real-eq-of-int)+  

apply (rule of-int-setprod)
done

lemma real-of-int-zero-cancel [simp]:  $(\text{real } x = 0) = (x = (0:\text{int}))$ 
by (simp add: real-of-int-def)

lemma real-of-int-inject [iff]:  $(\text{real } (x:\text{int}) = \text{real } y) = (x = y)$ 
by (simp add: real-of-int-def)

lemma real-of-int-less-iff [iff]:  $(\text{real } (x:\text{int}) < \text{real } y) = (x < y)$ 
by (simp add: real-of-int-def)

lemma real-of-int-le-iff [simp]:  $(\text{real } (x:\text{int}) \leq \text{real } y) = (x \leq y)$ 
by (simp add: real-of-int-def)

lemma real-of-int-gt-zero-cancel-iff [simp]:  $(0 < \text{real } (n:\text{int})) = (0 < n)$ 
by (simp add: real-of-int-def)

lemma real-of-int-ge-zero-cancel-iff [simp]:  $(0 \leq \text{real } (n:\text{int})) = (0 \leq n)$ 
by (simp add: real-of-int-def)

lemma real-of-int-lt-zero-cancel-iff [simp]:  $(\text{real } (n:\text{int}) < 0) = (n < 0)$ 
by (simp add: real-of-int-def)

lemma real-of-int-le-zero-cancel-iff [simp]:  $(\text{real } (n:\text{int}) \leq 0) = (n \leq 0)$ 
by (simp add: real-of-int-def)

lemma real-of-int-abs [simp]:  $\text{real}(\text{abs } x) = \text{abs}(\text{real } (x:\text{int}))$ 

```

```

by (auto simp add: abs-if)

lemma int-less-real-le: ((n::int) < m) = (real n + 1 <= real m)
  apply (subgoal-tac real n + 1 = real (n + 1))
  apply (simp del: real-of-int-add)
  apply auto
done

lemma int-le-real-less: ((n::int) <= m) = (real n < real m + 1)
  apply (subgoal-tac real m + 1 = real (m + 1))
  apply (simp del: real-of-int-add)
  apply simp
done

lemma real-of-int-div-aux: d ~ 0 ==> (real (x::int)) / (real d) =
  real (x div d) + (real (x mod d)) / (real d)
proof -
  assume d ~ 0
  have x = (x div d) * d + x mod d
    by auto
  then have real x = real (x div d) * real d + real(x mod d)
    by (simp only: real-of-int-mult [THEN sym] real-of-int-add [THEN sym])
  then have real x / real d = ... / real d
    by simp
  then show ?thesis
    by (auto simp add: add-divide-distrib ring-simps prems)
qed

lemma real-of-int-div: (d::int) ~ 0 ==> d dvd n ==>
  real(n div d) = real n / real d
  apply (frule real-of-int-div-aux [of d n])
  apply simp
  apply (simp add: zdvd-iff-zmod-eq-0)
done

lemma real-of-int-div2:
  0 <= real (n::int) / real (x) - real (n div x)
  apply (case-tac x = 0)
  apply simp
  apply (case-tac 0 < x)
  apply (simp add: compare-rls)
  apply (subst real-of-int-div-aux)
  apply simp
  apply simp
  apply (subst zero-le-divide-iff)
  apply auto
  apply (simp add: compare-rls)
  apply (subst real-of-int-div-aux)
  apply simp

```

```

apply simp
apply (subst zero-le-divide-iff)
apply auto
done

lemma real-of-int-div3:
  real (n::int) / real (x) - real (n div x) <= 1
  apply(case-tac x = 0)
  apply simp
  apply (simp add: compare-rls)
  apply (subst real-of-int-div-aux)
  apply assumption
  apply simp
  apply (subst divide-le-eq)
  apply clarsimp
  apply (rule conjI)
  apply (rule impI)
  apply (rule order-less-imp-le)
  apply simp
  apply (rule impI)
  apply (rule order-less-imp-le)
  apply simp
done

lemma real-of-int-div4: real (n div x) <= real (n::int) / real x
  by (insert real-of-int-div2 [of n x], simp)

```

11.11 Embedding the Naturals into the Reals

```

lemma real-of-nat-zero [simp]: real (0::nat) = 0
  by (simp add: real-of-nat-def)

lemma real-of-nat-one [simp]: real (Suc 0) = (1::real)
  by (simp add: real-of-nat-def)

lemma real-of-nat-add [simp]: real (m + n) = real (m::nat) + real n
  by (simp add: real-of-nat-def)

lemma real-of-nat-Suc: real (Suc n) = real n + (1::real)
  by (simp add: real-of-nat-def)

lemma real-of-nat-less-iff [iff]:
  (real (n::nat) < real m) = (n < m)
  by (simp add: real-of-nat-def)

lemma real-of-nat-le-iff [iff]: (real (n::nat) ≤ real m) = (n ≤ m)
  by (simp add: real-of-nat-def)

```

```

lemma real-of-nat-ge-zero [iff]:  $0 \leq \text{real } (n:\text{nat})$ 
by (simp add: real-of-nat-def zero-le-imp-of-nat)

lemma real-of-nat-Suc-gt-zero:  $0 < \text{real } (\text{Suc } n)$ 
by (simp add: real-of-nat-def del: of-nat-Suc)

lemma real-of-nat-mult [simp]:  $\text{real } (m * n) = \text{real } (m:\text{nat}) * \text{real } n$ 
by (simp add: real-of-nat-def of-nat-mult)

lemma real-of-nat-setsum [simp]:  $\text{real } ((\text{SUM } x:A. f x):\text{nat}) =$ 
   $(\text{SUM } x:A. \text{real}(f x))$ 
apply (subst real-eq-of-nat)+
apply (rule of-nat-setsum)
done

lemma real-of-nat-setprod [simp]:  $\text{real } ((\text{PROD } x:A. f x):\text{nat}) =$ 
   $(\text{PROD } x:A. \text{real}(f x))$ 
apply (subst real-eq-of-nat)+
apply (rule of-nat-setprod)
done

lemma real-of-card:  $\text{real } (\text{card } A) = \text{setsum } (\%x. 1) A$ 
apply (subst card-eq-setsum)
apply (subst real-of-nat-setsum)
apply simp
done

lemma real-of-nat-inject [iff]:  $(\text{real } (n:\text{nat}) = \text{real } m) = (n = m)$ 
by (simp add: real-of-nat-def)

lemma real-of-nat-zero-iff [iff]:  $(\text{real } (n:\text{nat}) = 0) = (n = 0)$ 
by (simp add: real-of-nat-def)

lemma real-of-nat-diff:  $n \leq m ==> \text{real } (m - n) = \text{real } (m:\text{nat}) - \text{real } n$ 
by (simp add: add: real-of-nat-def of-nat-diff)

lemma real-of-nat-gt-zero-cancel-iff [simp]:  $(0 < \text{real } (n:\text{nat})) = (0 < n)$ 
by (auto simp: real-of-nat-def)

lemma real-of-nat-le-zero-cancel-iff [simp]:  $(\text{real } (n:\text{nat}) \leq 0) = (n = 0)$ 
by (simp add: add: real-of-nat-def)

lemma not-real-of-nat-less-zero [simp]:  $\sim \text{real } (n:\text{nat}) < 0$ 
by (simp add: add: real-of-nat-def)

lemma real-of-nat-ge-zero-cancel-iff [simp]:  $(0 \leq \text{real } (n:\text{nat}))$ 
by (simp add: add: real-of-nat-def)

lemma nat-less-real-le:  $((n:\text{nat}) < m) = (\text{real } n + 1 \leq \text{real } m)$ 

```

```

apply (subgoal-tac real n + 1 = real (Suc n))
apply simp
apply (auto simp add: real-of-nat-Suc)
done

lemma nat-le-real-less: ((n::nat) <= m) = (real n < real m + 1)
apply (subgoal-tac real m + 1 = real (Suc m))
apply (simp add: less-Suc-eq-le)
apply (simp add: real-of-nat-Suc)
done

lemma real-of-nat-div-aux: 0 < d ==> (real (x::nat)) / (real d) =
  real (x div d) + (real (x mod d)) / (real d)
proof -
  assume 0 < d
  have x = (x div d) * d + x mod d
    by auto
  then have real x = real (x div d) * real d + real(x mod d)
    by (simp only: real-of-nat-mult [THEN sym] real-of-nat-add [THEN sym])
  then have real x / real d = ... / real d
    by simp
  then show ?thesis
    by (auto simp add: add-divide-distrib ring-simps prems)
qed

lemma real-of-nat-div: 0 < (d::nat) ==> d dvd n ==>
  real(n div d) = real n / real d
apply (frule real-of-nat-div-aux [of d n])
apply simp
apply (subst dvd-eq-mod-eq-0 [THEN sym])
apply assumption
done

lemma real-of-nat-div2:
  0 <= real (n::nat) / real (x) - real (n div x)
apply(case-tac x = 0)
apply (simp)
apply (simp add: compare-rls)
apply (subst real-of-nat-div-aux)
apply simp
apply simp
apply (subst zero-le-divide-iff)
apply simp
done

lemma real-of-nat-div3:
  real (n::nat) / real (x) - real (n div x) <= 1
apply(case-tac x = 0)
apply (simp)

```

```

apply (simp add: compare-rls)
apply (subst real-of-nat-div-aux)
apply simp
apply simp
done

lemma real-of-nat-div4: real (n div x) <= real (n::nat) / real x
by (insert real-of-nat-div2 [of n x], simp)

lemma real-of-int-real-of-nat: real (int n) = real n
by (simp add: real-of-nat-def real-of-int-def int-eq-of-nat)

lemma real-of-int-of-nat-eq [simp]: real (of-nat n :: int) = real n
by (simp add: real-of-int-def real-of-nat-def)

lemma real-nat-eq-real [simp]: 0 <= x ==> real(nat x) = real x
apply (subgoal-tac real(int(nat x)) = real(nat x))
apply force
apply (simp only: real-of-int-real-of-nat)
done

```

11.12 Numerals and Arithmetic

```

instance real :: number-ring
real-number-of-def: number-of w ≡ real-of-int w
by intro-classes (simp add: real-number-of-def)

```

```

lemma [code, code unfold]:
number-of k = real-of-int (number-of k)
unfolding number-of-is-id real-number-of-def ..

```

Collapse applications of *real* to *number-of*

```

lemma real-number-of [simp]: real (number-of v :: int) = number-of v
by (simp add: real-of-int-def of-int-number-of-eq)

```

```

lemma real-of-nat-number-of [simp]:
real (number-of v :: nat) =
(if neg (number-of v :: int) then 0
else (number-of v :: real))
by (simp add: real-of-int-real-of-nat [symmetric] int-nat-number-of)

```

```

use real-arith.ML
declaration << K real-arith-setup >>

```

11.13 Simprules combining x+y and 0: ARE THEY NEEDED?

Needed in this non-standard form by Hyperreal/Transcendental

```

lemma real-0-le-divide-iff:

```

$((0::real) \leq x/y) = ((x \leq 0 \mid 0 \leq y) \& (0 \leq x \mid y \leq 0))$
by (*simp add: real-divide-def zero-le-mult-iff, auto*)

lemma *real-add-minus-iff* [*simp*]: $(x + - a = (0::real)) = (x=a)$
by *arith*

lemma *real-add-eq-0-iff*: $(x+y = (0::real)) = (y = -x)$
by *auto*

lemma *real-add-less-0-iff*: $(x+y < (0::real)) = (y < -x)$
by *auto*

lemma *real-0-less-add-iff*: $((0::real) < x+y) = (-x < y)$
by *auto*

lemma *real-add-le-0-iff*: $(x+y \leq (0::real)) = (y \leq -x)$
by *auto*

lemma *real-0-le-add-iff*: $((0::real) \leq x+y) = (-x \leq y)$
by *auto*

11.13.1 Density of the Reals

lemma *real-lbound-gt-zero*:
 $\llbracket (0::real) < d1; 0 < d2 \rrbracket \implies \exists e. 0 < e \& e < d1 \& e < d2$
apply (*rule-tac x = (min d1 d2) /2 in exI*)
apply (*simp add: min-def*)
done

Similar results are proved in *Ring-and-Field*

lemma *real-less-half-sum*: $x < y \implies x < (x+y) / (2::real)$
by *auto*

lemma *real-gt-half-sum*: $x < y \implies (x+y)/(2::real) < y$
by *auto*

11.14 Absolute Value Function for the Reals

lemma *abs-minus-add-cancel*: $abs(x + (-y)) = abs(y + (-(x::real)))$
by (*simp add: abs-if*)

lemma *abs-le-interval-iff*: $(abs x \leq r) = (-r \leq x \& x \leq (r::real))$
by (*force simp add: OrderedGroup.abs-le-iff*)

lemma *abs-add-one-gt-zero* [*simp*]: $(0::real) < 1 + abs(x)$
by (*simp add: abs-if*)

lemma *abs-real-of-nat-cancel* [*simp*]: $abs(real x) = real (x::nat)$

```

by (rule abs-of-nonneg [OF real-of-nat-ge-zero])

lemma abs-add-one-not-less-self [simp]: ~ abs(x) + (1::real) < x
by simp

lemma abs-sum-triangle-ineq: abs ((x::real) + y + (-l + -m)) ≤ abs(x + -l)
+ abs(y + -m)
by simp

```

11.15 Implementation of rational real numbers as pairs of integers

definition

Ratreal :: *int* × *int* ⇒ *real*

where

Ratreal = *INum*

code-datatype *Ratreal*

lemma *Ratreal-simp*:

Ratreal (k, l) = *real-of-int* k / *real-of-int* l
unfolding *Ratreal-def* *INum-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *Ratreal-zero* [simp]: *Ratreal* 0_N = 0

by (simp add: *Ratreal-simp*)

lemma *Ratreal-lit* [simp]: *Ratreal* i_N = *real-of-int* i

by (simp add: *Ratreal-simp*)

lemma *zero-real-code* [code, code unfold]:

0 = *Ratreal* 0_N **by** *simp*

lemma *one-real-code* [code, code unfold]:

1 = *Ratreal* 1_N **by** *simp*

instance *real* :: *eq* ..

lemma *real-eq-code* [code]: *Ratreal* x = *Ratreal* y ↔ *normNum* x = *normNum* y
unfolding *Ratreal-def* *INum-normNum-iff* ..

lemma *real-less-eq-code* [code]: *Ratreal* x ≤ *Ratreal* y ↔ *normNum* x ≤_N *normNum* y

proof –

have *normNum* x ≤_N *normNum* y ↔ *Ratreal* (*normNum* x) ≤ *Ratreal* (*normNum* y)

by (simp add: *Ratreal-def* del: *normNum*)

also have ... = (*Ratreal* x ≤ *Ratreal* y) **by** (simp add: *Ratreal-def*)

finally show ?thesis **by** *simp*

qed

```

lemma real-less-code [code]: Ratreal x < Ratreal y  $\longleftrightarrow$  normNum x <N normNum
y
proof -
  have normNum x <N normNum y  $\longleftrightarrow$  Ratreal (normNum x) < Ratreal (normNum
y)
    by (simp add: Ratreal-def del: normNum)
  also have ... = (Ratreal x < Ratreal y) by (simp add: Ratreal-def)
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed

lemma real-add-code [code]: Ratreal x + Ratreal y = Ratreal (x +N y)
unfolding Ratreal-def by simp

lemma real-mul-code [code]: Ratreal x * Ratreal y = Ratreal (x *N y)
unfolding Ratreal-def by simp

lemma real-neg-code [code]: - Ratreal x = Ratreal (~N x)
unfolding Ratreal-def by simp

lemma real-sub-code [code]: Ratreal x - Ratreal y = Ratreal (x -N y)
unfolding Ratreal-def by simp

lemma real-inv-code [code]: inverse (Ratreal x) = Ratreal (Ninv x)
unfolding Ratreal-def Ninv real-divide-def by simp

lemma real-div-code [code]: Ratreal x / Ratreal y = Ratreal (x ÷N y)
unfolding Ratreal-def by simp

```

Setup for SML code generator

```

types-code
  real ((int */ int))
attach (term-of) <(
  fun term-of-real (p, q) =
    let
      val rT = HOLogic.realT
    in
      if q = 1 orelse p = 0 then HOLogic.mk-number rT p
      else @{term op / :: real ⇒ real ⇒ real} $ HOLogic.mk-number rT p $ HOLogic.mk-number rT q
    end;
  >>
attach (test) <(
  fun gen-real i =
    let
      val p = random-range 0 i;
      val q = random-range 1 (i + 1);
      val g = Integer.gcd p q;
      val p' = p div g;

```

```

val q' = q div g;
in
  (if one-of [true, false] then p' else ~ p',
   if p' = 0 then 0 else q')
end;
 $\rangle\langle$ 

consts-code
  Ratreal ((-))

consts-code
  of-int :: int  $\Rightarrow$  real (<module>real'-of'-int)
attach <math>\langle\langle</math>
  fun real-of-int 0 = (0, 0)
  | real-of-int i = (i, 1);
 $\rangle\langle$ 

declare real-of-int-of-nat-eq [symmetric, code]

end

```

12 Completeness of the Reals; Floor and Ceiling Functions

```

theory RComplete
imports Lubs RealDef
begin

lemma real-sum-of-halves:  $x/2 + x/2 = (x::real)$ 
  by simp

```

12.1 Completeness of Positive Reals

Supremum property for the set of positive reals

Let P be a non-empty set of positive reals, with an upper bound y . Then P has a least upper bound (written S).

FIXME: Can the premise be weakened to $\forall x \in P. x \leq y$?

```

lemma posreal-complete:
  assumes positive-P:  $\forall x \in P. (0::real) < x$ 
  and not-empty-P:  $\exists x. x \in P$ 
  and upper-bound-Ex:  $\exists y. \forall x \in P. x < y$ 
  shows  $\exists S. \forall y. (\exists x \in P. y < x) = (y < S)$ 
proof (rule exI, rule allI)
  fix y
  let ?pP = {w. real-of-preal w  $\in$  P}

```

```

show ( $\exists x \in P. y < x$ ) = ( $y < \text{real-of-preal} (\text{psup } ?pP)$ )
 $\text{proof}$  (cases  $0 < y$ )
   $\text{assume neg-}y: \neg 0 < y$ 
   $\text{show } ?\text{thesis}$ 
   $\text{proof}$ 
     $\text{assume } \exists x \in P. y < x$ 
     $\text{have } \forall x. y < \text{real-of-preal } x$ 
       $\text{using neg-}y \text{ by (rule real-less-all-real2)}$ 
       $\text{thus } y < \text{real-of-preal} (\text{psup } ?pP) ..$ 
   $\text{next}$ 
     $\text{assume } y < \text{real-of-preal} (\text{psup } ?pP)$ 
     $\text{obtain } x \text{ where } x\text{-in-}P: x \in P \text{ using not-empty-}P ..$ 
     $\text{hence } 0 < x \text{ using positive-}P \text{ by simp}$ 
     $\text{hence } y < x \text{ using neg-}y \text{ by simp}$ 
     $\text{thus } \exists x \in P. y < x \text{ using } x\text{-in-}P ..$ 
   $\text{qed}$ 
   $\text{next}$ 
   $\text{assume pos-}y: 0 < y$ 

   $\text{then obtain } py \text{ where } y\text{-is-}py: y = \text{real-of-preal } py$ 
     $\text{by (auto simp add: real-gt-zero-preal-Ex)}$ 

   $\text{obtain } a \text{ where } a \in P \text{ using not-empty-}P ..$ 
   $\text{with positive-}P \text{ have } a\text{-pos: } 0 < a ..$ 
   $\text{then obtain } pa \text{ where } a = \text{real-of-preal } pa$ 
     $\text{by (auto simp add: real-gt-zero-preal-Ex)}$ 
   $\text{hence } pa \in ?pP \text{ using } \langle a \in P \rangle \text{ by auto}$ 
   $\text{hence } pP\text{-not-empty: } ?pP \neq \{\} \text{ by auto}$ 

   $\text{obtain sup where sup: } \forall x \in P. x < \text{sup}$ 
     $\text{using upper-bound-Ex} ..$ 
   $\text{from this and } \langle a \in P \rangle \text{ have } a < \text{sup} ..$ 
   $\text{hence } 0 < \text{sup} \text{ using a-pos by arith}$ 
   $\text{then obtain possup where sup = real-of-preal possup}$ 
     $\text{by (auto simp add: real-gt-zero-preal-Ex)}$ 
   $\text{hence } \forall X \in ?pP. X \leq \text{possup}$ 
     $\text{using sup by (auto simp add: real-of-preal-lessI)}$ 
   $\text{with } pP\text{-not-empty have psup: } \bigwedge Z. (\exists X \in ?pP. Z < X) = (Z < \text{psup } ?pP)$ 
     $\text{by (rule preal-complete)}$ 

   $\text{show } ?\text{thesis}$ 
   $\text{proof}$ 
     $\text{assume } \exists x \in P. y < x$ 
     $\text{then obtain } x \text{ where } x\text{-in-}P: x \in P \text{ and } y\text{-less-}x: y < x ..$ 
     $\text{hence } 0 < x \text{ using pos-}y \text{ by arith}$ 
     $\text{then obtain } px \text{ where } x\text{-is-}px: x = \text{real-of-preal } px$ 
       $\text{by (auto simp add: real-gt-zero-preal-Ex)}$ 

     $\text{have } py\text{-less-}X: \exists X \in ?pP. py < X$ 

```

```

proof
  show  $py < px$  using  $y\text{-is-}py$  and  $x\text{-is-}px$  and  $y\text{-less-}x$ 
    by (simp add: real-of-preal-lessI)
  show  $px \in ?pP$  using  $x\text{-in-}P$  and  $x\text{-is-}px$  by simp
qed

have  $(\exists X \in ?pP. py < X) ==> (py < psup ?pP)$ 
  using  $psup$  by simp
hence  $py < psup ?pP$  using  $py\text{-less-}X$  by simp
thus  $y < \text{real-of-preal} (psup \{w. \text{real-of-preal } w \in P\})$ 
  using  $y\text{-is-}py$  and  $pos\text{-}y$  by (simp add: real-of-preal-lessI)
next
  assume  $y\text{-less-}psup: y < \text{real-of-preal} (psup ?pP)$ 

  hence  $py < psup ?pP$  using  $y\text{-is-}py$ 
    by (simp add: real-of-preal-lessI)
  then obtain  $X$  where  $py < X$  and  $X\text{-in-}pP: X \in ?pP$ 
    using  $psup$  by auto
  then obtain  $x$  where  $x\text{-is-}X: x = \text{real-of-preal } X$ 
    by (simp add: real-gt-zero-preal-Ex)
  hence  $y < x$  using  $py\text{-less-}X$  and  $y\text{-is-}py$ 
    by (simp add: real-of-preal-lessI)
  moreover have  $x \in P$  using  $x\text{-is-}X$  and  $X\text{-in-}pP$  by simp
  ultimately show  $\exists x \in P. y < x ..$ 
qed
qed
qed

```

Completeness properties using *isUb*, *isLub* etc.

```

lemma real-isLub-unique: [| isLub R S x; isLub R S y |] ==>  $x = (y::\text{real})$ 
  apply (frule isLub-isUb)
  apply (frule-tac x = y in isLub-isUb)
  apply (blast intro!: order-antisym dest!: isLub-le-isUb)
  done

```

Completeness theorem for the positive reals (again).

```

lemma posreals-complete:
  assumes positive-S:  $\forall x \in S. 0 < x$ 
  and not-empty-S:  $\exists x. x \in S$ 
  and upper-bound-Ex:  $\exists u. \text{isUb } (\text{UNIV}::\text{real set}) S u$ 
  shows  $\exists t. \text{isLub } (\text{UNIV}::\text{real set}) S t$ 
proof
  let  $?pS = \{w. \text{real-of-preal } w \in S\}$ 
  obtain  $u$  where isUb UNIV S u using upper-bound-Ex ..
  hence sup:  $\forall x \in S. x \leq u$  by (simp add: isUb-def settle-def)

```

```

obtain x where x-in-S:  $x \in S$  using not-empty-S ..
hence x-gt-zero:  $0 < x$  using positive-S by simp
have  $x \leq u$  using sup and x-in-S ..
hence  $0 < u$  using x-gt-zero by arith

then obtain pu where u-is-pu:  $u = \text{real-of-preal } pu$ 
by (auto simp add: real-gt-zero-preal-Ex)

have pS-less-pu:  $\forall pa \in ?pS. pa \leq pu$ 
proof
fix pa
assume pa ∈ ?pS
then obtain a where a ∈ S and a = real-of-preal pa
by simp
moreover hence a ≤ u using sup by simp
ultimately show pa ≤ pu
using sup and u-is-pu by (simp add: real-of-preal-le-iff)
qed

have  $\forall y \in S. y \leq \text{real-of-preal } (\text{psup } ?pS)$ 
proof
fix y
assume y-in-S:  $y \in S$ 
hence  $0 < y$  using positive-S by simp
then obtain py where y-is-py:  $y = \text{real-of-preal } py$ 
by (auto simp add: real-gt-zero-preal-Ex)
hence py-in-pS:  $py \in ?pS$  using y-in-S by simp
with pS-less-pu have py ≤ psup ?pS
by (rule preal-psup-le)
thus  $y \leq \text{real-of-preal } (\text{psup } ?pS)$ 
using y-is-py by (simp add: real-of-preal-le-iff)
qed

moreover {
fix x
assume x-ub-S:  $\forall y \in S. y \leq x$ 
have real-of-preal (psup ?pS) ≤ x
proof -
obtain s where s-in-S:  $s \in S$  using not-empty-S ..
hence s-pos:  $0 < s$  using positive-S by simp

hence  $\exists ps. s = \text{real-of-preal } ps$  by (simp add: real-gt-zero-preal-Ex)
then obtain ps where s-is-ps:  $s = \text{real-of-preal } ps$  ..
hence ps-in-pS:  $ps \in \{w. \text{real-of-preal } w \in S\}$  using s-in-S by simp

from x-ub-S have s ≤ x using s-in-S ..
hence  $0 < x$  using s-pos by simp
hence  $\exists px. x = \text{real-of-preal } px$  by (simp add: real-gt-zero-preal-Ex)

```

```

then obtain px where x-is-px:  $x = \text{real-of-preal } px$  ..

have  $\forall pe \in ?pS. pe \leq px$ 
proof
fix pe
assume  $pe \in ?pS$ 
hence  $\text{real-of-preal } pe \in S$  by simp
hence  $\text{real-of-preal } pe \leq x$  using x-ub-S by simp
thus  $pe \leq px$  using x-is-px by (simp add: real-of-preal-le-iff)
qed

moreover have  $?pS \neq \{\}$  using ps-in-pS by auto
ultimately have  $(\text{psup } ?pS) \leq px$  by (simp add: psup-le-ub)
thus  $\text{real-of-preal } (\text{psup } ?pS) \leq x$  using x-is-px by (simp add: real-of-preal-le-iff)
qed
}

ultimately show isLub UNIV S ( $\text{real-of-preal } (\text{psup } ?pS)$ )
by (simp add: isLub-def leastP-def isUb-def settle-def setge-def)
qed

```

reals Completeness (again!)

```

lemma reals-complete:
assumes notempty-S:  $\exists X. X \in S$ 
and exists-Ub:  $\exists Y. \text{isUb } (\text{UNIV} :: \text{real set}) S Y$ 
shows  $\exists t. \text{isLub } (\text{UNIV} :: \text{real set}) S t$ 
proof -
obtain X where X-in-S:  $X \in S$  using notempty-S ..
obtain Y where Y-isUb:  $\text{isUb } (\text{UNIV} :: \text{real set}) S Y$ 
using exists-Ub ..
let ?SHIFT =  $\{z. \exists x \in S. z = x + (-X) + 1\} \cap \{x. 0 < x\}$ 

{
fix x
assume isUb:  $\text{isUb } (\text{UNIV} :: \text{real set}) S x$ 
hence S-le-x:  $\forall y \in S. y \leq x$ 
by (simp add: isUb-def settle-def)
{
fix s
assume s:  $\exists x \in S. z = x + -X + 1$ 
hence s:  $\exists x \in S. s = x + -X + 1$  ..
then obtain x1 where x1:  $x1 \in S$  and s:  $s = x1 + (-X) + 1$  ..
moreover hence x1:  $x1 \leq x$  using S-le-x by simp
ultimately have s:  $s \leq x + -X + 1$  by arith
}
then have isUb:  $\text{isUb } (\text{UNIV} :: \text{real set}) ?SHIFT (x + (-X) + 1)$ 
by (auto simp add: isUb-def settle-def)
} note S-Ub-is-SHIFT-Ub = this

hence isUb UNIV ?SHIFT  $(Y + (-X) + 1)$  using Y-isUb by simp

```

```

hence  $\exists Z. \text{isUb } \text{UNIV } ?\text{SHIFT } Z ..$ 
moreover have  $\forall y \in ?\text{SHIFT}. 0 < y$  by auto
moreover have shifted-not-empty:  $\exists u. u \in ?\text{SHIFT}$ 
  using X-in-S and Y-isUb by auto
ultimately obtain t where t-is-Lub:  $\text{isLub } \text{UNIV } ?\text{SHIFT } t$ 
  using posreals-complete [of ?SHIFT] by blast

show ?thesis
proof
  show  $\text{isLub } \text{UNIV } S (t + X + (-1))$ 
  proof (rule isLubI2)
    {
      fix x
      assume isUb (UNIV::real set) S x
      hence isUb (UNIV::real set) (?SHIFT) (x + (-X) + 1)
        using S-Ub-is-SHIFT-Ub by simp
      hence  $t \leq (x + (-X) + 1)$ 
        using t-is-Lub by (simp add: isLub-le-isUb)
      hence  $t + X + -1 \leq x$  by arith
    }
    then show  $(t + X + -1) \leq x$  <==> Collect (isUb UNIV S)
      by (simp add: setgeI)
  next
    show  $\text{isUb } \text{UNIV } S (t + X + -1)$ 
    proof -
      {
        fix y
        assume y-in-S:  $y \in S$ 
        have  $y \leq t + X + -1$ 
        proof -
          obtain u where u-in-shift:  $u \in ?\text{SHIFT}$  using shifted-not-empty ..
          hence  $\exists x \in S. u = x + -X + 1$  by simp
          then obtain x where x-and-u:  $u = x + -X + 1$  ..
          have u-le-t:  $u \leq t$  using u-in-shift and t-is-Lub by (simp add: isLubD2)

          show ?thesis
          proof cases
            assume y ≤ x
            moreover have x = u + X + -1 using x-and-u by arith
            moreover have u + X + -1 ≤ t + X + -1 using u-le-t by arith
            ultimately show y ≤ t + X + -1 by arith
          next
            assume  $\neg(y \leq x)$ 
            hence x-less-y:  $x < y$  by arith

            have x + (-X) + 1 ∈ ?SHIFT using x-and-u and u-in-shift by simp
            hence 0 < x + (-X) + 1 by simp
            hence 0 < y + (-X) + 1 using x-less-y by arith
            hence y + (-X) + 1 ∈ ?SHIFT using y-in-S by simp
          qed
        qed
      }
    qed
  qed
qed

```

```

hence  $y + (-X) + 1 \leq t$  using  $t\text{-is-Lub}$  by (simp add: isLubD2)
thus ?thesis by simp
qed
qed
}
then show ?thesis by (simp add: isUb-def settle-def)
qed
qed
qed
qed

```

12.2 The Archimedean Property of the Reals

theorem *reals-Archimedean*:

assumes $x\text{-pos}: 0 < x$

shows $\exists n. \text{inverse}(\text{real}(\text{Suc } n)) < x$

proof (rule ccontr)

assume $\text{contr}: \neg ?\text{thesis}$

have $\forall n. x * \text{real}(\text{Suc } n) \leq 1$

proof

fix n

from contr **have** $x \leq \text{inverse}(\text{real}(\text{Suc } n))$

by (simp add: linorder-not-less)

hence $x \leq (1 / (\text{real}(\text{Suc } n)))$

by (simp add: inverse-eq-divide)

moreover have $0 \leq \text{real}(\text{Suc } n)$

by (rule real-of-nat-ge-zero)

ultimately have $x * \text{real}(\text{Suc } n) \leq (1 / \text{real}(\text{Suc } n)) * \text{real}(\text{Suc } n)$

by (rule mult-right-mono)

thus $x * \text{real}(\text{Suc } n) \leq 1$ **by** simp

qed

hence $\{z. \exists n. z = x * (\text{real}(\text{Suc } n))\} * \leq 1$

by (simp add: settle-def, safe, rule spec)

hence $\text{isUb}(\text{UNIV}::\text{real set}) \{z. \exists n. z = x * (\text{real}(\text{Suc } n))\} 1$

by (simp add: isUbI)

hence $\exists Y. \text{isUb}(\text{UNIV}::\text{real set}) \{z. \exists n. z = x * (\text{real}(\text{Suc } n))\} Y ..$

moreover have $\exists X. X \in \{z. \exists n. z = x * (\text{real}(\text{Suc } n))\}$ **by** auto

ultimately have $\exists t. \text{isLub UNIV} \{z. \exists n. z = x * \text{real}(\text{Suc } n)\} t$

by (simp add: real-complete)

then obtain t **where**

$t\text{-is-Lub: isLub UNIV} \{z. \exists n. z = x * \text{real}(\text{Suc } n)\} t ..$

have $\forall n::\text{nat}. x * \text{real } n \leq t + - x$

proof

fix n

from $t\text{-is-Lub}$ **have** $x * \text{real}(\text{Suc } n) \leq t$

by (simp add: isLubD2)

hence $x * (\text{real } n) + x \leq t$

by (simp add: right-distrib real-of-nat-Suc)

```

thus  $x * (\text{real } n) \leq t + -x$  by arith
qed

hence  $\forall m. x * \text{real } (\text{Suc } m) \leq t + -x$  by simp
hence  $\{z. \exists n. z = x * (\text{real } (\text{Suc } n))\} * \leq (t + -x)$ 
      by (auto simp add: settle-def)
hence  $\text{isUb } (\text{UNIV} : \text{real set}) \{z. \exists n. z = x * (\text{real } (\text{Suc } n))\} (t + (-x))$ 
      by (simp add: isUbI)
hence  $t \leq t + -x$ 
      using t-is-Lub by (simp add: isLub-le-isUb)
thus False using x-pos by arith
qed

```

There must be other proofs, e.g. Suc of the largest integer in the cut representing x .

```

lemma reals-Archimedean2:  $\exists n. (x : \text{real}) < \text{real } (n : \text{nat})$ 
proof cases
  assume  $x \leq 0$ 
  hence  $x < \text{real } (1 : \text{nat})$  by simp
  thus ?thesis ..
next
  assume  $\neg x \leq 0$ 
  hence x-greater-zero:  $0 < x$  by simp
  hence  $0 < \text{inverse } x$  by simp
  then obtain n where  $\text{inverse } (\text{real } (\text{Suc } n)) < \text{inverse } x$ 
    using reals-Archimedean by blast
  hence  $\text{inverse } (\text{real } (\text{Suc } n)) * x < \text{inverse } x * x$ 
    using x-greater-zero by (rule mult-strict-right-mono)
  hence  $\text{inverse } (\text{real } (\text{Suc } n)) * x < 1$ 
    using x-greater-zero by simp
  hence  $\text{real } (\text{Suc } n) * (\text{inverse } (\text{real } (\text{Suc } n)) * x) < \text{real } (\text{Suc } n) * 1$ 
    by (rule mult-strict-left-mono) simp
  hence  $x < \text{real } (\text{Suc } n)$ 
    by (simp add: ring-simps)
  thus  $\exists (n : \text{nat}). x < \text{real } n$  ..
qed

```

```

lemma reals-Archimedean3:
  assumes x-greater-zero:  $0 < x$ 
  shows  $\forall (y : \text{real}). \exists (n : \text{nat}). y < \text{real } n * x$ 
proof
  fix y
  have x-not-zero:  $x \neq 0$  using x-greater-zero by simp
  obtain n where  $y * \text{inverse } x < \text{real } (n : \text{nat})$ 
    using reals-Archimedean2 ..
  hence  $y * \text{inverse } x * x < \text{real } n * x$ 
    using x-greater-zero by (simp add: mult-strict-right-mono)
  hence  $x * \text{inverse } x * y < x * \text{real } n$ 
    by (simp add: ring-simps)

```

```

hence  $y < \text{real } (n::\text{nat}) * x$ 
  using  $x\text{-not-zero}$  by (simp add: ring-simps)
  thus  $\exists (n::\text{nat}). y < \text{real } n * x ..$ 
qed

lemma reals-Archimedean6:
   $0 \leq r \implies \exists (n::\text{nat}). \text{real } (n - 1) \leq r \ \& \ r < \text{real } (n)$ 
  apply (insert reals-Archimedean2 [of r], safe)
  apply (subgoal-tac  $\exists x::\text{nat}. r < \text{real } x \wedge (\forall y. r < \text{real } y \longrightarrow x \leq y)$ , auto)
  apply (rule-tac  $x = x$  in exI)
  apply (case-tac  $x$ , simp)
  apply (rename-tac  $x'$ )
  apply (drule-tac  $x = x'$  in spec, simp)
  apply (rule-tac  $x = \text{LEAST } n. r < \text{real } n$  in exI, safe)
  apply (erule LeastI, erule Least-le)
done

lemma reals-Archimedean6a:  $0 \leq r \implies \exists n. \text{real } (n) \leq r \ \& \ r < \text{real } (\text{Suc } n)$ 
  by (drule reals-Archimedean6) auto

lemma reals-Archimedean-6b-int:
   $0 \leq r \implies \exists n::\text{int}. \text{real } n \leq r \ \& \ r < \text{real } (n+1)$ 
  apply (drule reals-Archimedean6a, auto)
  apply (rule-tac  $x = \text{int } n$  in exI)
  apply (simp add: real-of-int-real-of-nat real-of-nat-Suc)
done

lemma reals-Archimedean-6c-int:
   $r < 0 \implies \exists n::\text{int}. \text{real } n \leq r \ \& \ r < \text{real } (n+1)$ 
  apply (rule reals-Archimedean-6b-int [of -r, THEN exE], simp, auto)
  apply (rename-tac  $n$ )
  apply (drule order-le-imp-less-or-eq, auto)
  apply (rule-tac  $x = -n - 1$  in exI)
  apply (rule-tac [2]  $x = -n$  in exI, auto)
done

```

12.3 Floor and Ceiling Functions from the Reals to the Integers

definition

```

floor :: real => int where
floor  $r = (\text{LEAST } n::\text{int}. r < \text{real } (n+1))$ 

```

definition

```

ceiling :: real => int where
ceiling  $r = -\text{floor} (-r)$ 

```

notation (*xsymbols*)
floor ($\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$) **and**

```

ceiling  ( $\lceil \cdot \rceil$ )  

notation (HTML output)  

floor  ( $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ ) and  

ceiling  ( $\lceil \cdot \rceil$ )  

  

lemma number-of-less-real-of-int-iff [simp]:  

   $((\text{number-of } n) < \text{real } (m::\text{int})) = (\text{number-of } n < m)$   

apply auto  

apply (rule real-of-int-less-iff [THEN iffD1])  

apply (drule-tac [2] real-of-int-less-iff [THEN iffD2], auto)  

done  

  

lemma number-of-less-real-of-int-iff2 [simp]:  

   $(\text{real } (m::\text{int}) < (\text{number-of } n)) = (m < \text{number-of } n)$   

apply auto  

apply (rule real-of-int-less-iff [THEN iffD1])  

apply (drule-tac [2] real-of-int-less-iff [THEN iffD2], auto)  

done  

  

lemma number-of-le-real-of-int-iff [simp]:  

   $((\text{number-of } n) \leq \text{real } (m::\text{int})) = (\text{number-of } n \leq m)$   

by (simp add: linorder-not-less [symmetric])  

  

lemma number-of-le-real-of-int-iff2 [simp]:  

   $(\text{real } (m::\text{int}) \leq (\text{number-of } n)) = (m \leq \text{number-of } n)$   

by (simp add: linorder-not-less [symmetric])  

  

lemma floor-zero [simp]:  $\text{floor } 0 = 0$   

apply (simp add: floor-def del: real-of-int-add)  

apply (rule Least-equality)  

apply simp-all  

done  

  

lemma floor-real-of-nat-zero [simp]:  $\text{floor } (\text{real } (0::\text{nat})) = 0$   

by auto  

  

lemma floor-real-of-nat [simp]:  $\text{floor } (\text{real } (n::\text{nat})) = \text{int } n$   

apply (simp only: floor-def)  

apply (rule Least-equality)  

apply (drule-tac [2] real-of-int-of-nat-eq [THEN ssubst])  

apply (drule-tac [2] real-of-int-less-iff [THEN iffD1])  

apply simp-all  

done  

  

lemma floor-minus-real-of-nat [simp]:  $\text{floor } (- \text{real } (n::\text{nat})) = - \text{int } n$   

apply (simp only: floor-def)  

apply (rule Least-equality)

```

```

apply (drule-tac [2] real-of-int-of-nat-eq [THEN ssubst])
apply (drule-tac [2] real-of-int-minus [THEN sym, THEN subst])
apply (drule-tac [2] real-of-int-less-iff [THEN iffD1])
apply simp-all
done

lemma floor-real-of-int [simp]: floor (real (n::int)) = n
apply (simp only: floor-def)
apply (rule Least-equality)
apply auto
done

lemma floor-minus-real-of-int [simp]: floor (- real (n::int)) = - n
apply (simp only: floor-def)
apply (rule Least-equality)
apply (drule-tac [2] real-of-int-minus [THEN sym, THEN subst])
apply auto
done

lemma real-lb-ub-int:  $\exists n::int. \text{real } n \leq r \& r < \text{real } (n+1)$ 
apply (case-tac r < 0)
apply (blast intro: reals-Archimedean-6c-int)
apply (simp only: linorder-not-less)
apply (blast intro: reals-Archimedean-6b-int reals-Archimedean-6c-int)
done

lemma lemma-floor:
assumes a1:  $\text{real } m \leq r$  and a2:  $r < \text{real } n + 1$ 
shows  $m \leq (n::int)$ 
proof -
have  $\text{real } m < \text{real } n + 1$  using a1 a2 by (rule order-le-less-trans)
also have ... =  $\text{real } (n + 1)$  by simp
finally have  $m < n + 1$  by (simp only: real-of-int-less-iff)
thus ?thesis by arith
qed

lemma real-of-int-floor-le [simp]:  $\text{real } (\text{floor } r) \leq r$ 
apply (simp add: floor-def Least-def)
apply (insert real-lb-ub-int [of r], safe)
apply (rule theI2)
apply auto
done

lemma floor-mono:  $x < y \implies \text{floor } x \leq \text{floor } y$ 
apply (simp add: floor-def Least-def)
apply (insert real-lb-ub-int [of x])
apply (insert real-lb-ub-int [of y], safe)
apply (rule theI2)
apply (rule-tac [3] theI2)

```

```

apply simp
apply (erule conjI)
apply (auto simp add: order-eq-iff int-le-real-less)
done

lemma floor-mono2:  $x \leq y \implies \lfloor x \rfloor \leq \lfloor y \rfloor$ 
by (auto dest: order-le-imp-less-or-eq simp add: floor-mono)

lemma lemma-floor2:  $\text{real } n < \text{real } (x:\text{int}) + 1 \implies n \leq x$ 
by (auto intro: lemma-floor)

lemma real-of-int-floor-cancel [simp]:
  ( $\text{real } (\lfloor x \rfloor) = x$ ) = ( $\exists n:\text{int}. x = \text{real } n$ )
apply (simp add: floor-def Least-def)
apply (insert real-lb-ub-int [of x], erule exE)
apply (rule theI2)
apply (auto intro: lemma-floor)
done

lemma floor-eq: [|  $\text{real } n < x; x < \text{real } n + 1$  |]  $\implies \lfloor x \rfloor = n$ 
apply (simp add: floor-def)
apply (rule Least-equality)
apply (auto intro: lemma-floor)
done

lemma floor-eq2: [|  $\text{real } n \leq x; x < \text{real } n + 1$  |]  $\implies \lfloor x \rfloor = n$ 
apply (simp add: floor-def)
apply (rule Least-equality)
apply (auto intro: lemma-floor)
done

lemma floor-eq3: [|  $\text{real } n < x; x < \text{real } (\text{Suc } n)$  |]  $\implies \text{nat}(\lfloor x \rfloor) = n$ 
apply (rule inj-int [THEN injD])
apply (simp add: real-of-nat-Suc)
apply (simp add: real-of-nat-Suc floor-eq floor-eq [where n = int n])
done

lemma floor-eq4: [|  $\text{real } n \leq x; x < \text{real } (\text{Suc } n)$  |]  $\implies \text{nat}(\lfloor x \rfloor) = n$ 
apply (drule order-le-imp-less-or-eq)
apply (auto intro: floor-eq3)
done

lemma floor-number-of-eq [simp]:
   $\text{floor}(\text{number-of } n :: \text{real}) = (\text{number-of } n :: \text{int})$ 
apply (subst real-number-of [symmetric])
apply (rule floor-real-of-int)
done

lemma floor-one [simp]:  $\lfloor 1 \rfloor = 1$ 

```

```

apply (rule trans)
prefer 2
apply (rule floor-real-of-int)
apply simp
done

lemma real-of-int-floor-ge-diff-one [simp]:  $r - 1 \leq \text{real}(\text{floor } r)$ 
apply (simp add: floor-def Least-def)
apply (insert real-lb-ub-int [of r], safe)
apply (rule theI2)
apply (auto intro: lemma-floor)
done

lemma real-of-int-floor-gt-diff-one [simp]:  $r - 1 < \text{real}(\text{floor } r)$ 
apply (simp add: floor-def Least-def)
apply (insert real-lb-ub-int [of r], safe)
apply (rule theI2)
apply (auto intro: lemma-floor)
done

lemma real-of-int-floor-add-one-ge [simp]:  $r \leq \text{real}(\text{floor } r) + 1$ 
apply (insert real-of-int-floor-ge-diff-one [of r])
apply (auto simp del: real-of-int-floor-ge-diff-one)
done

lemma real-of-int-floor-add-one-gt [simp]:  $r < \text{real}(\text{floor } r) + 1$ 
apply (insert real-of-int-floor-gt-diff-one [of r])
apply (auto simp del: real-of-int-floor-gt-diff-one)
done

lemma le-floor:  $\text{real } a \leq x \iff a \leq \text{floor } x$ 
apply (subgoal-tac a < floor x + 1)
apply arith
apply (subst real-of-int-less-iff [THEN sym])
apply simp
apply (insert real-of-int-floor-add-one-gt [of x])
apply arith
done

lemma real-le-floor:  $a \leq \text{floor } x \iff \text{real } a \leq x$ 
apply (rule order-trans)
prefer 2
apply (rule real-of-int-floor-le)
apply (subst real-of-int-le-iff)
apply assumption
done

lemma le-floor-eq:  $(a \leq \text{floor } x) = (\text{real } a \leq x)$ 
apply (rule iffI)

```

```

apply (erule real-le-floor)
apply (erule le-floor)
done

lemma le-floor-eq-number-of [simp]:
  (number-of n <= floor x) = (number-of n <= x)
by (simp add: le-floor-eq)

lemma le-floor-eq-zero [simp]: (0 <= floor x) = (0 <= x)
by (simp add: le-floor-eq)

lemma le-floor-eq-one [simp]: (1 <= floor x) = (1 <= x)
by (simp add: le-floor-eq)

lemma floor-less-eq: (floor x < a) = (x < real a)
apply (subst linorder-not-le [THEN sym])+
apply simp
apply (rule le-floor-eq)
done

lemma floor-less-eq-number-of [simp]:
  (floor x < number-of n) = (x < number-of n)
by (simp add: floor-less-eq)

lemma floor-less-eq-zero [simp]: (floor x < 0) = (x < 0)
by (simp add: floor-less-eq)

lemma floor-less-eq-one [simp]: (floor x < 1) = (x < 1)
by (simp add: floor-less-eq)

lemma less-floor-eq: (a < floor x) = (real a + 1 <= x)
apply (insert le-floor-eq [of a + 1 x])
apply auto
done

lemma less-floor-eq-number-of [simp]:
  (number-of n < floor x) = (number-of n + 1 <= x)
by (simp add: less-floor-eq)

lemma less-floor-eq-zero [simp]: (0 < floor x) = (1 <= x)
by (simp add: less-floor-eq)

lemma less-floor-eq-one [simp]: (1 < floor x) = (2 <= x)
by (simp add: less-floor-eq)

lemma floor-le-eq: (floor x <= a) = (x < real a + 1)
apply (insert floor-less-eq [of x a + 1])
apply auto
done

```

```

lemma floor-le-eq-number-of [simp]:
  (floor x <= number-of n) = (x < number-of n + 1)
by (simp add: floor-le-eq)

lemma floor-le-eq-zero [simp]: (floor x <= 0) = (x < 1)
by (simp add: floor-le-eq)

lemma floor-le-eq-one [simp]: (floor x <= 1) = (x < 2)
by (simp add: floor-le-eq)

lemma floor-add [simp]: floor (x + real a) = floor x + a
apply (subst order-eq-iff)
apply (rule conjI)
prefer 2
apply (subgoal-tac floor x + a < floor (x + real a) + 1)
apply arith
apply (subst real-of-int-less-iff [THEN sym])
apply simp
apply (subgoal-tac x + real a < real(floor(x + real a)) + 1)
apply (subgoal-tac real (floor x) <= x)
apply arith
apply (rule real-of-int-floor-le)
apply (rule real-of-int-floor-add-one-gt)
apply (subgoal-tac floor (x + real a) < floor x + a + 1)
apply arith
apply (subst real-of-int-less-iff [THEN sym])
apply simp
apply (subgoal-tac real(floor(x + real a)) <= x + real a)
apply (subgoal-tac x < real(floor x) + 1)
apply arith
apply (rule real-of-int-floor-add-one-gt)
apply (rule real-of-int-floor-le)
done

lemma floor-add-number-of [simp]:
  floor (x + number-of n) = floor x + number-of n
apply (subst floor-add [THEN sym])
apply simp
done

lemma floor-add-one [simp]: floor (x + 1) = floor x + 1
apply (subst floor-add [THEN sym])
apply simp
done

lemma floor-subtract [simp]: floor (x - real a) = floor x - a
apply (subst diff-minus)+
apply (subst real-of-int-minus [THEN sym])

```

```

apply (rule floor-add)
done

lemma floor-subtract-number-of [simp]: floor (x - number-of n) =
  floor x - number-of n
  apply (subst floor-subtract [THEN sym])
  apply simp
done

lemma floor-subtract-one [simp]: floor (x - 1) = floor x - 1
  apply (subst floor-subtract [THEN sym])
  apply simp
done

lemma ceiling-zero [simp]: ceiling 0 = 0
by (simp add: ceiling-def)

lemma ceiling-real-of-nat [simp]: ceiling (real (n::nat)) = int n
by (simp add: ceiling-def)

lemma ceiling-real-of-nat-zero [simp]: ceiling (real (0::nat)) = 0
by auto

lemma ceiling-floor [simp]: ceiling (real (floor r)) = floor r
by (simp add: ceiling-def)

lemma floor-ceiling [simp]: floor (real (ceiling r)) = ceiling r
by (simp add: ceiling-def)

lemma real-of-int-ceiling-ge [simp]: r ≤ real (ceiling r)
apply (simp add: ceiling-def)
apply (subst le-minus-iff, simp)
done

lemma ceiling-mono: x < y ==> ceiling x ≤ ceiling y
by (simp add: floor-mono ceiling-def)

lemma ceiling-mono2: x ≤ y ==> ceiling x ≤ ceiling y
by (simp add: floor-mono2 ceiling-def)

lemma real-of-int-ceiling-cancel [simp]:
  (real (ceiling x) = x) = (∃ n::int. x = real n)
  apply (auto simp add: ceiling-def)
  apply (drule arg-cong [where f = uminus], auto)
  apply (rule-tac x = -n in exI, auto)
done

lemma ceiling-eq: [| real n < x; x < real n + 1 |] ==> ceiling x = n + 1
apply (simp add: ceiling-def)

```

```

apply (rule minus-equation-iff [THEN iffD1])
apply (simp add: floor-eq [where n = -(n+1)])
done

lemma ceiling-eq2: [| real n < x; x ≤ real n + 1 |] ==> ceiling x = n + 1
by (simp add: ceiling-def floor-eq2 [where n = -(n+1)])

lemma ceiling-eq3: [| real n - 1 < x; x ≤ real n |] ==> ceiling x = n
by (simp add: ceiling-def floor-eq2 [where n = -n])

lemma ceiling-real-of-int [simp]: ceiling (real (n::int)) = n
by (simp add: ceiling-def)

lemma ceiling-number-of-eq [simp]:
  ceiling (number-of n :: real) = (number-of n)
apply (subst real-number-of [symmetric])
apply (rule ceiling-real-of-int)
done

lemma ceiling-one [simp]: ceiling 1 = 1
by (unfold ceiling-def, simp)

lemma real-of-int-ceiling-diff-one-le [simp]: real (ceiling r) - 1 ≤ r
apply (rule neg-le-iff-le [THEN iffD1])
apply (simp add: ceiling-def diff-minus)
done

lemma real-of-int-ceiling-le-add-one [simp]: real (ceiling r) ≤ r + 1
apply (insert real-of-int-ceiling-diff-one-le [of r])
apply (simp del: real-of-int-ceiling-diff-one-le)
done

lemma ceiling-le: x ≤ real a ==> ceiling x ≤ a
apply (unfold ceiling-def)
apply (subgoal-tac -a ≤ floor(- x))
apply simp
apply (rule le-floor)
apply simp
done

lemma ceiling-le-real: ceiling x ≤ a ==> x ≤ real a
apply (unfold ceiling-def)
apply (subgoal-tac real(- a) ≤ - x)
apply simp
apply (rule real-le-floor)
apply simp
done

lemma ceiling-le-eq: (ceiling x ≤ a) = (x ≤ real a)

```

```

apply (rule iffI)
apply (erule ceiling-le-real)
apply (erule ceiling-le)
done

lemma ceiling-le-eq-number-of [simp]:
  (ceiling x <= number-of n) = (x <= number-of n)
by (simp add: ceiling-le-eq)

lemma ceiling-le-zero-eq [simp]: (ceiling x <= 0) = (x <= 0)
by (simp add: ceiling-le-eq)

lemma ceiling-le-eq-one [simp]: (ceiling x <= 1) = (x <= 1)
by (simp add: ceiling-le-eq)

lemma less-ceiling-eq: (a < ceiling x) = (real a < x)
  apply (subst linorder-not-le [THEN sym])+
  apply simp
  apply (rule ceiling-le-eq)
done

lemma less-ceiling-eq-number-of [simp]:
  (number-of n < ceiling x) = (number-of n < x)
by (simp add: less-ceiling-eq)

lemma less-ceiling-eq-zero [simp]: (0 < ceiling x) = (0 < x)
by (simp add: less-ceiling-eq)

lemma less-ceiling-eq-one [simp]: (1 < ceiling x) = (1 < x)
by (simp add: less-ceiling-eq)

lemma ceiling-less-eq: (ceiling x < a) = (x <= real a - 1)
  apply (insert ceiling-le-eq [of x a - 1])
  apply auto
done

lemma ceiling-less-eq-number-of [simp]:
  (ceiling x < number-of n) = (x <= number-of n - 1)
by (simp add: ceiling-less-eq)

lemma ceiling-less-eq-zero [simp]: (ceiling x < 0) = (x <= -1)
by (simp add: ceiling-less-eq)

lemma ceiling-less-eq-one [simp]: (ceiling x < 1) = (x <= 0)
by (simp add: ceiling-less-eq)

lemma le-ceiling-eq: (a <= ceiling x) = (real a - 1 < x)
  apply (insert less-ceiling-eq [of a - 1 x])
  apply auto

```

done

lemma *le-ceiling-eq-number-of* [simp]:
 $(\text{number-of } n \leq \text{ceiling } x) = (\text{number-of } n - 1 < x)$
by (simp add: le-ceiling-eq)

lemma *le-ceiling-eq-zero* [simp]: $(0 \leq \text{ceiling } x) = (-1 < x)$
by (simp add: le-ceiling-eq)

lemma *le-ceiling-eq-one* [simp]: $(1 \leq \text{ceiling } x) = (0 < x)$
by (simp add: le-ceiling-eq)

lemma *ceiling-add* [simp]: $\text{ceiling}(x + \text{real } a) = \text{ceiling } x + a$
apply (unfold ceiling-def, simp)
apply (subst real-of-int-minus [THEN sym])
apply (subst floor-add)
apply simp
done

lemma *ceiling-add-number-of* [simp]: $\text{ceiling}(x + \text{number-of } n) =$
 $\text{ceiling } x + \text{number-of } n$
apply (subst ceiling-add [THEN sym])
apply simp
done

lemma *ceiling-add-one* [simp]: $\text{ceiling}(x + 1) = \text{ceiling } x + 1$
apply (subst ceiling-add [THEN sym])
apply simp
done

lemma *ceiling-subtract* [simp]: $\text{ceiling}(x - \text{real } a) = \text{ceiling } x - a$
apply (subst diff-minus)+
apply (subst real-of-int-minus [THEN sym])
apply (rule ceiling-add)
done

lemma *ceiling-subtract-number-of* [simp]: $\text{ceiling}(x - \text{number-of } n) =$
 $\text{ceiling } x - \text{number-of } n$
apply (subst ceiling-subtract [THEN sym])
apply simp
done

lemma *ceiling-subtract-one* [simp]: $\text{ceiling}(x - 1) = \text{ceiling } x - 1$
apply (subst ceiling-subtract [THEN sym])
apply simp
done

12.4 Versions for the natural numbers

definition

```
natfloor :: real => nat where
  natfloor x = nat(floor x)
```

definition

```
natceiling :: real => nat where
  natceiling x = nat(ceiling x)
```

lemma *natfloor-zero* [*simp*]: $\text{natfloor } 0 = 0$
by (*unfold natfloor-def*, *simp*)

lemma *natfloor-one* [*simp*]: $\text{natfloor } 1 = 1$
by (*unfold natfloor-def*, *simp*)

lemma *zero-le-natfloor* [*simp*]: $0 \leq \text{natfloor } x$
by (*unfold natfloor-def*, *simp*)

lemma *natfloor-number-of-eq* [*simp*]: $\text{natfloor}(\text{number-of } n) = \text{number-of } n$
by (*unfold natfloor-def*, *simp*)

lemma *natfloor-real-of-nat* [*simp*]: $\text{natfloor}(\text{real } n) = n$
by (*unfold natfloor-def*, *simp*)

lemma *real-natfloor-le*: $0 \leq x \implies \text{real}(\text{natfloor } x) \leq x$
by (*unfold natfloor-def*, *simp*)

lemma *natfloor-neg*: $x \leq 0 \implies \text{natfloor } x = 0$
apply (*unfold natfloor-def*)
apply (*subgoal-tac floor x <= floor 0*)
apply *simp*
apply (*erule floor-mono2*)
done

lemma *natfloor-mono*: $x \leq y \implies \text{natfloor } x \leq \text{natfloor } y$
apply (*case-tac 0 <= x*)
apply (*subst natfloor-def*)
apply (*subst nat-le-eq-zle*)
apply *force*
apply (*erule floor-mono2*)
apply (*subst natfloor-neg*)
apply *simp*
apply *simp*
done

lemma *le-natfloor*: $\text{real } x \leq a \implies x \leq \text{natfloor } a$
apply (*unfold natfloor-def*)
apply (*subst nat-int [THEN sym]*)
apply (*subst nat-le-eq-zle*)

```

apply simp
apply (rule le-floor)
apply simp
done

lemma le-natfloor-eq:  $0 \leq x \iff (\lfloor a \rfloor = a \leq x)$ 
apply (rule iffI)
apply (rule order-trans)
prefer 2
apply (erule real-natfloor-le)
apply (subst real-of-nat-le-iff)
apply assumption
apply (erule le-natfloor)
done

lemma le-natfloor-eq-number-of [simp]:
~ neg((number-of n)::int) ==>  $0 \leq x \iff (\lfloor n \rfloor \leq x = n \leq x)$ 
apply (subst le-natfloor-eq, assumption)
apply simp
done

lemma le-natfloor-eq-one [simp]:  $(1 \leq \lfloor x \rfloor) \iff (1 \leq x)$ 
apply (case-tac  $0 \leq x$ )
apply (subst le-natfloor-eq, assumption, simp)
apply (rule iffI)
apply (subgoal-tac  $\lfloor x \rfloor \leq \lfloor 0 \rfloor$ )
apply simp
apply (rule natfloor-mono)
apply simp
apply simp
done

lemma natfloor-eq:  $real\ n \leq x \iff x < real\ n + 1 \implies \lfloor x \rfloor = n$ 
apply (unfold natfloor-def)
apply (subst nat-int [THEN sym])back
apply (subst eq-nat-nat-iff)
apply simp
apply simp
apply (rule floor-eq2)
apply auto
done

lemma real-natfloor-add-one-gt:  $x < real(\lfloor x \rfloor) + 1$ 
apply (case-tac  $0 \leq x$ )
apply (unfold natfloor-def)
apply simp
apply simp-all
done

```

```

lemma real-natfloor-gt-diff-one:  $x - 1 < \text{real}(\text{natfloor } x)$ 
  apply (simp add: compare-rls)
  apply (rule real-natfloor-add-one-gt)
done

lemma ge-natfloor-plus-one-imp-gt:  $\text{natfloor } z + 1 \leq n \iff z < \text{real } n$ 
  apply (subgoal-tac  $z < \text{real}(\text{natfloor } z) + 1$ )
  apply arith
  apply (rule real-natfloor-add-one-gt)
done

lemma natfloor-add [simp]:  $0 \leq x \iff \text{natfloor}(x + \text{real } a) = \text{natfloor } x + a$ 
  apply (unfold natfloor-def)
  apply (subgoal-tac  $\text{real } a = \text{real } (\text{int } a)$ )
  apply (erule ssubst)
  apply (simp add: nat-add-distrib del: real-of-int-of-nat-eq)
  apply simp
done

lemma natfloor-add-number-of [simp]:
   $\sim \text{neg}((\text{number-of } n)::\text{int}) \iff 0 \leq x \iff$ 
     $\text{natfloor}(x + \text{number-of } n) = \text{natfloor } x + \text{number-of } n$ 
  apply (subst natfloor-add [THEN sym])
  apply simp-all
done

lemma natfloor-add-one:  $0 \leq x \iff \text{natfloor}(x + 1) = \text{natfloor } x + 1$ 
  apply (subst natfloor-add [THEN sym])
  apply assumption
  apply simp
done

lemma natfloor-subtract [simp]:  $\text{real } a \leq x \iff$ 
   $\text{natfloor}(x - \text{real } a) = \text{natfloor } x - a$ 
  apply (unfold natfloor-def)
  apply (subgoal-tac  $\text{real } a = \text{real } (\text{int } a)$ )
  apply (erule ssubst)
  apply (simp del: real-of-int-of-nat-eq)
  apply simp
done

lemma natceiling-zero [simp]:  $\text{natceiling } 0 = 0$ 
  by (unfold natceiling-def, simp)

lemma natceiling-one [simp]:  $\text{natceiling } 1 = 1$ 
  by (unfold natceiling-def, simp)

lemma zero-le-natceiling [simp]:  $0 \leq \text{natceiling } x$ 

```

```

by (unfold natceiling-def, simp)

lemma natceiling-number-of-eq [simp]: natceiling (number-of n) = number-of n
by (unfold natceiling-def, simp)

lemma natceiling-real-of-nat [simp]: natceiling(real n) = n
by (unfold natceiling-def, simp)

lemma real-natceiling-ge: x <= real(natceiling x)
apply (unfold natceiling-def)
apply (case-tac x < 0)
apply simp
apply (subst real-nat-eq-real)
apply (subgoal-tac ceiling 0 <= ceiling x)
apply simp
apply (rule ceiling-mono2)
apply simp
apply simp
done

lemma natceiling-neg: x <= 0 ==> natceiling x = 0
apply (unfold natceiling-def)
apply simp
done

lemma natceiling-mono: x <= y ==> natceiling x <= natceiling y
apply (case-tac 0 <= x)
apply (subst natceiling-def)+
apply (subst nat-le-eq-zle)
apply (rule disjI2)
apply (subgoal-tac real (0::int) <= real(ceiling y))
apply simp
apply (rule order-trans)
apply simp
apply (erule order-trans)
apply simp
apply (erule ceiling-mono2)
apply (subst natceiling-neg)
apply simp-all
done

lemma natceiling-le: x <= real a ==> natceiling x <= a
apply (unfold natceiling-def)
apply (case-tac x < 0)
apply simp
apply (subst nat-int [THEN sym])back
apply (subst nat-le-eq-zle)
apply simp
apply (rule ceiling-le)

```

```

apply simp
done

lemma natceiling-le-eq:  $0 \leq x \iff (\text{natceiling } x \leq a) = (x \leq \text{real } a)$ 
  apply (rule iffI)
  apply (rule order-trans)
  apply (rule real-natceiling-ge)
  apply (subst real-of-nat-le-iff)
  apply assumption
  apply (erule natceiling-le)
done

lemma natceiling-le-eq-number-of [simp]:
   $\sim \neg(\text{number-of } n) :: \text{int} \iff 0 \leq x \iff (\text{natceiling } x \leq \text{number-of } n) = (x \leq \text{number-of } n)$ 
  apply (subst natceiling-le-eq, assumption)
  apply simp
done

lemma natceiling-le-eq-one:  $(\text{natceiling } x \leq 1) = (x \leq 1)$ 
  apply (case-tac  $0 \leq x$ )
  apply (subst natceiling-le-eq)
  apply assumption
  apply simp
  apply (subst natceiling-neg)
  apply simp
  apply simp
done

lemma natceiling-eq:  $\text{real } n < x \iff x \leq \text{real } n + 1 \iff \text{natceiling } x = n + 1$ 
  apply (unfold natceiling-def)
  apply (simplesubst nat-int [THEN sym]) back back
  apply (subgoal-tac  $\text{nat}(\text{int } n) + 1 = \text{nat}(\text{int } n + 1)$ )
  apply (erule ssubst)
  apply (subst eq-nat-nat-iff)
  apply (subgoal-tac  $\text{ceiling } 0 \leq \text{ceiling } x$ )
  apply simp
  apply (rule ceiling-mono2)
  apply force
  apply force
  apply (rule ceiling-eq2)
  apply (simp, simp)
  apply (subst nat-add-distrib)
  apply auto
done

lemma natceiling-add [simp]:  $0 \leq x \iff \text{natceiling } (x + \text{real } a) = \text{natceiling } x + a$ 

```

```

apply (unfold natceiling-def)
apply (subgoal-tac real a = real (int a))
apply (erule ssubst)
apply (simp del: real-of-int-of-nat-eq)
apply (subst nat-add-distrib)
apply (subgoal-tac 0 = ceiling 0)
apply (erule ssubst)
apply (erule ceiling-mono2)
apply simp-all
done

lemma natceiling-add-number-of [simp]:
  ~ neg ((number-of n)::int) ==> 0 <= x ==>
    natceiling (x + number-of n) = natceiling x + number-of n
  apply (subst natceiling-add [THEN sym])
  apply simp-all
done

lemma natceiling-add-one: 0 <= x ==> natceiling(x + 1) = natceiling x + 1
  apply (subst natceiling-add [THEN sym])
  apply assumption
  apply simp
done

lemma natceiling-subtract [simp]: real a <= x ==>
  natceiling(x - real a) = natceiling x - a
  apply (unfold natceiling-def)
  apply (subgoal-tac real a = real (int a))
  apply (erule ssubst)
  apply (simp del: real-of-int-of-nat-eq)
  apply simp
done

lemma natfloor-div-nat: 1 <= x ==> y > 0 ==>
  natfloor (x / real y) = natfloor x div y
proof -
  assume 1 <= (x::real) and (y::nat) > 0
  have natfloor x = (natfloor x) div y * y + (natfloor x) mod y
    by simp
  then have a: real(natfloor x) = real ((natfloor x) div y) * real y +
    real((natfloor x) mod y)
    by (simp only: real-of-nat-add [THEN sym] real-of-nat-mult [THEN sym])
  have x = real(natfloor x) + (x - real(natfloor x))
    by simp
  then have x = real ((natfloor x) div y) * real y +
    real((natfloor x) mod y) + (x - real(natfloor x))
    by (simp add: a)
  then have x / real y = ... / real y
    by simp

```

```

also have ... =  $\text{real}((\text{natfloor } x) \text{ div } y) + \text{real}((\text{natfloor } x) \text{ mod } y) /$ 
 $\text{real } y + (x - \text{real}(\text{natfloor } x)) / \text{real } y$ 
by (auto simp add: ring-simps add-divide-distrib
      diff-divide-distrib prems)
finally have  $\text{natfloor}(x / \text{real } y) = \text{natfloor}(\dots)$  by simp
also have ... =  $\text{natfloor}(\text{real}((\text{natfloor } x) \text{ mod } y) /$ 
 $\text{real } y + (x - \text{real}(\text{natfloor } x)) / \text{real } y + \text{real}((\text{natfloor } x) \text{ div } y))$ 
by (simp add: add-ac)
also have ... =  $\text{natfloor}(\text{real}((\text{natfloor } x) \text{ mod } y) /$ 
 $\text{real } y + (x - \text{real}(\text{natfloor } x)) / \text{real } y + (\text{natfloor } x) \text{ div } y$ 
apply (rule natfloor-add)
apply (rule add-nonneg-nonneg)
apply (rule divide-nonneg-pos)
apply simp
apply (simp add: prems)
apply (rule divide-nonneg-pos)
apply (simp add: compare-rls)
apply (rule real-natfloor-le)
apply (insert prems, auto)
done
also have  $\text{natfloor}(\text{real}((\text{natfloor } x) \text{ mod } y) /$ 
 $\text{real } y + (x - \text{real}(\text{natfloor } x)) / \text{real } y) = 0$ 
apply (rule natfloor-eq)
apply simp
apply (rule add-nonneg-nonneg)
apply (rule divide-nonneg-pos)
apply force
apply (force simp add: prems)
apply (rule divide-nonneg-pos)
apply (simp add: compare-rls)
apply (rule real-natfloor-le)
apply (auto simp add: prems)
apply (insert prems, arith)
apply (simp add: add-divide-distrib [THEN sym])
apply (subgoal-tac  $\text{real } y = \text{real } y - 1 + 1$ )
apply (erule ssubst)
apply (rule add-le-less-mono)
apply (simp add: compare-rls)
apply (subgoal-tac  $\text{real}(\text{natfloor } x \text{ mod } y) + 1 =$ 
 $\text{real}(\text{natfloor } x \text{ mod } y + 1)$ )
apply (erule ssubst)
apply (subst real-of-nat-le-iff)
apply (subgoal-tac  $\text{natfloor } x \text{ mod } y < y$ )
apply arith
apply (rule mod-less-divisor)
apply auto
apply (simp add: compare-rls)
apply (subst add-commute)
apply (rule real-natfloor-add-one-gt)

```

```

done
finally show ?thesis by simp
qed

end

```

13 Non-denumerability of the Continuum.

```

theory ContNotDenum
imports RComplete
begin

```

13.1 Abstract

The following document presents a proof that the Continuum is uncountable. It is formalised in the Isabelle/Isar theorem proving system.

Theorem: The Continuum \mathbb{R} is not denumerable. In other words, there does not exist a function $f:\mathbb{N} \Rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that f is surjective.

Outline: An elegant informal proof of this result uses Cantor's Diagonalisation argument. The proof presented here is not this one. First we formalise some properties of closed intervals, then we prove the Nested Interval Property. This property relies on the completeness of the Real numbers and is the foundation for our argument. Informally it states that an intersection of countable closed intervals (where each successive interval is a subset of the last) is non-empty. We then assume a surjective function $f:\mathbb{N} \Rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ exists and find a real x such that x is not in the range of f by generating a sequence of closed intervals then using the NIP.

13.2 Closed Intervals

This section formalises some properties of closed intervals.

13.2.1 Definition

definition

```

closed-int :: real ⇒ real ⇒ real set where
closed-int x y = {z. x ≤ z ∧ z ≤ y}

```

13.2.2 Properties

lemma *closed-int-subset*:

```

assumes xy: x1 ≥ x0 y1 ≤ y0
shows closed-int x1 y1 ⊆ closed-int x0 y0

```

proof –

{

```

fix x::real
assume x ∈ closed-int x1 y1
hence x ≥ x1 ∧ x ≤ y1 by (simp add: closed-int-def)
with xy have x ≥ x0 ∧ x ≤ y0 by auto
hence x ∈ closed-int x0 y0 by (simp add: closed-int-def)
}
thus ?thesis by auto
qed

lemma closed-int-least:
assumes a: a ≤ b
shows a ∈ closed-int a b ∧ (∀x ∈ closed-int a b. a ≤ x)
proof
from a have a ∈ {x. a ≤ x ∧ x ≤ b} by simp
thus a ∈ closed-int a b by (unfold closed-int-def)
next
have ∀x ∈ {x. a ≤ x ∧ x ≤ b}. a ≤ x by simp
thus ∀x ∈ closed-int a b. a ≤ x by (unfold closed-int-def)
qed

lemma closed-int-most:
assumes a: a ≤ b
shows b ∈ closed-int a b ∧ (∀x ∈ closed-int a b. x ≤ b)
proof
from a have b ∈ {x. a ≤ x ∧ x ≤ b} by simp
thus b ∈ closed-int a b by (unfold closed-int-def)
next
have ∀x ∈ {x. a ≤ x ∧ x ≤ b}. x ≤ b by simp
thus ∀x ∈ closed-int a b. x ≤ b by (unfold closed-int-def)
qed

lemma closed-not-empty:
shows a ≤ b ⇒ ∃x. x ∈ closed-int a b
by (auto dest: closed-int-least)

lemma closed-mem:
assumes a ≤ c and c ≤ b
shows c ∈ closed-int a b
using assms unfolding closed-int-def by auto

lemma closed-subset:
assumes ac: a ≤ b c ≤ d
assumes closed: closed-int a b ⊆ closed-int c d
shows b ≥ c
proof –
from closed have ∀x ∈ closed-int a b. x ∈ closed-int c d by auto
hence ∀x. a ≤ x ∧ x ≤ b → c ≤ x ∧ x ≤ d by (unfold closed-int-def, auto)
with ac have c ≤ b ∧ b ≤ d by simp
thus ?thesis by auto

```

qed

13.3 Nested Interval Property

theorem *NIP*:

fixes $f::nat \Rightarrow real\ set$

assumes *subset*: $\forall n. f(Suc\ n) \subseteq f\ n$

and *closed*: $\forall n. \exists a\ b. f\ n = closed\text{-}int\ a\ b \wedge a \leq b$

shows $(\bigcap n. f\ n) \neq \{\}$

proof —

let $?g = \lambda n. (SOME c. c \in (f\ n) \wedge (\forall x \in (f\ n). c \leq x))$

have *ne*: $\forall n. \exists x. x \in (f\ n)$

proof

fix n

from *closed* **have** $\exists a\ b. f\ n = closed\text{-}int\ a\ b \wedge a \leq b$ **by** *simp*

then obtain *a* **and** *b* **where** $fn: f\ n = closed\text{-}int\ a\ b \wedge a \leq b$ **by** *auto*

hence $a \leq b$..

with *closed-not-empty* **have** $\exists x. x \in closed\text{-}int\ a\ b$ **by** *simp*

with *fn* **show** $\exists x. x \in (f\ n)$ **by** *simp*

qed

have *gdef*: $\forall n. (?g\ n) \in (f\ n) \wedge (\forall x \in (f\ n). (?g\ n) \leq x)$

proof

fix n

from *closed* **have** $\exists a\ b. f\ n = closed\text{-}int\ a\ b \wedge a \leq b$..

then obtain *a* **and** *b* **where** $ff: f\ n = closed\text{-}int\ a\ b$ **and** $a \leq b$ **by** *auto*

hence $a \leq b$ **by** *simp*

hence $a \in closed\text{-}int\ a\ b \wedge (\forall x \in closed\text{-}int\ a\ b. a \leq x)$ **by** (*rule closed-int-least*)

with *ff* **have** $a \in (f\ n) \wedge (\forall x \in (f\ n). a \leq x)$ **by** *simp*

hence $\exists c. c \in (f\ n) \wedge (\forall x \in (f\ n). c \leq x)$..

thus $(?g\ n) \in (f\ n) \wedge (\forall x \in (f\ n). (?g\ n) \leq x)$ **by** (*rule someI-ex*)

qed

— A denotes the set of all left-most points of all the intervals ...

moreover obtain *A* **where** *Adef*: $A = ?g` \mathbb{N}$ **by** *simp*

ultimately have $\exists x. x \in A$

proof —

have $(0::nat) \in \mathbb{N}$ **by** *simp*

moreover have $?g\ 0 = ?g\ 0$ **by** *simp*

ultimately have $?g\ 0 \in ?g` \mathbb{N}$ **by** (*rule rev-image-eqI*)

with *Adef* **have** $?g\ 0 \in A$ **by** *simp*

thus *?thesis* ..

qed

— Now show that A is bounded above ...

moreover have $\exists y. isUb (UNIV::real\ set) A\ y$

proof —

 {

fix n

```

from ne have ex:  $\exists x. x \in (f n)$  ..
from gdef have  $(?g n) \in (f n) \wedge (\forall x \in (f n). (?g n) \leq x)$  by simp
moreover
from closed have  $\exists a b. f n = \text{closed-int } a b \wedge a \leq b$  ..
then obtain a and b where  $f n = \text{closed-int } a b \wedge a \leq b$  by auto
hence  $b \in (f n) \wedge (\forall x \in (f n). x \leq b)$  using closed-int-most by blast
ultimately have  $\forall x \in (f n). (?g n) \leq b$  by simp
with ex have  $(?g n) \leq b$  by auto
hence  $\exists b. (?g n) \leq b$  by auto
}
hence aux:  $\forall n. \exists b. (?g n) \leq b$  ..

have fs:  $\forall n::nat. f n \subseteq f 0$ 
proof (rule allI, induct-tac n)
  show  $f 0 \subseteq f 0$  by simp
next
  fix n
  assume  $f n \subseteq f 0$ 
  moreover from subset have  $f (\text{Suc } n) \subseteq f n$  ..
  ultimately show  $f (\text{Suc } n) \subseteq f 0$  by simp
qed
have  $\forall n. (?g n) \in (f 0)$ 
proof
  fix n
  from gdef have  $(?g n) \in (f n) \wedge (\forall x \in (f n). (?g n) \leq x)$  by simp
  hence  $?g n \in f n$  ..
  with fs show  $?g n \in f 0$  by auto
qed
moreover from closed
  obtain a and b where  $f 0 = \text{closed-int } a b$  and alb:  $a \leq b$  by blast
  ultimately have  $\forall n. ?g n \in \text{closed-int } a b$  by auto
  with alb have  $\forall n. ?g n \leq b$  using closed-int-most by blast
  with Adef have  $\forall y \in A. y \leq b$  by auto
  hence  $A * \leq b$  by (unfold settle-def)
  moreover have  $b \in (\text{UNIV}::\text{real set})$  by simp
  ultimately have  $A * \leq b \wedge b \in (\text{UNIV}::\text{real set})$  by simp
  hence isUb (UNIV::real set) A b by (unfold isUb-def)
  thus ?thesis by auto
qed
— by the Axiom Of Completeness, A has a least upper bound ...
ultimately have  $\exists t. \text{isLub } \text{UNIV } A t$  by (rule reals-complete)

— denote this least upper bound as t ...
then obtain t where tdef: isLub UNIV A t ..

— and finally show that this least upper bound is in all the intervals...
have  $\forall n. t \in f n$ 
proof
  fix n::nat

```

```

from closed obtain a and b where
  int: f n = closed-int a b and alb: a ≤ b by blast

have t ≥ a
proof -
  have a ∈ A
  proof -
    from alb int have ain: a ∈ f n ∧ (∀ x ∈ f n. a ≤ x)
      using closed-int-least by blast
    moreover have ∀ e. e ∈ f n ∧ (∀ x ∈ f n. e ≤ x) → e = a
    proof clar simp
      fix e
      assume ein: e ∈ f n and lt: ∀ x ∈ f n. e ≤ x
      from lt ain have aux: ∀ x ∈ f n. a ≤ x ∧ e ≤ x by auto

      from ein aux have a ≤ e ∧ e ≤ a by auto
      moreover from ain aux have a ≤ a ∧ e ≤ a by auto
      ultimately show e = a by simp
    qed
    hence ∀ e. e ∈ f n ∧ (∀ x ∈ f n. e ≤ x) ⇒ e = a by simp
    ultimately have (?g n) = a by (rule some-equality)
    moreover
    {
      have n = of-nat n by simp
      moreover have of-nat n ∈ ℙ by simp
      ultimately have n ∈ ℙ
        apply -
        apply (subst(asm) eq-sym-conv)
        apply (erule subst)
      .
    }
    with Adef have (?g n) ∈ A by auto
    ultimately show ?thesis by simp
  qed
  with tdef show a ≤ t by (rule isLubD2)
qed
moreover have t ≤ b
proof -
  have isUb UNIV A b
  proof -
    {
      from alb int have
        ain: b ∈ f n ∧ (∀ x ∈ f n. x ≤ b) using closed-int-most by blast

      have subsetd: ∀ m. ∀ n. f (n + m) ⊆ f n
      proof (rule allI, induct-tac m)
        show ∀ n. f (n + 0) ⊆ f n by simp
      next
    }
  
```

```

fix m n
assume pp: ∀ p. f (p + n) ⊆ f p
{
  fix p
  from pp have f (p + n) ⊆ f p by simp
  moreover from subset have f (Suc (p + n)) ⊆ f (p + n) by auto
  hence f (p + (Suc n)) ⊆ f (p + n) by simp
  ultimately have f (p + (Suc n)) ⊆ f p by simp
}
thus ∀ p. f (p + Suc n) ⊆ f p ..
qed
have subsetm: ∀ α β. α ≥ β → (f α) ⊆ (f β)
proof ((rule allI)+, rule impI)
  fix α::nat and β::nat
  assume β ≤ α
  hence ∃ k. α = β + k by (simp only: le-iff-add)
  then obtain k where α = β + k ..
  moreover
  from subsetd have f (β + k) ⊆ f β by simp
  ultimately show f α ⊆ f β by auto
qed

fix m
{
  assume m ≥ n
  with subsetm have f m ⊆ f n by simp
  with ain have ∀ x∈f m. x ≤ b by auto
  moreover
  from gdef have ?g m ∈ f m ∧ (∀ x∈f m. ?g m ≤ x) by simp
  ultimately have ?g m ≤ b by auto
}
moreover
{
  assume ¬(m ≥ n)
  hence m < n by simp
  with subsetm have sub: (f n) ⊆ (f m) by simp
  from closed obtain ma and mb where
    f m = closed-int ma mb ∧ ma ≤ mb by blast
  hence one: ma ≤ mb and fm: f m = closed-int ma mb by auto
  from one alb sub fm int have ma ≤ b using closed-subset by blast
  moreover have (?g m) = ma
  proof -
    from gdef have ?g m ∈ f m ∧ (∀ x∈f m. ?g m ≤ x) ..
    moreover from one have
      ma ∈ closed-int ma mb ∧ (∀ x∈closed-int ma mb. ma ≤ x)
      by (rule closed-int-least)
    with fm have ma ∈ f m ∧ (∀ x∈f m. ma ≤ x) by simp
    ultimately have ma ≤ ?g m ∧ ?g m ≤ ma by auto
    thus ?g m = ma by auto
}

```

```

qed
ultimately have ?g m ≤ b by simp
}
ultimately have ?g m ≤ b by (rule case-split)
}
with Adef have ∀ y∈A. y≤b by auto
hence A *≤= b by (unfold settle-def)
moreover have b ∈ (UNIV::real set) by simp
ultimately have A *≤= b ∧ b ∈ (UNIV::real set) by simp
thus isUb (UNIV::real set) A b by (unfold isUb-def)
qed
with tdef show t ≤ b by (rule isLub-le-isUb)
qed
ultimately have t ∈ closed-int a b by (rule closed-mem)
with int show t ∈ f n by simp
qed
hence t ∈ (⋂ n. f n) by auto
thus ?thesis by auto
qed

```

13.4 Generating the intervals

13.4.1 Existence of non-singleton closed intervals

This lemma asserts that given any non-singleton closed interval (a,b) and any element c , there exists a closed interval that is a subset of (a,b) and that does not contain c and is a non-singleton itself.

```

lemma closed-subset-ex:
fixes c::real
assumes alb: a < b
shows
  ∃ ka kb. ka < kb ∧ closed-int ka kb ⊆ closed-int a b ∧ c ∉ (closed-int ka kb)
proof -
{
  assume clb: c < b
  {
    assume cla: c < a
    from alb cla clb have c ∉ closed-int a b by (unfold closed-int-def, auto)
    with alb have
      a < b ∧ closed-int a b ⊆ closed-int a b ∧ c ∉ closed-int a b
      by auto
    hence
      ∃ ka kb. ka < kb ∧ closed-int ka kb ⊆ closed-int a b ∧ c ∉ (closed-int ka kb)
      by auto
  }
  moreover
  {
    assume ncla: ¬(c < a)
    with clb have cdef: a ≤ c ∧ c < b by simp
  }
}

```

```

obtain ka where kedef:  $ka = (c + b)/2$  by blast

from kedef clb have kalb:  $ka < b$  by auto
moreover from kedef cdef have kagc:  $ka > c$  by simp
ultimately have  $c \notin (closed\text{-}int ka b)$  by (unfold closed-int-def, auto)
moreover from cdef kagc have ka ≥ a by simp
hence closed-int ka b ⊆ closed-int a b by (unfold closed-int-def, auto)
ultimately have
   $ka < b \wedge closed\text{-}int ka b \subseteq closed\text{-}int a b \wedge c \notin closed\text{-}int ka b$ 
  using kalb by auto
hence
   $\exists ka kb. ka < kb \wedge closed\text{-}int ka kb \subseteq closed\text{-}int a b \wedge c \notin (closed\text{-}int ka kb)$ 
  by auto

}

ultimately have
   $\exists ka kb. ka < kb \wedge closed\text{-}int ka kb \subseteq closed\text{-}int a b \wedge c \notin (closed\text{-}int ka kb)$ 
  by (rule case-split)
}

moreover
{
  assume  $\neg (c < b)$ 
  hence cgeb:  $c \geq b$  by simp

obtain kb where kbdef:  $kb = (a + b)/2$  by blast
with alb have klbl:  $kb < b$  by auto
with kbdef cgeb have a < kb ∧ kb < c by auto
moreover hence  $c \notin (closed\text{-}int a kb)$  by (unfold closed-int-def, auto)
moreover from klbl have
   $closed\text{-}int a kb \subseteq closed\text{-}int a b$  by (unfold closed-int-def, auto)
ultimately have
   $a < kb \wedge closed\text{-}int a kb \subseteq closed\text{-}int a b \wedge c \notin closed\text{-}int a kb$ 
  by simp
hence
   $\exists ka kb. ka < kb \wedge closed\text{-}int ka kb \subseteq closed\text{-}int a b \wedge c \notin (closed\text{-}int ka kb)$ 
  by auto
}

ultimately show ?thesis by (rule case-split)
qed

```

13.5 newInt: Interval generation

Given a function $f:\mathbb{N}\Rightarrow\mathbb{R}$, $\text{newInt } (\text{Suc } n) f$ returns a closed interval such that $\text{newInt } (\text{Suc } n) f \subseteq \text{newInt } n f$ and does not contain $f \ (Suc \ n)$. With the base case defined such that $(f \ 0) \notin \text{newInt } 0 f$.

13.5.1 Definition

```
consts newInt :: nat ⇒ (nat ⇒ real) ⇒ (real set)
```

```

primrec
newInt 0 f = closed-int (f 0 + 1) (f 0 + 2)
newInt (Suc n) f =
(SOME e. (∃ e1 e2.
e1 < e2 ∧
e = closed-int e1 e2 ∧
e ⊆ (newInt n f) ∧
(f (Suc n)) ∉ e)
)

```

13.5.2 Properties

We now show that every application of newInt returns an appropriate interval.

```

lemma newInt-ex:
∃ a b. a < b ∧
newInt (Suc n) f = closed-int a b ∧
newInt (Suc n) f ⊆ newInt n f ∧
f (Suc n) ∉ newInt (Suc n) f
proof (induct n)
case 0

let ?e = SOME e. ∃ e1 e2.
e1 < e2 ∧
e = closed-int e1 e2 ∧
e ⊆ closed-int (f 0 + 1) (f 0 + 2) ∧
f (Suc 0) ∉ e

have newInt (Suc 0) f = ?e by auto
moreover
have f 0 + 1 < f 0 + 2 by simp
with closed-subset-ex have
∃ ka kb. ka < kb ∧ closed-int ka kb ⊆ closed-int (f 0 + 1) (f 0 + 2) ∧
f (Suc 0) ∉ (closed-int ka kb) .
hence
∃ e. ∃ ka kb. ka < kb ∧ e = closed-int ka kb ∧
e ⊆ closed-int (f 0 + 1) (f 0 + 2) ∧ f (Suc 0) ∉ e by simp
hence
∃ ka kb. ka < kb ∧ ?e = closed-int ka kb ∧
?e ⊆ closed-int (f 0 + 1) (f 0 + 2) ∧ f (Suc 0) ∉ ?e
by (rule someI-ex)
ultimately have ∃ e1 e2. e1 < e2 ∧
newInt (Suc 0) f = closed-int e1 e2 ∧
newInt (Suc 0) f ⊆ closed-int (f 0 + 1) (f 0 + 2) ∧
f (Suc 0) ∉ newInt (Suc 0) f by simp
thus
∃ a b. a < b ∧ newInt (Suc 0) f = closed-int a b ∧
newInt (Suc 0) f ⊆ newInt 0 f ∧ f (Suc 0) ∉ newInt (Suc 0) f
by simp

```

```

next
  case (Suc n)
    hence  $\exists a b.$ 
       $a < b \wedge$ 
       $\text{newInt}(\text{Suc } n) f = \text{closed-int } a b \wedge$ 
       $\text{newInt}(\text{Suc } n) f \subseteq \text{newInt } n f \wedge$ 
       $f(\text{Suc } n) \notin \text{newInt}(\text{Suc } n) f$  by simp
    then obtain a and b where ab:  $a < b \wedge$ 
       $\text{newInt}(\text{Suc } n) f = \text{closed-int } a b \wedge$ 
       $\text{newInt}(\text{Suc } n) f \subseteq \text{newInt } n f \wedge$ 
       $f(\text{Suc } n) \notin \text{newInt}(\text{Suc } n) f$  by auto
    hence cab:  $\text{closed-int } a b = \text{newInt}(\text{Suc } n) f$  by simp

  let  $?e = \text{SOME } e. \exists e1 e2.$ 
     $e1 < e2 \wedge$ 
     $e = \text{closed-int } e1 e2 \wedge$ 
     $e \subseteq \text{closed-int } a b \wedge$ 
     $f(\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)) \notin e$ 
  from cab have ni:  $\text{newInt}(\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)) f = ?e$  by auto

  from ab have  $a < b$  by simp
  with closed-subset-ex have
     $\exists ka kb. ka < kb \wedge \text{closed-int } ka kb \subseteq \text{closed-int } a b \wedge$ 
     $f(\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)) \notin \text{closed-int } ka kb .$ 
  hence
     $\exists e. \exists ka kb. ka < kb \wedge e = \text{closed-int } ka kb \wedge$ 
     $\text{closed-int } ka kb \subseteq \text{closed-int } a b \wedge f(\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)) \notin \text{closed-int } ka kb$ 
    by simp
  hence
     $\exists e. \exists ka kb. ka < kb \wedge e = \text{closed-int } ka kb \wedge$ 
     $e \subseteq \text{closed-int } a b \wedge f(\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)) \notin e$  by simp
  hence
     $\exists ka kb. ka < kb \wedge ?e = \text{closed-int } ka kb \wedge$ 
     $?e \subseteq \text{closed-int } a b \wedge f(\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)) \notin ?e$  by (rule someI-ex)
  with ab ni show
     $\exists ka kb. ka < kb \wedge$ 
     $\text{newInt}(\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)) f = \text{closed-int } ka kb \wedge$ 
     $\text{newInt}(\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)) f \subseteq \text{newInt}(\text{Suc } n) f \wedge$ 
     $f(\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)) \notin \text{newInt}(\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)) f$  by auto
qed

```

lemma *newInt-subset*:

$$\text{newInt}(\text{Suc } n) f \subseteq \text{newInt } n f$$

using *newInt-ex* **by auto**

Another fundamental property is that no element in the range of *f* is in the intersection of all closed intervals generated by *newInt*.

lemma *newInt-inter*:

$$\forall n. f n \notin (\bigcap n. \text{newInt } n f)$$

```

proof
  fix n::nat
  {
    assume n0: n = 0
    moreover have newInt 0 f = closed-int (f 0 + 1) (f 0 + 2) by simp
    ultimately have f n ∉ newInt n f by (unfold closed-int-def, simp)
  }
  moreover
  {
    assume ¬ n = 0
    hence n > 0 by simp
    then obtain m where ndef: n = Suc m by (auto simp add: gr0-conv-Suc)

    from newInt-ex have
       $\exists a b. a < b \wedge (\text{newInt}(\text{Suc } m) f) = \text{closed-int } a b \wedge$ 
       $\text{newInt}(\text{Suc } m) f \subseteq \text{newInt } m f \wedge f(\text{Suc } m) \notin \text{newInt}(\text{Suc } m) f.$ 
      then have f(Suc m) ∉ newInt(Suc m) f by auto
      with ndef have f n ∉ newInt n f by simp
    }
    ultimately have f n ∉ newInt n f by (rule case-split)
    thus f n ∉ (Intersection n. newInt n f) by auto
  qed

```

```

lemma newInt-notempty:
  ( $\bigcap n. \text{newInt } n f$ ) ≠ {}
proof –
  let ?g =  $\lambda n. \text{newInt } n f$ 
  have  $\forall n. ?g(\text{Suc } n) \subseteq ?g n$ 
  proof
    fix n
    show ?g(Suc n) ⊆ ?g n by (rule newInt-subset)
  qed
  moreover have  $\forall n. \exists a b. ?g n = \text{closed-int } a b \wedge a \leq b$ 
  proof
    fix n::nat
    {
      assume n = 0
      then have
        ?g n = closed-int (f 0 + 1) (f 0 + 2)  $\wedge (f 0 + 1 \leq f 0 + 2)$ 
        by simp
      hence  $\exists a b. ?g n = \text{closed-int } a b \wedge a \leq b$  by blast
    }
    moreover
    {
      assume ¬ n = 0
      then have n > 0 by simp
      then obtain m where ndef: n = Suc m by (auto simp add: gr0-conv-Suc)
    }
  
```

```

have
   $\exists a b. a < b \wedge (\text{newInt } (\text{Suc } m) f) = \text{closed-int } a b \wedge$ 
   $(\text{newInt } (\text{Suc } m) f) \subseteq (\text{newInt } m f) \wedge (f (\text{Suc } m)) \notin (\text{newInt } (\text{Suc } m) f)$ 
  by (rule newInt-ex)
then obtain a and b where
   $a < b \wedge (\text{newInt } (\text{Suc } m) f) = \text{closed-int } a b$  by auto
with nd have ?g n = closed-int a b  $\wedge a \leq b$  by auto
  hence  $\exists a b. ?g n = \text{closed-int } a b \wedge a \leq b$  by blast
}
ultimately show  $\exists a b. ?g n = \text{closed-int } a b \wedge a \leq b$  by (rule case-split)
qed
ultimately show ?thesis by (rule NIP)
qed

```

13.6 Final Theorem

```

theorem real-non-denum:
  shows  $\neg (\exists f::nat \Rightarrow \text{real}. \text{surj } f)$ 
proof — by contradiction
  assume  $\exists f::nat \Rightarrow \text{real}. \text{surj } f$ 
  then obtain f::nat $\Rightarrow$ real where surj f by auto
  hence rangeF: range f = UNIV by (rule surj-range)
  — We now produce a real number x that is not in the range of f, using the
  properties of newInt.
  have  $\exists x. x \in (\bigcap n. \text{newInt } n f)$  using newInt-notempty by blast
  moreover have  $\forall n. f n \notin (\bigcap n. \text{newInt } n f)$  by (rule newInt-inter)
  ultimately obtain x where x  $\in (\bigcap n. \text{newInt } n f)$  and  $\forall n. f n \neq x$  by blast
  moreover from rangeF have x  $\in$  range f by simp
  ultimately show False by blast
qed

end

```

14 Natural powers theory

```

theory RealPow
imports RealDef
begin

declare abs-mult-self [simp]

instance real :: power ..

primrec (realpow)
  realpow-0:  $r ^ 0 = 1$ 
  realpow-Suc:  $r ^ (\text{Suc } n) = (r::\text{real}) * (r ^ n)$ 

```

```

instance real :: recpower
proof
  fix z :: real
  fix n :: nat
  show z^0 = 1 by simp
  show z^(Suc n) = z * (z^n) by simp
qed

lemma two-realpow-ge-one [simp]: (1::real) ≤ 2 ^ n
by (rule power-increasing[of 0 n 2::real, simplified])

lemma two-realpow-gt [simp]: real (n::nat) < 2 ^ n
apply (induct n)
apply (auto simp add: real-of-nat-Suc)
apply (subst mult-2)
apply (rule add-less-le-mono)
apply (auto simp add: two-realpow-ge-one)
done

lemma realpow-Suc-le-self: [| 0 ≤ r; r ≤ (1::real) |] ==> r ^ Suc n ≤ r
by (insert power-decreasing [of 1 Suc n r], simp)

lemma realpow-minus-mult [rule-format]:
  0 < n --> (x::real) ^ (n - 1) * x = x ^ n
apply (simp split add: nat-diff-split)
done

lemma realpow-two-mult-inverse [simp]:
  r ≠ 0 ==> r * inverse r ^ Suc (Suc 0) = inverse (r::real)
by (simp add: real-mult-assoc [symmetric])

lemma realpow-two-minus [simp]: (-x) ^ Suc (Suc 0) = (x::real) ^ Suc (Suc 0)
by simp

lemma realpow-two-diff:
  (x::real) ^ Suc (Suc 0) - y ^ Suc (Suc 0) = (x - y) * (x + y)
apply (unfold real-diff-def)
apply (simp add: ring-simps)
done

lemma realpow-two-disj:
  ((x::real) ^ Suc (Suc 0) = y ^ Suc (Suc 0)) = (x = y | x = -y)
apply (cut-tac x = x and y = y in realpow-two-diff)
apply (auto simp del: realpow-Suc)
done

lemma realpow-real-of-nat: real (m::nat) ^ n = real (m ^ n)
apply (induct n)

```

```

apply (auto simp add: real-of-nat-one real-of-nat-mult)
done

lemma realpow-real-of-nat-two-pos [simp] : 0 < real (Suc (Suc 0) ^ n)
apply (induct n)
apply (auto simp add: real-of-nat-mult zero-less-mult-iff)
done

lemma realpow-increasing:
  [(0::real) ≤ x; 0 ≤ y; x ^ Suc n ≤ y ^ Suc n] ==> x ≤ y
by (rule power-le-imp-le-base)

```

14.1 Literal Arithmetic Involving Powers, Type *real*

```

lemma real-of-int-power: real (x::int) ^ n = real (x ^ n)
apply (induct n)
apply (simp-all add: nat-mult-distrib)
done
declare real-of-int-power [symmetric, simp]

lemma power-real-number-of:
  (number-of v :: real) ^ n = real ((number-of v :: int) ^ n)
by (simp only: real-number-of [symmetric] real-of-int-power)

declare power-real-number-of [of - number-of w, standard, simp]

```

14.2 Properties of Squares

```

lemma sum-squares-ge-zero:
  fixes x y :: 'a::ordered-ring-strict
  shows 0 ≤ x * x + y * y
by (intro add-nonneg-nonneg zero-le-square)

lemma not-sum-squares-lt-zero:
  fixes x y :: 'a::ordered-ring-strict
  shows ¬ x * x + y * y < 0
by (simp add: linorder-not-less sum-squares-ge-zero)

lemma sum-nonneg-eq-zero-iff:
  fixes x y :: 'a::porderd-ab-group-add
  assumes x: 0 ≤ x and y: 0 ≤ y
  shows (x + y = 0) = (x = 0 ∧ y = 0)
proof (auto)
  from y have x + 0 ≤ x + y by (rule add-left-mono)
  also assume x + y = 0
  finally have x ≤ 0 by simp
  thus x = 0 using x by (rule order-antisym)
next
  from x have 0 + y ≤ x + y by (rule add-right-mono)

```

```

also assume  $x + y = 0$ 
finally have  $y \leq 0$  by simp
thus  $y = 0$  using  $y$  by (rule order-antisym)
qed

lemma sum-squares-eq-zero-iff:
  fixes  $x y :: 'a::ordered-ring-strict$ 
  shows  $(x * x + y * y = 0) = (x = 0 \wedge y = 0)$ 
  by (simp add: sum-nonneg-eq-zero-iff)

lemma sum-squares-le-zero-iff:
  fixes  $x y :: 'a::ordered-ring-strict$ 
  shows  $(x * x + y * y \leq 0) = (x = 0 \wedge y = 0)$ 
  by (simp add: order-le-less not-sum-squares-lt-zero sum-squares-eq-zero-iff)

lemma sum-squares-gt-zero-iff:
  fixes  $x y :: 'a::ordered-ring-strict$ 
  shows  $(0 < x * x + y * y) = (x \neq 0 \vee y \neq 0)$ 
  by (simp add: order-less-le sum-squares-ge-zero sum-squares-eq-zero-iff)

lemma sum-power2-ge-zero:
  fixes  $x y :: 'a::\{ordered-idom,recpower\}$ 
  shows  $0 \leq x^2 + y^2$ 
  unfolding power2-eq-square by (rule sum-squares-ge-zero)

lemma not-sum-power2-lt-zero:
  fixes  $x y :: 'a::\{ordered-idom,recpower\}$ 
  shows  $\neg (x^2 + y^2 < 0)$ 
  unfolding power2-eq-square by (rule not-sum-squares-lt-zero)

lemma sum-power2-eq-zero-iff:
  fixes  $x y :: 'a::\{ordered-idom,recpower\}$ 
  shows  $(x^2 + y^2 = 0) = (x = 0 \wedge y = 0)$ 
  unfolding power2-eq-square by (rule sum-squares-eq-zero-iff)

lemma sum-power2-le-zero-iff:
  fixes  $x y :: 'a::\{ordered-idom,recpower\}$ 
  shows  $(x^2 + y^2 \leq 0) = (x = 0 \wedge y = 0)$ 
  unfolding power2-eq-square by (rule sum-squares-le-zero-iff)

lemma sum-power2-gt-zero-iff:
  fixes  $x y :: 'a::\{ordered-idom,recpower\}$ 
  shows  $(0 < x^2 + y^2) = (x \neq 0 \vee y \neq 0)$ 
  unfolding power2-eq-square by (rule sum-squares-gt-zero-iff)

```

14.3 Squares of Reals

```

lemma real-two-squares-add-zero-iff [simp]:
   $(x * x + y * y = 0) = ((x::real) = 0 \wedge y = 0)$ 

```

```

by (rule sum-squares-eq-zero-iff)

lemma real-sum-squares-cancel:  $x * x + y * y = 0 \implies x = (0::real)$ 
by simp

lemma real-sum-squares-cancel2:  $x * x + y * y = 0 \implies y = (0::real)$ 
by simp

lemma real-mult-self-sum-ge-zero:  $(0::real) \leq x*x + y*y$ 
by (rule sum-squares-ge-zero)

lemma real-sum-squares-cancel-a:  $x * x = -(y * y) \implies x = (0::real) \& y=0$ 
by (simp add: real-add-eq-0-iff [symmetric])

lemma real-squared-diff-one-factored:  $x*x - (1::real) = (x + 1)*(x - 1)$ 
by (simp add: left-distrib right-diff-distrib)

lemma real-mult-is-one [simp]:  $(x*x = (1::real)) = (x = 1 \mid x = - 1)$ 
apply auto
apply (drule right-minus-eq [THEN iffD2])
apply (auto simp add: real-squared-diff-one-factored)
done

lemma real-sum-squares-not-zero:  $x \sim= 0 \implies x * x + y * y \sim= (0::real)$ 
by simp

lemma real-sum-squares-not-zero2:  $y \sim= 0 \implies x * x + y * y \sim= (0::real)$ 
by simp

lemma realpow-two-sum-zero-iff [simp]:
 $(x ^ 2 + y ^ 2 = (0::real)) = (x = 0 \& y = 0)$ 
by (rule sum-power2-eq-zero-iff)

lemma realpow-two-le-add-order [simp]:  $(0::real) \leq u ^ 2 + v ^ 2$ 
by (rule sum-power2-ge-zero)

lemma realpow-two-le-add-order2 [simp]:  $(0::real) \leq u ^ 2 + v ^ 2 + w ^ 2$ 
by (intro add-nonneg-nonneg zero-le-power2)

lemma real-sum-square-gt-zero:  $x \sim= 0 \implies (0::real) < x * x + y * y$ 
by (simp add: sum-squares-gt-zero-iff)

lemma real-sum-square-gt-zero2:  $y \sim= 0 \implies (0::real) < x * x + y * y$ 
by (simp add: sum-squares-gt-zero-iff)

lemma real-minus-mult-self-le [simp]:  $-(u * u) \leq (x * (x::real))$ 
by (rule-tac j = 0 in real-le-trans, auto)

lemma realpow-square-minus-le [simp]:  $-(u ^ 2) \leq (x::real) ^ 2$ 

```

```
by (auto simp add: power2-eq-square)
```

```
lemma real-sq-order:
  fixes x::real
  assumes xgt0:  $0 \leq x$  and ygt0:  $0 \leq y$  and sq:  $x^2 \leq y^2$ 
  shows  $x \leq y$ 
proof -
  from sq have  $x \wedge \text{Suc}(0) \leq y \wedge \text{Suc}(0)$ 
    by (simp only: numeral-2-eq-2)
  thus  $x \leq y$  using ygt0
    by (rule power-le-imp-le-base)
qed
```

14.4 Various Other Theorems

```
lemma real-le-add-half-cancel:  $(x + y/2 \leq (y::\text{real})) = (x \leq y / 2)$ 
by auto
```

```
lemma real-minus-half-eq [simp]:  $(x::\text{real}) - x/2 = x/2$ 
by auto
```

```
lemma real-mult-inverse-cancel:
  [| ( $0::\text{real}$ )  $< x$ ;  $0 < x1$ ;  $x1 * y < x * u$  |]
  ==> inverse  $x * y < \text{inverse } x1 * u$ 
apply (rule-tac c=x in mult-less-imp-less-left)
apply (auto simp add: real-mult-assoc [symmetric])
apply (simp (no-asm) add: mult-ac)
apply (rule-tac c=x1 in mult-less-imp-less-right)
apply (auto simp add: mult-ac)
done
```

```
lemma real-mult-inverse-cancel2:
  [| ( $0::\text{real}$ )  $< x$ ;  $0 < x1$ ;  $x1 * y < x * u$  |] ==>  $y * \text{inverse } x < u * \text{inverse } x1$ 
apply (auto dest: real-mult-inverse-cancel simp add: mult-ac)
done
```

```
lemma inverse-real-of-nat-gt-zero [simp]:  $0 < \text{inverse}(\text{real}(\text{Suc } n))$ 
by simp
```

```
lemma inverse-real-of-nat-ge-zero [simp]:  $0 \leq \text{inverse}(\text{real}(\text{Suc } n))$ 
by simp
```

```
lemma realpow-num-eq-if:  $(m::\text{real}) ^ n = (\text{if } n=0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } m * m ^ (n - 1))$ 
by (case-tac n, auto)
```

```
end
```

15 Vector Spaces and Algebras over the Reals

```
theory RealVector
imports RealPow
begin

locale additive =
  fixes f :: 'a::ab-group-add ⇒ 'b::ab-group-add
  assumes add: f (x + y) = f x + f y

lemma (in additive) zero: f 0 = 0
proof -
  have f 0 = f (0 + 0) by simp
  also have ... = f 0 + f 0 by (rule add)
  finally show f 0 = 0 by simp
qed

lemma (in additive) minus: f (- x) = - f x
proof -
  have f (- x) + f x = f (- x + x) by (rule add [symmetric])
  also have ... = - f x + f x by (simp add: zero)
  finally show f (- x) = - f x by (rule add-right-imp-eq)
qed

lemma (in additive) diff: f (x - y) = f x - f y
by (simp add: diff-def add minus)

lemma (in additive) setsum: f (setsum g A) = (∑ x∈A. f (g x))
apply (cases finite A)
apply (induct set: finite)
apply (simp add: zero)
apply (simp add: add)
apply (simp add: zero)
done
```

15.2 Real vector spaces

```
class scaleR = type +
  fixes scaleR :: real ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a (infixr *R 75)
begin

abbreviation
  divideR :: 'a ⇒ real ⇒ 'a (infixl '/R 70)
where
  x /R r == scaleR (inverse r) x

end
```

```

instance real :: scaleR
  real-scaleR-def [simp]: scaleR a x ≡ a * x ..

class real-vector = scaleR + ab-group-add +
  assumes scaleR-right-distrib: scaleR a (x + y) = scaleR a x + scaleR a y
  and scaleR-left-distrib: scaleR (a + b) x = scaleR a x + scaleR b x
  and scaleR-scaleR [simp]: scaleR a (scaleR b x) = scaleR (a * b) x
  and scaleR-one [simp]: scaleR 1 x = x

class real-algebra = real-vector + ring +
  assumes mult-scaleR-left [simp]: scaleR a x * y = scaleR a (x * y)
  and mult-scaleR-right [simp]: x * scaleR a y = scaleR a (x * y)

class real-algebra-1 = real-algebra + ring-1

class real-div-algebra = real-algebra-1 + division-ring

class real-field = real-div-algebra + field

instance real :: real-field
  apply (intro-classes, unfold real-scaleR-def)
  apply (rule right-distrib)
  apply (rule left-distrib)
  apply (rule mult-assoc [symmetric])
  apply (rule mult-1-left)
  apply (rule mult-assoc)
  apply (rule mult-left-commute)
  done

lemma scaleR-left-commute:
  fixes x :: 'a::real-vector
  shows scaleR a (scaleR b x) = scaleR b (scaleR a x)
  by (simp add: mult-commute)

interpretation scaleR-left: additive [(λa. scaleR a x::'a::real-vector)]
  by unfold-locales (rule scaleR-left-distrib)

interpretation scaleR-right: additive [(λx. scaleR a x::'a::real-vector)]
  by unfold-locales (rule scaleR-right-distrib)

lemmas scaleR-zero-left [simp] = scaleR-left.zero
lemmas scaleR-zero-right [simp] = scaleR-right.zero
lemmas scaleR-minus-left [simp] = scaleR-left.minus
lemmas scaleR-minus-right [simp] = scaleR-right.minus
lemmas scaleR-left-diff-distrib = scaleR-left.diff

```

```

lemmas scaleR-right-diff-distrib = scaleR-right.diff

lemma scaleR-eq-0-iff [simp]:
  fixes x :: 'a::real-vector
  shows (scaleR a x = 0) = (a = 0 ∨ x = 0)
proof cases
  assume a = 0 thus ?thesis by simp
next
  assume anz [simp]: a ≠ 0
  { assume scaleR a x = 0
    hence scaleR (inverse a) (scaleR a x) = 0 by simp
    hence x = 0 by simp }
  thus ?thesis by force
qed

lemma scaleR-left-imp-eq:
  fixes x y :: 'a::real-vector
  shows [|a ≠ 0; scaleR a x = scaleR a y|] ==> x = y
proof -
  assume nonzero: a ≠ 0
  assume scaleR a x = scaleR a y
  hence scaleR a (x - y) = 0
    by (simp add: scaleR-right-diff-distrib)
  hence x - y = 0 by (simp add: nonzero)
  thus x = y by simp
qed

lemma scaleR-right-imp-eq:
  fixes x y :: 'a::real-vector
  shows [|x ≠ 0; scaleR a x = scaleR b x|] ==> a = b
proof -
  assume nonzero: x ≠ 0
  assume scaleR a x = scaleR b x
  hence scaleR (a - b) x = 0
    by (simp add: scaleR-left-diff-distrib)
  hence a - b = 0 by (simp add: nonzero)
  thus a = b by simp
qed

lemma scaleR-cancel-left:
  fixes x y :: 'a::real-vector
  shows (scaleR a x = scaleR a y) = (x = y ∨ a = 0)
by (auto intro: scaleR-left-imp-eq)

lemma scaleR-cancel-right:
  fixes x y :: 'a::real-vector
  shows (scaleR a x = scaleR b x) = (a = b ∨ x = 0)
by (auto intro: scaleR-right-imp-eq)

```

```

lemma nonzero-inverse-scaleR-distrib:
  fixes  $x :: 'a::real\text{-}div\text{-}algebra$  shows
     $\llbracket a \neq 0; x \neq 0 \rrbracket \implies \text{inverse}(\text{scaleR } a \ x) = \text{scaleR}(\text{inverse } a)(\text{inverse } x)$ 
  by (rule inverse-unique, simp)

```

```

lemma inverse-scaleR-distrib:
  fixes  $x :: 'a:\{\text{real}\text{-}div\text{-}algebra},\text{division}\text{-}by\text{-}zero\}$ 
  shows  $\text{inverse}(\text{scaleR } a \ x) = \text{scaleR}(\text{inverse } a)(\text{inverse } x)$ 
  apply (case-tac  $a = 0$ , simp)
  apply (case-tac  $x = 0$ , simp)
  apply (erule (1) nonzero-inverse-scaleR-distrib)
  done

```

15.3 Embedding of the Reals into any *real-algebra-1*: *of-real* definition

```

of-real :: real  $\Rightarrow 'a:\text{real-algebra-1}$  where
of-real  $r = \text{scaleR } r \ 1$ 

```

```

lemma scaleR-conv-of-real:  $\text{scaleR } r \ x = \text{of-real } r * x$ 
by (simp add: of-real-def)

```

```

lemma of-real-0 [simp]:  $\text{of-real } 0 = 0$ 
by (simp add: of-real-def)

```

```

lemma of-real-1 [simp]:  $\text{of-real } 1 = 1$ 
by (simp add: of-real-def)

```

```

lemma of-real-add [simp]:  $\text{of-real } (x + y) = \text{of-real } x + \text{of-real } y$ 
by (simp add: of-real-def scaleR-left-distrib)

```

```

lemma of-real-minus [simp]:  $\text{of-real } (-x) = -\text{of-real } x$ 
by (simp add: of-real-def)

```

```

lemma of-real-diff [simp]:  $\text{of-real } (x - y) = \text{of-real } x - \text{of-real } y$ 
by (simp add: of-real-def scaleR-left-diff-distrib)

```

```

lemma of-real-mult [simp]:  $\text{of-real } (x * y) = \text{of-real } x * \text{of-real } y$ 
by (simp add: of-real-def mult-commute)

```

```

lemma nonzero-of-real-inverse:
   $x \neq 0 \implies \text{of-real}(\text{inverse } x) =$ 
   $\text{inverse}(\text{of-real } x :: 'a:\text{real\text{-}div\text{-}algebra})$ 
by (simp add: of-real-def nonzero-inverse-scaleR-distrib)

```

```

lemma of-real-inverse [simp]:
   $\text{of-real}(\text{inverse } x) =$ 
   $\text{inverse}(\text{of-real } x :: 'a:\{\text{real}\text{-}div\text{-}algebra},\text{division}\text{-}by\text{-}zero\})$ 

```

```

by (simp add: of-real-def inverse-scaleR-distrib)

lemma nonzero-of-real-divide:
  y ≠ 0 ⟹ of-real (x / y) =
    (of-real x / of-real y :: 'a::real-field)
by (simp add: divide-inverse nonzero-of-real-inverse)

lemma of-real-divide [simp]:
  of-real (x / y) =
    (of-real x / of-real y :: 'a::{real-field,division-by-zero})
by (simp add: divide-inverse)

lemma of-real-power [simp]:
  of-real (x ^ n) = (of-real x :: 'a::{real-algebra-1,recpower}) ^ n
by (induct n) (simp-all add: power-Suc)

lemma of-real-eq-iff [simp]: (of-real x = of-real y) = (x = y)
by (simp add: of-real-def scaleR-cancel-right)

lemmas of-real-eq-0-iff [simp] = of-real-eq-iff [of - 0, simplified]

lemma of-real-eq-id [simp]: of-real = (id :: real ⇒ real)
proof
  fix r
  show of-real r = id r
    by (simp add: of-real-def)
qed

Collapse nested embeddings

lemma of-real-of-nat-eq [simp]: of-real (of-nat n) = of-nat n
by (induct n) auto

lemma of-real-of-int-eq [simp]: of-real (of-int z) = of-int z
by (cases z rule: int-diff-cases, simp)

lemma of-real-number-of-eq:
  of-real (number-of w) = (number-of w :: 'a::{number-ring,real-algebra-1})
by (simp add: number-of-eq)

Every real algebra has characteristic zero

instance real-algebra-1 < ring-char-0
proof
  fix m n :: nat
  have (of-real (of-nat m)) = (of-real (of-nat n)::'a)) = (m = n)
    by (simp only: of-real-eq-iff of-nat-eq-iff)
  thus (of-nat m = (of-nat n)::'a)) = (m = n)
    by (simp only: of-real-of-nat-eq)
qed

```

15.4 The Set of Real Numbers

definition

```
Reals :: 'a::real-algebra-1 set where
  Reals ≡ range of-real
```

notation (*xsymbols*)

```
Reals (ℝ)
```

lemma *Reals-of-real* [*simp*]: *of-real* $r \in \text{Reals}$
by (*simp add: Reals-def*)

lemma *Reals-of-int* [*simp*]: *of-int* $z \in \text{Reals}$
by (*subst of-real-of-int-eq [symmetric], rule Reals-of-real*)

lemma *Reals-of-nat* [*simp*]: *of-nat* $n \in \text{Reals}$
by (*subst of-real-of-nat-eq [symmetric], rule Reals-of-real*)

lemma *Reals-number-of* [*simp*]:
(*number-of w::'a::{number-ring,real-algebra-1} ∈ Reals*)
by (*subst of-real-number-of-eq [symmetric], rule Reals-of-real*)

lemma *Reals-0* [*simp*]: $0 \in \text{Reals}$
apply (*unfold Reals-def*)
apply (*rule range-eqI*)
apply (*rule of-real-0 [symmetric]*)
done

lemma *Reals-1* [*simp*]: $1 \in \text{Reals}$
apply (*unfold Reals-def*)
apply (*rule range-eqI*)
apply (*rule of-real-1 [symmetric]*)
done

lemma *Reals-add* [*simp*]: $\llbracket a \in \text{Reals}; b \in \text{Reals} \rrbracket \implies a + b \in \text{Reals}$
apply (*auto simp add: Reals-def*)
apply (*rule range-eqI*)
apply (*rule of-real-add [symmetric]*)
done

lemma *Reals-minus* [*simp*]: $a \in \text{Reals} \implies -a \in \text{Reals}$
apply (*auto simp add: Reals-def*)
apply (*rule range-eqI*)
apply (*rule of-real-minus [symmetric]*)
done

lemma *Reals-diff* [*simp*]: $\llbracket a \in \text{Reals}; b \in \text{Reals} \rrbracket \implies a - b \in \text{Reals}$
apply (*auto simp add: Reals-def*)
apply (*rule range-eqI*)
apply (*rule of-real-diff [symmetric]*)

done

```
lemma Reals-mult [simp]:  $\llbracket a \in \text{Reals}; b \in \text{Reals} \rrbracket \implies a * b \in \text{Reals}$ 
apply (auto simp add: Reals-def)
apply (rule range-eqI)
apply (rule of-real-mult [symmetric])
done
```

```
lemma nonzero-Reals-inverse:
fixes a :: 'a::real-div-algebra
shows  $\llbracket a \in \text{Reals}; a \neq 0 \rrbracket \implies \text{inverse } a \in \text{Reals}$ 
apply (auto simp add: Reals-def)
apply (rule range-eqI)
apply (erule nonzero-of-real-inverse [symmetric])
done
```

```
lemma Reals-inverse [simp]:
fixes a :: 'a::{real-div-algebra,division-by-zero}
shows a  $\in \text{Reals} \implies \text{inverse } a \in \text{Reals}$ 
apply (auto simp add: Reals-def)
apply (rule range-eqI)
apply (rule of-real-inverse [symmetric])
done
```

```
lemma nonzero-Reals-divide:
fixes a b :: 'a::real-field
shows  $\llbracket a \in \text{Reals}; b \in \text{Reals}; b \neq 0 \rrbracket \implies a / b \in \text{Reals}$ 
apply (auto simp add: Reals-def)
apply (rule range-eqI)
apply (erule nonzero-of-real-divide [symmetric])
done
```

```
lemma Reals-divide [simp]:
fixes a b :: 'a::{real-field,division-by-zero}
shows  $\llbracket a \in \text{Reals}; b \in \text{Reals} \rrbracket \implies a / b \in \text{Reals}$ 
apply (auto simp add: Reals-def)
apply (rule range-eqI)
apply (rule of-real-divide [symmetric])
done
```

```
lemma Reals-power [simp]:
fixes a :: 'a::{real-algebra-1,recpower}
shows a  $\in \text{Reals} \implies a ^ n \in \text{Reals}$ 
apply (auto simp add: Reals-def)
apply (rule range-eqI)
apply (rule of-real-power [symmetric])
done
```

```
lemma Reals-cases [cases set: Reals]:
```

```

assumes  $q \in \mathbb{R}$ 
obtains (of-real)  $r$  where  $q = \text{of-real } r$ 
unfolding Reals-def
proof -
  from  $\langle q \in \mathbb{R} \rangle$  have  $q \in \text{range of-real}$  unfolding Reals-def .
  then obtain  $r$  where  $q = \text{of-real } r$  ..
  then show thesis ..
qed

```

lemma Reals-induct [case-names of-real, induct set: Reals]:
 $q \in \mathbb{R} \implies (\bigwedge r. P(\text{of-real } r)) \implies P q$
by (rule Reals-cases) auto

15.5 Real normed vector spaces

```

class norm = type +
fixes norm :: 'a ⇒ real

instance real :: norm
real-norm-def [simp]: norm r ≡ |r| ..

class sgn-div-norm = scaleR + norm + sgn +
assumes sgn-div-norm: sgn x = x /R norm x

class real-normed-vector = real-vector + sgn-div-norm +
assumes norm-ge-zero [simp]: 0 ≤ norm x
and norm-eq-zero [simp]: norm x = 0 ⟷ x = 0
and norm-triangle-ineq: norm (x + y) ≤ norm x + norm y
and norm-scaleR: norm (scaleR a x) = |a| * norm x

class real-normed-algebra = real-algebra + real-normed-vector +
assumes norm-mult-ineq: norm (x * y) ≤ norm x * norm y

class real-normed-algebra-1 = real-algebra-1 + real-normed-algebra +
assumes norm-one [simp]: norm 1 = 1

class real-normed-div-algebra = real-div-algebra + real-normed-vector +
assumes norm-mult: norm (x * y) = norm x * norm y

class real-normed-field = real-field + real-normed-div-algebra

instance real-normed-div-algebra < real-normed-algebra-1
proof
  fix x y :: 'a
  show norm (x * y) ≤ norm x * norm y
    by (simp add: norm-mult)
next
  have norm (1 * 1::'a) = norm (1::'a) * norm (1::'a)
    by (rule norm-mult)

```

```

thus norm (1::'a) = 1 by simp
qed

instance real :: real-normed-field
apply (intro-classes, unfold real-norm-def real-scaleR-def)
apply (simp add: real-sgn-def)
apply (rule abs-ge-zero)
apply (rule abs-eq-0)
apply (rule abs-triangle-ineq)
apply (rule abs-mult)
apply (rule abs-mult)
done

lemma norm-zero [simp]: norm (0::'a::real-normed-vector) = 0
by simp

lemma zero-less-norm-iff [simp]:
  fixes x :: 'a::real-normed-vector
  shows (0 < norm x) = (x ≠ 0)
by (simp add: order-less-le)

lemma norm-not-less-zero [simp]:
  fixes x :: 'a::real-normed-vector
  shows ¬ norm x < 0
by (simp add: linorder-not-less)

lemma norm-le-zero-iff [simp]:
  fixes x :: 'a::real-normed-vector
  shows (norm x ≤ 0) = (x = 0)
by (simp add: order-le-less)

lemma norm-minus-cancel [simp]:
  fixes x :: 'a::real-normed-vector
  shows norm (- x) = norm x
proof -
  have norm (- x) = norm (scaleR (- 1) x)
    by (simp only: scaleR-minus-left scaleR-one)
  also have ... = |- 1| * norm x
    by (rule norm-scaleR)
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed

lemma norm-minus-commute:
  fixes a b :: 'a::real-normed-vector
  shows norm (a - b) = norm (b - a)
proof -
  have norm (- (b - a)) = norm (b - a)
    by (rule norm-minus-cancel)
  thus ?thesis by simp

```

qed

```
lemma norm-triangle-ineq2:  
  fixes a b :: 'a::real-normed-vector  
  shows norm a - norm b ≤ norm (a - b)  
proof -  
  have norm (a - b + b) ≤ norm (a - b) + norm b  
    by (rule norm-triangle-ineq)  
  thus ?thesis by simp  
qed
```

```
lemma norm-triangle-ineq3:  
  fixes a b :: 'a::real-normed-vector  
  shows |norm a - norm b| ≤ norm (a - b)  
apply (subst abs-le-iff)  
apply auto  
apply (rule norm-triangle-ineq2)  
apply (subst norm-minus-commute)  
apply (rule norm-triangle-ineq2)  
done
```

```
lemma norm-triangle-ineq4:  
  fixes a b :: 'a::real-normed-vector  
  shows norm (a - b) ≤ norm a + norm b  
proof -  
  have norm (a + - b) ≤ norm a + norm (- b)  
    by (rule norm-triangle-ineq)  
  thus ?thesis  
    by (simp only: diff-minus norm-minus-cancel)  
qed
```

```
lemma norm-diff-ineq:  
  fixes a b :: 'a::real-normed-vector  
  shows norm a - norm b ≤ norm (a + b)  
proof -  
  have norm a - norm (- b) ≤ norm (a - - b)  
    by (rule norm-triangle-ineq2)  
  thus ?thesis by simp  
qed
```

```
lemma norm-diff-triangle-ineq:  
  fixes a b c d :: 'a::real-normed-vector  
  shows norm ((a + b) - (c + d)) ≤ norm (a - c) + norm (b - d)  
proof -  
  have norm ((a + b) - (c + d)) = norm ((a - c) + (b - d))  
    by (simp add: diff-minus add-ac)  
  also have ... ≤ norm (a - c) + norm (b - d)  
    by (rule norm-triangle-ineq)  
  finally show ?thesis .
```

qed

```
lemma abs-norm-cancel [simp]:
  fixes a :: 'a::real-normed-vector
  shows |norm a| = norm a
by (rule abs-of-nonneg [OF norm-ge-zero])

lemma norm-add-less:
  fixes x y :: 'a::real-normed-vector
  shows [|norm x < r; norm y < s|] ==> norm (x + y) < r + s
by (rule order-le-less-trans [OF norm-triangle-ineq add-strict-mono])

lemma norm-mult-less:
  fixes x y :: 'a::real-normed-algebra
  shows [|norm x < r; norm y < s|] ==> norm (x * y) < r * s
apply (rule order-le-less-trans [OF norm-mult-ineq])
apply (simp add: mult-strict-mono')
done

lemma norm-of-real [simp]:
  norm (of-real r :: 'a::real-normed-algebra-1) = |r|
unfolding of-real-def by (simp add: norm-scaleR)

lemma norm-number-of [simp]:
  norm (number-of w :: 'a:{number-ring,real-normed-algebra-1}) =
    |number-of w|
  by (subst of-real-number-of-eq [symmetric], rule norm-of-real)

lemma norm-of-int [simp]:
  norm (of-int z :: 'a::real-normed-algebra-1) = |of-int z|
  by (subst of-real-of-int-eq [symmetric], rule norm-of-real)

lemma norm-of-nat [simp]:
  norm (of-nat n :: 'a::real-normed-algebra-1) = of-nat n
apply (subst of-real-of-nat-eq [symmetric])
apply (subst norm-of-real, simp)
done

lemma nonzero-norm-inverse:
  fixes a :: 'a::real-normed-div-algebra
  shows a ≠ 0 ==> norm (inverse a) = inverse (norm a)
apply (rule inverse-unique [symmetric])
apply (simp add: norm-mult [symmetric])
done

lemma norm-inverse:
  fixes a :: 'a:{real-normed-div-algebra,division-by-zero}
  shows norm (inverse a) = inverse (norm a)
apply (case-tac a = 0, simp)
```

```

apply (erule nonzero-norm-inverse)
done

lemma nonzero-norm-divide:
  fixes a b :: 'a::real-normed-field
  shows b ≠ 0 ⟹ norm (a / b) = norm a / norm b
by (simp add: divide-inverse norm-mult nonzero-norm-inverse)

lemma norm-divide:
  fixes a b :: 'a::{real-normed-field,division-by-zero}
  shows norm (a / b) = norm a / norm b
by (simp add: divide-inverse norm-mult norm-inverse)

lemma norm-power-ineq:
  fixes x :: 'a::{real-normed-algebra-1,recpower}
  shows norm (x ^ n) ≤ norm x ^ n
proof (induct n)
  case 0 show norm (x ^ 0) ≤ norm x ^ 0 by simp
next
  case (Suc n)
  have norm (x * x ^ n) ≤ norm x * norm (x ^ n)
    by (rule norm-mult-ineq)
  also from Suc have ... ≤ norm x * norm x ^ n
    using norm-ge-zero by (rule mult-left-mono)
  finally show norm (x ^ Suc n) ≤ norm x ^ Suc n
    by (simp add: power-Suc)
qed

lemma norm-power:
  fixes x :: 'a::{real-normed-div-algebra,recpower}
  shows norm (x ^ n) = norm x ^ n
by (induct n) (simp-all add: power-Suc norm-mult)

```

15.6 Sign function

```

lemma norm-sgn:
  norm (sgn(x::'a::real-normed-vector)) = (if x = 0 then 0 else 1)
by (simp add: sgn-div-norm norm-scaleR)

lemma sgn-zero [simp]: sgn(0::'a::real-normed-vector) = 0
by (simp add: sgn-div-norm)

lemma sgn-zero-iff: (sgn(x::'a::real-normed-vector) = 0) = (x = 0)
by (simp add: sgn-div-norm)

lemma sgn-minus: sgn (- x) = - sgn(x::'a::real-normed-vector)
by (simp add: sgn-div-norm)

lemma sgn-scaleR:

```

```

 $\text{sgn} (\text{scaleR } r x) = \text{scaleR} (\text{sgn } r) (\text{sgn}(x::'a::real-normed-vector))$ 
by (simp add: sgn-div-norm norm-scaleR mult-ac)

```

```

lemma sgn-one [simp]:  $\text{sgn} (1::'a::real-normed-algebra-1) = 1$ 
by (simp add: sgn-div-norm)

```

```

lemma sgn-of-real:
 $\text{sgn} (\text{of-real } r :: 'a::real-normed-algebra-1) = \text{of-real} (\text{sgn } r)$ 
unfolding of-real-def by (simp only: sgn-scaleR sgn-one)

```

```

lemma sgn-mult:
  fixes  $x y :: 'a::real-normed-div-algebra$ 
  shows  $\text{sgn} (x * y) = \text{sgn } x * \text{sgn } y$ 
by (simp add: sgn-div-norm norm-mult mult-commute)

```

```

lemma real-sgn-eq:  $\text{sgn} (x::\text{real}) = x / |x|$ 
by (simp add: sgn-div-norm divide-inverse)

```

```

lemma real-sgn-pos:  $0 < (x::\text{real}) \implies \text{sgn } x = 1$ 
unfolding real-sgn-eq by simp

```

```

lemma real-sgn-neg:  $(x::\text{real}) < 0 \implies \text{sgn } x = -1$ 
unfolding real-sgn-eq by simp

```

15.7 Bounded Linear and Bilinear Operators

```

locale bounded-linear = additive +
  constrains  $f :: 'a::\text{real-normed-vector} \Rightarrow 'b::\text{real-normed-vector}$ 
  assumes scaleR:  $f (\text{scaleR } r x) = \text{scaleR } r (f x)$ 
  assumes bounded:  $\exists K. \forall x. \text{norm} (f x) \leq \text{norm } x * K$ 

```

```

lemma (in bounded-linear) pos-bounded:
 $\exists K > 0. \forall x. \text{norm} (f x) \leq \text{norm } x * K$ 
proof -
  obtain  $K$  where  $K: \bigwedge x. \text{norm} (f x) \leq \text{norm } x * K$ 
  using bounded by fast
  show ?thesis
  proof (intro exI impI conjI allI)
    show  $0 < \max 1 K$ 
    by (rule order-less-le-trans [OF zero-less-one le-maxI1])
  next

```

```

  fix  $x$ 
  have  $\text{norm} (f x) \leq \text{norm } x * K$  using  $K$  .
  also have ...  $\leq \text{norm } x * \max 1 K$ 
  by (rule mult-left-mono [OF le-maxI2 norm-ge-zero])
  finally show  $\text{norm} (f x) \leq \text{norm } x * \max 1 K$  .
  qed
qed

```

```

lemma (in bounded-linear) nonneg-bounded:
   $\exists K \geq 0. \forall x. \text{norm } (f x) \leq \text{norm } x * K$ 
proof -
  from pos-bounded
  show ?thesis by (auto intro: order-less-imp-le)
qed

locale bounded-bilinear =
  fixes prod :: ['a::real-normed-vector, 'b::real-normed-vector]
     $\Rightarrow$  'c::real-normed-vector
  (infixl ** 70)
  assumes add-left: prod (a + a') b = prod a b + prod a' b
  assumes add-right: prod a (b + b') = prod a b + prod a b'
  assumes scaleR-left: prod (scaleR r a) b = scaleR r (prod a b)
  assumes scaleR-right: prod a (scaleR r b) = scaleR r (prod a b)
  assumes bounded:  $\exists K. \forall a b. \text{norm } (\text{prod } a b) \leq \text{norm } a * \text{norm } b * K$ 

lemma (in bounded-bilinear) pos-bounded:
   $\exists K > 0. \forall a b. \text{norm } (a ** b) \leq \text{norm } a * \text{norm } b * K$ 
apply (cut-tac bounded, erule exE)
apply (rule-tac x=max 1 K in exI, safe)
apply (rule order-less-le-trans [OF zero-less-one le-maxI1])
apply (drule spec, drule spec, erule order-trans)
apply (rule mult-left-mono [OF le-maxI2])
apply (intro mult-nonneg-nonneg norm-ge-zero)
done

lemma (in bounded-bilinear) nonneg-bounded:
   $\exists K \geq 0. \forall a b. \text{norm } (a ** b) \leq \text{norm } a * \text{norm } b * K$ 
proof -
  from pos-bounded
  show ?thesis by (auto intro: order-less-imp-le)
qed

lemma (in bounded-bilinear) additive-right: additive ( $\lambda b. \text{prod } a b$ )
by (rule additive.intro, rule add-right)

lemma (in bounded-bilinear) additive-left: additive ( $\lambda a. \text{prod } a b$ )
by (rule additive.intro, rule add-left)

lemma (in bounded-bilinear) zero-left: prod 0 b = 0
by (rule additive.zero [OF additive-left])

lemma (in bounded-bilinear) zero-right: prod a 0 = 0
by (rule additive.zero [OF additive-right])

lemma (in bounded-bilinear) minus-left: prod (- a) b = - prod a b
by (rule additive.minus [OF additive-left])

```

```

lemma (in bounded-bilinear) minus-right: prod a (- b) = - prod a b
by (rule additive.minus [OF additive-right])

lemma (in bounded-bilinear) diff-left:
prod (a - a') b = prod a b - prod a' b
by (rule additive.diff [OF additive-left])

lemma (in bounded-bilinear) diff-right:
prod a (b - b') = prod a b - prod a b'
by (rule additive.diff [OF additive-right])

lemma (in bounded-bilinear) bounded-linear-left:
bounded-linear ( $\lambda a. a \star\star b$ )
apply (unfold-locales)
apply (rule add-left)
apply (rule scaleR-left)
apply (cut-tac bounded, safe)
apply (rule-tac x=norm b * K in exI)
apply (simp add: mult-ac)
done

lemma (in bounded-bilinear) bounded-linear-right:
bounded-linear ( $\lambda b. a \star\star b$ )
apply (unfold-locales)
apply (rule add-right)
apply (rule scaleR-right)
apply (cut-tac bounded, safe)
apply (rule-tac x=norm a * K in exI)
apply (simp add: mult-ac)
done

lemma (in bounded-bilinear) prod-diff-prod:
 $(x \star\star y - a \star\star b) = (x - a) \star\star (y - b) + (x - a) \star\star b + a \star\star (y - b)$ 
by (simp add: diff-left diff-right)

interpretation mult:
bounded-bilinear [op * :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a::real-normed-algebra]
apply (rule bounded-bilinear.intro)
apply (rule left-distrib)
apply (rule right-distrib)
apply (rule mult-scaleR-left)
apply (rule mult-scaleR-right)
apply (rule-tac x=1 in exI)
apply (simp add: norm-mult-ineq)
done

interpretation mult-left:
bounded-linear [ $(\lambda x::'a::real-normed-algebra. x * y)$ ]
by (rule mult.bounded-linear-left)

```

```

interpretation mult-right:
  bounded-linear [(\lambda y:'a::real-normed-algebra. x * y)]
  by (rule mult.bounded-linear-right)

interpretation divide:
  bounded-linear [(\lambda x:'a::real-normed-field. x / y)]
  unfolding divide-inverse by (rule mult.bounded-linear-left)

interpretation scaleR: bounded-bilinear [scaleR]
  apply (rule bounded-bilinear.intro)
  apply (rule scaleR-left-distrib)
  apply (rule scaleR-right-distrib)
  apply simp
  apply (rule scaleR-left-commute)
  apply (rule-tac x=1 in exI)
  apply (simp add: norm-scaleR)
  done

interpretation scaleR-left: bounded-linear [\lambda r. scaleR r x]
  by (rule scaleR.bounded-linear-left)

interpretation scaleR-right: bounded-linear [\lambda x. scaleR r x]
  by (rule scaleR.bounded-linear-right)

interpretation of-real: bounded-linear [\lambda r. of-real r]
  unfolding of-real-def by (rule scaleR.bounded-linear-left)

end

```

```

theory Real
imports ContNotDenum RealVector
begin
end

```

16 Resource-Aware Operational semantics of Safe expressions

```

theory SafeRASemanticsReal
imports ..//CoreSafe/SafeExpr ..//SafeImp/SVMState ~~/src/HOL/Real/Real
begin

```

types

```

Delta = (Region → real)
MinimumFreshCells = real
MinimumWords = real
Resources = Delta × MinimumFreshCells × MinimumWords

```

```

types FunDefEnv = string → ProgVar list × RegVar list × unit Exp
consts  $\Sigma d :: \text{FunDefEnv}$ 

```

```

constdefs sizeVal :: [HeapMap, Val] ⇒ int
  sizeVal h v ≡ (case v of (Loc p) ⇒ int p
  | - ⇒ 0)

```

```

constdefs size :: [HeapMap, Location] ⇒ int where
  size h p ≡ (case h p of
    Some (j,C,vs) ⇒ (let rp = getRecursiveValuesCell (C,vs)
    in  $1 + (\sum_{i \in rp} \text{sizeVal } h (vs!i))$ ))

```

```

constdefs balanceCells :: Delta ⇒ real (|| - || [71] 70)
  balanceCells δ ≡ ( $\sum_{n \in \text{ran } \delta} n$ )

```

```

constdefs addDelta :: Delta ⇒ Delta ⇒ Delta (infix  $\oplus$  110)
  addDelta δ1 δ2 ≡ (%x. (if x ∈ dom δ1 ∩ dom δ2
  then (case δ1 x of (Some y) ⇒
    case δ2 x of (Some z) ⇒ Some (y + z)
    else if x ∈ dom δ1 – dom δ2
    then δ1 x
    else if x ∈ dom δ2 – dom δ1
    then δ2 x
    else None))

```

```

constdefs emptyDelta :: nat ⇒ nat → real (|| - || [71] 70)
   $\emptyset_k \equiv (\%i. \text{if } i \in \{0..k\}$ 
  then Some 0
  else None)

```

```

consts def-copy :: nat ⇒ Heap ⇒ bool

```

inductive

```

SafeRASem :: [Environment, HeapMap, nat, real, unit Exp, HeapMap, nat, Val,
Resources] ⇒ bool
  (- ⊢ -, -, -, -, - ↓ -, -, -, - [71, 71, 71, 71, 71, 71] 70)

```

where

```

litInt : E ⊢ h, k, td, (ConstE (LitN i) a) ↓ h, k, IntT i, ([], 0, 1)

```

| *litBool*: $E \vdash h, k, td, (\text{ConstE } (\text{LitB } b) a) \Downarrow h, k, \text{BoolT } b, (\square_k, 0, 1)$
 | *var1* : $E1 x = \text{Some } (\text{ValLoc } p)$
 $\implies (E1, E2) \vdash h, k, td, (\text{VarE } x a) \Downarrow h, k, \text{ValLoc } p, (\square_k, 0, 1)$
 | *var2* : $\llbracket E1 x = \text{Some } (\text{ValLoc } p); E2 r = \text{Some } j; j \leq k;$
 $\text{copy } (h, k) p j = ((h', k), p'); \text{def-copy } p (h, k);$
 $m = \text{real } (\text{size } h p) \rrbracket$
 $\implies (E1, E2) \vdash h, k, td, (x @ r a) \Downarrow h', k, \text{ValLoc } p', ([j \mapsto m], m, 2)$
 | *var3* : $\llbracket E1 x = \text{Some } (\text{ValLoc } p); h p = \text{Some } c; \text{SafeHeap.fresh } q h \rrbracket$
 $\implies (E1, E2) \vdash h, k, td, (\text{ReuseE } x a) \Downarrow ((h(p := \text{None}))(q \mapsto c)), k, \text{ValLoc } q, (\square_k, 0, 1)$
 | *let1* : $\llbracket \forall C \text{ as } r a'. e1 \neq \text{ConstrE } C \text{ as } r a'; x1 \notin \text{dom } E1;$
 $(E1, E2) \vdash h, k, 0, e1 \Downarrow h', k, v1, (\delta_1, m_1, s_1);$
 $(E1(x1 \mapsto v1), E2) \vdash h', k, (td+1), e2 \Downarrow h'', k, v2, (\delta_2, m_2, s_2) \rrbracket$
 $\implies (E1, E2) \vdash h, k, td, \text{Let } x1 = e1 \text{ In } e2 \text{ a} \Downarrow h'', k, v2,$
 $(\delta_1 \oplus \delta_2, \max(m_1, m_2 + \|\delta_1\|), \max(s_1+2, s_2+1))$
 | *let2* : $\llbracket E2 r = \text{Some } j; j \leq k; \text{fresh } p h; x1 \notin \text{dom } E1; r \neq \text{self};$
 $(E1(x1 \mapsto \text{ValLoc } p), E2) \vdash$
 $h(p \mapsto (j, (C, \text{map } (\text{atom2val } E1) \text{ as}))), k, (td+1), e2 \Downarrow h', k, v2, (\delta, m, s) \rrbracket$
 $\implies (E1, E2) \vdash h, k, td, \text{Let } x1 = \text{ConstrE } C \text{ as } r a' \text{ In } e2 \text{ a} \Downarrow h', k, v2,$
 $(\delta \oplus (\text{empty}(j \mapsto 1)), m+1, s+1)$
 | *case1*: $\llbracket i < \text{length } alts;$
 $E1 x = \text{Some } (\text{ValLoc } p);$
 $h p = \text{Some } (j, C, vs);$
 $alts!i = (pati, ei);$
 $pati = \text{ConstrP } C ps ms;$
 $xs = (\text{snd } (\text{extractP } (\text{fst } (alts ! i))));$
 $E1' = \text{extend } E1 \text{ xs } vs;$
 $\text{def-extend } E1 \text{ xs } vs;$
 $nr = \text{real } (\text{length } vs);$
 $(E1', E2) \vdash h, k, (td + nr), ei \Downarrow h', k, v, (\delta, m, s) \rrbracket$
 $\implies (E1, E2) \vdash h, k, td, \text{Case } (\text{VarE } x a) \text{ Of } alts \text{ a}' \Downarrow h', k, v, (\delta, m, (s+nr))$
 | *case1-1*: $\llbracket i < \text{length } alts;$
 $E1 x = \text{Some } (\text{IntT } n);$
 $alts!i = (pati, ei);$
 $pati = \text{ConstP } (\text{LitN } n);$
 $(E1, E2) \vdash h, k, td, ei \Downarrow h', k, v, (\delta, m, s) \rrbracket$
 $\implies (E1, E2) \vdash h, k, td, \text{Case } (\text{VarE } x a) \text{ Of } alts \text{ a}' \Downarrow h', k, v, (\delta, m, s)$
 | *case1-2*: $\llbracket i < \text{length } alts;$
 $E1 x = \text{Some } (\text{BoolT } b);$
 $alts!i = (pati, ei);$
 $pati = \text{ConstP } (\text{LitB } b);$

```


$$(E1, E2) \vdash h, k, td, ei \Downarrow h', k, v, (\delta, m, s) ]]$$


$$\implies (E1, E2) \vdash h, k, td, \text{Case}(\text{VarE } x \ a) \text{ Of alts } a' \Downarrow h', k, v, (\delta, m, s)$$


| case2: [ i < length alts;
    E1 x = Some (ValLoc p);
    h p = Some (j, C, vs);
    alts!i = (pati, ei);
    pati = ConstrP C ps ms;
    xs = (snd (extractP (fst (alts ! i))));
    E1' = extend E1 xs vs;
    def-extend E1 xs vs;
    nr = real (length vs);
    j <= k;
    (E1', E2) \vdash h(p := None), k, (td + nr), ei \Downarrow h', k, v, (\delta, m, s) ]]

$$\implies (E1, E2) \vdash h, k, td, \text{CaseD}(\text{VarE } x \ a) \text{ Of alts } a' \Downarrow h', k, v,$$


$$(\delta \oplus (\text{empty}(j \mapsto -1)), \max 0 (m - 1), s + nr)$$


| app-primops: [ primops f = Some oper;
    v1 = atom2val E1 a1;
    v2 = atom2val E1 a2;
    v = execOp oper v1 v2 ]

$$\implies (E1, E2) \vdash h, k, td, \text{AppE } f [a1, a2] [] a \Downarrow h, k, v, ([], 0, 2)$$


| app: [ \Sigma d f = Some (xs, rs, e); primops f = None;
    distinct xs; distinct rs; dom E1 \cap set xs = {};
    length xs = length as; length rs = length rr;
    E1' = map-of (zip xs (map (atom2val E1) as));
    n = real (length xs);
    l = real (length rs);
    E2' = (map-of (zip rs (map (theoE2) rr))) (self \mapsto Suc k);
    (E1', E2') \vdash h, (Suc k), (n+l), e \Downarrow h', (Suc k), v, (\delta, m, s);
    h'' = h' | {p. p \in dom h' \& fst (the (h' p)) \leq k} ]

$$\implies (E1, E2) \vdash h, k, td, \text{AppE } f as rr a \Downarrow h'', k, v,$$


$$(\delta(k+1 := None), m, \max(n + l) (s + n + l - td))$$


end

```

17 Depth-Aware Operational semantics of Safe expressions

```

theory SafeDepthSemanticsReal
imports SafeRASemanticsReal .. /SafeImp / SVMState
begin

```

inductive

$\text{SafeDepthSem} :: [\text{Environment}, \text{HeapMap}, \text{nat}, \text{real}, \text{unit Exp}, \text{string}, \text{HeapMap}, \text{nat},$
 $\quad \quad \quad \text{Val, Resources, nat}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
 $(\text{-} \vdash \text{-}, \text{-}, \text{-}, \text{-}, \text{-} \Downarrow \text{-}, \text{-}, \text{-}, \text{-}, \text{-}, \text{-} [71, 71, 71, 71, 71, 71, 71, 71, 71] 70)$
where

- | $\text{litInt} : E \vdash h, k, \text{td}, (\text{ConstE } (\text{LitN } i) a) \Downarrow f h, k, \text{IntT } i, ([], 0, 1), 0$
- | $\text{litBool} : E \vdash h, k, \text{td}, (\text{ConstE } (\text{LitB } b) a) \Downarrow f h, k, \text{BoolT } b, ([], 0, 1), 0$
- | $\text{var1} : E1 x = \text{Some } (\text{ValLoc } p) \Rightarrow (E1, E2) \vdash h, k, \text{td}, (\text{VarE } x a) \Downarrow f h, k, \text{ValLoc } p, ([], 0, 1), 0$
- | $\text{var2} : \llbracket E1 x = \text{Some } (\text{ValLoc } p); E2 r = \text{Some } j; j \leq k; \\ \text{copy } (h, k) p j = ((h', k), p'); \text{def-copy } p (h, k); \\ m = \text{real } (\text{size } h p) \rrbracket \Rightarrow (E1, E2) \vdash h, k, \text{td}, (x @ r a) \Downarrow f h', k, \text{ValLoc } p', ([j \mapsto m], m, 2), 0$
- | $\text{var3} : \llbracket E1 x = \text{Some } (\text{ValLoc } p); h p = \text{Some } c; \text{SafeHeap.fresh } q h \rrbracket \Rightarrow (E1, E2) \vdash h, k, \text{td}, (\text{ReuseE } x a) \Downarrow f \\ ((h(p := \text{None})) (q \mapsto c)), k, \text{ValLoc } q, ([], 0, 1), 0$
- | $\text{let1} : \llbracket \forall C \text{ as } r a'. e1 \neq \text{ConstrE } C \text{ as } r a'; x1 \notin \text{dom } E1; \\ (E1, E2) \vdash h, k, 0, e1 \Downarrow f h', k, v1, (\delta_1, m_1, s_1), n_1 \wedge \\ (E1(x1 \mapsto v1), E2) \vdash h', k, (td+1), e2 \Downarrow f h'', k, v2, (\delta_2, m_2, s_2), n_2 \rrbracket \Rightarrow (E1, E2) \vdash h, k, \text{td}, \text{Let } x1 = e1 \text{ In } e2 a \Downarrow f h'', k, v2, (\delta_1 \oplus \delta_2, \max m_1 \\ (m_2 + \|\delta_1\|), \max (s_1+2) (s_2+1)), \max n_1 n_2$
- | $\text{let2} : \llbracket E2 r = \text{Some } j; j \leq k; \text{fresh } p h; x1 \notin \text{dom } E1; r \neq \text{self}; \\ (E1(x1 \mapsto \text{ValLoc } p), E2) \vdash h(p \mapsto (j, (C, \text{map } (\text{atom2val } E1) \text{ as}))), k, (td+1), e2 \Downarrow f h', k, v2, \\ (\delta, m, s), n \rrbracket \Rightarrow (E1, E2) \vdash h, k, \text{td}, \text{Let } x1 = \text{ConstrE } C \text{ as } r a' \text{ In } e2 a \Downarrow f h', k, v2, (\delta \oplus (\text{empty}(j \mapsto 1)), m+1, s+1), n$
- | $\text{case1}: \llbracket i < \text{length } \text{alts}; \\ E1 x = \text{Some } (\text{ValLoc } p); \\ h p = \text{Some } (j, C, vs); \\ \text{alts!}i = (\text{pati}, ei); \\ \text{pati} = \text{ConstrP } C \text{ ps ms}; \\ xs = (\text{snd } (\text{extractP } (\text{fst } (\text{alts!}i))))); \\ E1' = \text{extend } E1 \text{ xs vs}; \\ \text{def-extend } E1 \text{ xs vs}; \\ nr = \text{real } (\text{length } vs); \\ (E1', E2) \vdash h, k, (td + nr), ei \Downarrow f h', k, v, (\delta, m, s), n \rrbracket \Rightarrow (E1, E2) \vdash h, k, \text{td}, \text{Case } (\text{VarE } x a) \text{ Of } \text{alts } a' \Downarrow f h', k, v, (\delta, m, \\ (s+nr)), n$
- | $\text{case1-1}: \llbracket i < \text{length } \text{alts}; \\ E1 x = \text{Some } (\text{IntT } n); \\ \dots \rrbracket$

```

alts!i = (pati, ei);
pati = ConstP (LitN n);
(E1,E2) ⊢ h, k, td, ei ↴f h', k, v, (δ,m,s), nf]
⇒ (E1,E2) ⊢ h, k, td, Case (VarE x a) Of alts a' ↴f h', k, v, (δ,m,s),nf

| case1-2: [ i < length alts;
  E1 x = Some (BoolT b);
  alts!i = (pati, ei);
  pati = ConstP (LitB b);
  (E1,E2) ⊢ h, k, td, ei ↴f h', k, v, (δ,m,s),n]
  ⇒ (E1,E2) ⊢ h, k, td, Case (VarE x a) Of alts a' ↴f h', k, v, (δ,m,s),
n

| case2: [ i < length alts;
  E1 x = Some (Val.Loc p);
  h p = Some (j,C,vs);
  alts!i = (pati, ei);
  pati = ConstrP C ps ms;
  xs = (snd (extractP (fst (alts ! i))));
  E1' = extend E1 xs vs;
  def-extend E1 xs vs;
  nr = real (length vs);
  j <= k;
  (E1',E2) ⊢ h(p :=None), k,(td + nr), ei ↴f h', k, v, (δ,m,s), n]
  ⇒ (E1,E2) ⊢ h, k, td, CaseD (VarE x a) Of alts a' ↴f h', k, v,
(δ⊕(empty(j↔-1)),max 0 (m - 1),s+nr), n

| app-primops: [ primops g = Some oper;
  v1 = atom2val E1 a1;
  v2 = atom2val E1 a2;
  v = execOp oper v1 v2]
  ⇒ (E1,E2) ⊢ h, k, td, AppE g [a1,a2] [] a ↴f h, k, v, ([]k,0,2), 1

| app: [ Σd f = Some (xs,rs,e); primops f = None;
  distinct xs; distinct rs; dom E1 ∩ set xs = {};
  length xs = length as; length rs = length rr;
  E1' = map-of (zip xs (map (atom2val E1) as));
  n = real (length xs);
  l = real (length rs);
  E2' = (map-of (zip rs (map (theoE2) rr))) (self ↦ Suc k);
  (E1',E2') ⊢ h, (Suc k), (n+l), e ↴f h', (Suc k), v, (δ,m,s), nf;
  h'' = h' | {p. p ∈ dom h' & fst (the (h' p)) ≤ k}]
  ⇒ (E1,E2) ⊢ h, k, td, (AppE f as rr a) ↴f h'', k, v, (δ(k+1:=None),m,max
(n +l) (s+n+l-td)), (nf+1)

| app2: [ Σd g = Some (xs,rs,e); primops g = None; f ≠ g;
  distinct xs; distinct rs; dom E1 ∩ set xs = {};
  length xs = length as; length rs = length rr;
  E1' = map-of (zip xs (map (atom2val E1) as));

```

```

n = real (length xs);
l = real (length rs);
E2' = (map-of (zip rs (map (theoE2) rr))) (self ↪ Suc k);
(E1',E2') ⊢ h, (Suc k), (n+l), e ↓f h', (Suc k), v, (δ,m,s), nf;
h'' = h' |` {p. p ∈ dom h' & fst (the (h' p)) ≤ k}[]
⇒ (E1,E2) ⊢ h, k, td, AppE g as rr a ↓f h'', k, v, (δ(k+1:=None),m,max
(n+l) (s+n+l-td)), nf

```

lemma eqSemRADepth[rule-format]: $(E1, E2) \vdash h, k, td, e \downarrow f h', k, v, r \longrightarrow$

$(\exists n. (E1, E2) \vdash h, k, td, e \downarrow f h', k, v, r, n)$

apply (rule impI)

apply (erule SafeRASem.induct)

apply (rule-tac x=0 in exI)

apply (rule SafeDepthSem.litInt)

apply (rule-tac x=0 in exI)

apply (rule SafeDepthSem.litBool)

apply (rule-tac x=0 in exI)

apply (rule SafeDepthSem.var1,assumption)

apply (rule-tac x=0 in exI)

apply (rule SafeDepthSem.var2,simp,simp,assumption,assumption,assumption,assumption)

apply (rule-tac x=0 in exI)

apply (rule SafeDepthSem.var3,assumption+)

apply (erule exE)+

apply (rename-tac n1 n2)

apply (rule-tac x=max n1 n2 in exI)

apply (rule SafeDepthSem.let1)

apply (assumption+)

apply (rule conjI,simp,simp)

apply (erule exE)+

apply (rename-tac n2)

apply (rule-tac x=n2 in exI)

apply (rule SafeDepthSem.let2)

apply (assumption+)

```

apply (elim exE)
apply (rename-tac ni)
apply (rule-tac x=ni in exI)
apply (rule SafeDepthSem.case1)
apply (assumption+)

apply (elim exE)
apply (rename-tac ni)
apply (rule-tac x=ni in exI)
apply (rule SafeDepthSem.case1-1)
apply (assumption+)

apply (elim exE)
apply (rename-tac ni)
apply (rule-tac x=ni in exI)
apply (rule SafeDepthSem.case1-2)
apply (assumption+)

apply (elim exE)
apply (rename-tac ni)
apply (rule-tac x=ni in exI)
apply (rule SafeDepthSem.case2)
apply (assumption+)

apply (rule-tac x=1 in exI)
apply (rule SafeDepthSem.app-primops)
apply assumption+

apply (elim exE)
apply (rename-tac nf)
apply (case-tac fa=f)

apply (rule-tac x=nf+1 in exI)
apply (rule-tac s=f and t=fa in subst)
apply simp
apply (rule-tac s=h' | {p in dom h'. fst (the (h' p)) ≤ k} and t=h'' in subst)
apply simp
apply clarify
apply (rule-tac h'=h' in SafeDepthSem.app)
apply assumption+
apply simp

```

```

apply simp
apply simp
apply simp
apply assumption
apply simp

apply (rule-tac x=nf in exI)
apply (rule-tac s=h' | ` {p ∈ dom h'. fst (the (h' p)) ≤ k} and t=h'' in subst)
apply simp
apply (rule SafeDepthSem.app2)
apply assumption+
apply simp
apply assumption+
apply simp
done

lemma eqSemDepthRA[rule-format]: (E1,E2) ⊢ h,k,td,e↓f h',k,v,r,n —→
(E1,E2) ⊢ h,k,td,e↓h',k,v,r

apply (rule impI)
apply (erule SafeDepthSem.induct)

apply (rule SafeRASem.litInt)

apply (rule SafeRASem.litBool)

apply (rule SafeRASem.var1,assumption)

apply (rule SafeRASem.var2,assumption+)

apply (rule SafeRASem.var3,assumption+)

apply (elim conjE)
apply (rule SafeRASem.let1,assumption+)

apply (rule SafeRASem.let2,assumption+)

apply (rule SafeRASem.case1,assumption+)

```

```

apply (rule SafeRASem.case1-1,assumption+)

apply (rule SafeRASem.case1-2,assumption+)

apply (rule SafeRASem.case2,assumption+)

apply (rule SafeRASem.app-primops,assumption+)

apply (rule SafeRASem.app,assumption+)

apply (rule SafeRASem.app,assumption+)
done

constdefs
  SafeBoundSem :: [Environment, HeapMap, nat, real, unit Exp, string × nat,
                  HeapMap, nat, Val, Resources] ⇒ bool
  (- ⊢ - , - , - , - , - ↓ - - , - , - [71,71,71,71,71,71,71,71] 70)

  E ⊢ h, k, td, e ↓ tup h', k', v, r ≡
    (let (f,n) = tup in k=k' ∧ (∃ nf . E ⊢ h, k, td, e ↓ f h', k, v, r, nf
                                ∧ nf ≤ n))

lemma eqSemRABound [rule-format]:
  (E1,E2) ⊢ h,k,td,e↓h',k,v,r ≡
  (∃ n.(E1,E2) ⊢ h,k,td,e↓(f,n) h',k,v,r)

apply (rule eq-reflection)
apply (rule iffI)

apply (simp add: SafeBoundSem-def)
apply (drule-tac ?f=f in eqSemRADepth)
apply (elim exE)
apply (rule-tac x=x in exI)
apply (rule-tac x=x in exI)
apply (rule conjI,assumption,simp)

apply (simp add: SafeBoundSem-def del:Product-Type.split-paired-Ex)
apply (elim exE)
apply (elim conjE)
apply (drule eqSemDepthRA)
by assumption

```

```

lemma eqSemBoundRA [rule-format]:
   $\exists n. (E1, E2) \vdash h, k, td, e \Downarrow (f, n) h', k, v, r \equiv$ 
   $(E1, E2) \vdash h, k, td, e \Downarrow h', k, v, r$ 
apply (rule eq-reflection)
apply (rule iffI)

apply (simp add: SafeBoundSem-def del:Product-Type.split-paired-Ex)
apply (elim exE)
apply (elim conjE)
apply (drule eqSemDepthRA)
apply assumption

apply (simp add: SafeBoundSem-def)
apply (drule-tac ?f=f in eqSemRADepth)
apply (elim exE)
apply (rule-tac x=x in exI)
apply (rule-tac x=x in exI)
apply (rule conjI,assumption,simp)
done

lemma impSemBoundRA [rule-format]:
   $(E1, E2) \vdash h, k, td, e \Downarrow (f, n) h', k, v, r \implies$ 
   $(E1, E2) \vdash h, k, td, e \Downarrow h', k, v, r$ 
apply (simp add: SafeBoundSem-def del:Product-Type.split-paired-Ex)
apply (elim exE,elim conjE)
apply (drule eqSemDepthRA)
by assumption

end

```

18 Definitions for certifying memory bounds

```

theory Costes-definitions
imports SafeRASemanticsReal SafeDepthSemanticsReal
   $\sim\sim/src/HOL/Real/Real$ 
begin

```

```
types RegionTypeVariable = string
```

```
constdefs
```

```

 $\varrho_{self} :: RegionTypeVariable$ 
 $\varrho_{self} \equiv "rho-self"$ 

constdefs  $\varrho_{self-f} :: FunName \Rightarrow RegionTypeVariable$ 
 $\varrho_{self-f} f \equiv \varrho_{self} @ "-" @ f$ 

types  $Cost = real\ list \multimap real$ 

types  $AbstractDelta = RegionTypeVariable \multimap Cost$ 
 $AbstractMu = Cost$ 
 $AbstractSigma = Cost$ 

types  $VarType = string$ 

datatype  $TypeExpression = VarT\ VarType$ 
 $| ConstrT\ string\ TypeExpression\ list\ VarType\ list$ 

types  $FunctionResourcesSignature = FunName \multimap AbstractDelta \times AbstractMu$ 
 $\times AbstractSigma$ 
 $FunctionTypesSignature = FunName \multimap (TypeExpression\ list \times VarType$ 
 $list \times TypeExpression)$ 
 $FunctionDefinitionSignature = FunName \multimap (ProgVar\ list \times RegVar\ list$ 
 $\times unit\ Exp)$ 

types  $RegionEnv = string \multimap TypeExpression\ list \times VarType\ list \times TypeExpression$ 
consts  $\Sigma t :: FunctionTypesSignature$ 

types  $ConstructorSignatureFun = string \multimap TypeExpression\ list \times VarType$ 
 $\times TypeExpression$ 
consts  $constructorSignature :: ConstructorSignatureFun$ 

types  $PhiMapping = (string \multimap Cost)$ 

types  $TypeMapping = (string \multimap TypeExpression)$ 
types  $RegMapping = (string \multimap string)$ 
types  $ThetaMapping = TypeMapping \times RegMapping$ 

types  $InstantiationMapping = VarType \multimap nat$ 

```

```

types TypeMu = (string → TypeExpression) × (string → string)

consts mu-ext :: TypeMu ⇒ TypeExpression ⇒ TypeExpression
        mu-exts :: TypeMu ⇒ TypeExpression list ⇒ TypeExpression list
primrec
    mu-ext μ (VarT a) = the ((fst μ) a)
    mu-ext μ (ConstrT T tm ρs) = (ConstrT T (mu-exts μ tm) (map (the ∘ (snd μ)) ρs))

    mu-exts μ [] = []
    mu-exts μ (x#xs) = mu-ext μ x # (mu-exts μ xs)

```

```

constdefs
RecPos :: Val list ⇒ TypeExpression list ⇒ TypeExpression ⇒ Val list
RecPos vn tn t == map fst (filter (λ(vi,ti). ti=t) (zip vn tn))

```

```

function size :: Val ⇒ HeapMap ⇒ real
where
    size (IntT i) h = 0
    | size (BoolT b) h = 0
    | size (Loc p) h = (case (h p) of Some (j,C,vn) ⇒
        (case Σt C of Some (ti,ρs,t)
            ⇒ 1 + (∑ v ∈ set (RecPos vn ti t). size v h)
            | - ⇒ 0)
        | - ⇒ 0)
    by pat-completeness auto

```

```

constdefs sizeEnv :: (ProgVar → Val) ⇒ ProgVar ⇒ HeapMap ⇒ real
sizeEnv E x h ≡ (case E x of Some v ⇒ size v h
                    | - ⇒ 0)

```

```

constdefs
sizeAbstractDelta-si :: AbstractDelta ⇒ real list ⇒ nat ⇒ InstantiationMapping
                      ⇒ real
sizeAbstractDelta-si Δ si j η ≡ ∑ ρ∈{ρ. η ρ = Some j}. the (the (Δ ρ) si)

```

```

constdefs
  Delta-ge :: AbstractDelta ⇒ real list ⇒ nat ⇒ InstantiationMapping
    ⇒ Delta ⇒ bool
  Delta-ge Δ si k η δ ≡ (forall j ∈ {0..k}. sizeAbstractDelta-si Δ si j η ≥ the (δ j))

constdefs
  mu-ge :: AbstractMu ⇒ real list ⇒ MinimumFreshCells ⇒ bool
  mu-ge μ si m ≡ the (μ si) ≥ m

constdefs
  sigma-ge :: AbstractSigma ⇒ real list ⇒ MinimumWords ⇒ bool
  sigma-ge σ si s == the (σ si) ≥ s

fun build-si :: (ProgVar → Val) ⇒ HeapMap ⇒ ProgVar list ⇒ real list
where
  build-si E h [] = []
  | build-si E h (x#xs) = (sizeEnv E x h) # build-si E h xs

constdefs defined :: AbstractDelta ⇒ AbstractMu ⇒ AbstractSigma ⇒ real list ⇒ bool
  defined Δ μ σ si ≡
    (forall ρ ∈ dom Δ. (forall si'. length si = length si' → si' ∈ dom (the (Δ ρ))))
    ∧ (forall si'. length si = length si' → si' ∈ dom μ)
    ∧ (forall si'. length si = length si' → si' ∈ dom σ)

types FunTypesEnv = string → ThetaMapping
  FunSizesEnv = string → PhiMapping

consts Σϑ :: FunTypesEnv
consts ΣΦ :: FunSizesEnv

constdefs typesVarsAPP :: FunTypesEnv ⇒ string ⇒ TypeMapping
  typesVarsAPP Σ f ≡ (case Σ f of Some (ϑ1,ϑ2) ⇒ ϑ1)

constdefs typesRegsAPP :: FunTypesEnv ⇒ string ⇒ RegMapping
  typesRegsAPP Σ f ≡ (case Σ f of Some (ϑ1,ϑ2) ⇒ ϑ2)

constdefs typesAPP :: FunTypesEnv ⇒ string ⇒ ThetaMapping
  typesAPP Σ f ≡ (typesVarsAPP Σ f, typesRegsAPP Σ f)

constdefs typesArgAPP :: RegionEnv ⇒ string ⇒ TypeExpression list
  typesArgAPP Σ f == (case Σ f of Some (ti,qs,tf) ⇒ ti)

```

```

constdefs regionsArgAPP :: RegionEnv ⇒ string ⇒ string list
regionsArgAPP Σ f ≡ (case Σ f of Some (ti,qs,tf) ⇒ qs)

constdefs typeResAPP :: RegionEnv ⇒ string ⇒ TypeExpression
typeResAPP Σ f ≡ (case Σ f of Some (ti,qs,tf) ⇒ tf)

constdefs sizesAPP :: FunSizesEnv ⇒ string ⇒ PhiMapping
sizesAPP Σ f ≡ (case Σ f of Some φ ⇒ φ)

constdefs R-Args :: RegionEnv ⇒ FunName ⇒ string list
R-Args Σ f ≡ (case Σ f of Some (ts,qs,t) ⇒ qs)

constdefs
admissible :: FunName ⇒ InstantiationMapping ⇒ nat ⇒ bool
admissible f η k ≡
 $\varrho_{self-f} \in \text{dom } \eta \wedge$ 
 $(\forall \varrho \in \text{dom } \eta.$ 
 $\exists k'.$ 
 $\eta \varrho = \text{Some } k' \wedge$ 
 $(\varrho = \varrho_{self-f} \longrightarrow k' = k) \wedge$ 
 $(\varrho \neq \varrho_{self-f} \longrightarrow k' < k))$ 

consts regions :: TypeExpression ⇒ string set
regions' :: TypeExpression list ⇒ string set

primrec
regions (VarT a) = {}
regions (ConstrT T tm qs) = (regions' tm) ∪ set qs

regions' [] = {}
regions' (t#ts) = regions t ∪ regions' ts

consts variables :: TypeExpression ⇒ string set
variables' :: TypeExpression list ⇒ string set

primrec
variables (VarT a) = {a}
variables (ConstrT T tm qs) = (variables' tm)

variables' [] = {}
variables' (t#ts) = variables t ∪ variables' ts

```

```

fun
  wellT :: TypeExpression list  $\Rightarrow$  VarType  $\Rightarrow$  TypeExpression  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  wellT tn  $\varrho$  (ConstrT T tm  $\varrho s$ ) =
    ((length  $\varrho s$  > 0  $\wedge$   $\varrho = \text{last } \varrho s$   $\wedge$  distinct  $\varrho s$   $\wedge$  last  $\varrho s \notin \text{regions}' tm$ )
      $\wedge$  ( $\forall i < \text{length } tn$ . regions (tn!i)  $\subseteq$  regions (ConstrT T tm  $\varrho s$ )  $\wedge$ 
           variables (tn!i)  $\subseteq$  variables (ConstrT T tm  $\varrho s$ )))

```

inductive

```

  consistent-v :: [TypeExpression, InstantiationMapping, Val, HeapMap]  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  primitiveI : consistent-v (ConstrT intType [] [])  $\eta$  (IntT i) h
  primitiveB : consistent-v (ConstrT boolType [] [])  $\eta$  (BoolT b) h
  variable : consistent-v (VarT a)  $\eta$  v h
  algebraic-None : p  $\notin$  dom h  $\implies$  consistent-v t  $\eta$  (Loc p) h
  algebraic :  $\llbracket h p = \text{Some } (j, C, vn);$ 
             $\varrho l = \text{last } \varrho s;$ 
             $\varrho l \in \text{dom } \eta; \eta(\varrho l) = \text{Some } j;$ 
            constructorSignature C = Some (tn',  $\varrho'$ , ConstrT T tm'  $\varrho s'$ );
            wellT tn' (last  $\varrho s'$ ) (TypeExpression.ConstrT T tm'  $\varrho s'$ );
            length vn = length tn';
             $\exists \mu 1 \mu 2$ . (((the ( $\mu 2$  (last  $\varrho s'$ ))), mu-ext ( $\mu 1, \mu 2$ ) (ConstrT T
            tm'  $\varrho s')) =$ 
             $(\varrho l, \text{ConstrT } T \text{ tm } \varrho s) \wedge$ 
             $(\forall i < \text{length } vn. \text{consistent-v } ((\text{map } (\text{mu-ext } (\mu 1, \mu 2)) \text{ tn'}!)i) \eta$ 
             $(vn!i) h)) \llbracket$ 
             $\implies$  consistent-v (ConstrT T tm  $\varrho s$ )  $\eta$  (Loc p) h

```

fun

```

  consistent :: ThetaMapping  $\Rightarrow$  InstantiationMapping  $\Rightarrow$  Environment  $\Rightarrow$  HeapMap
   $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  consistent ( $\vartheta 1, \vartheta 2$ )  $\eta$  (E1, E2) h =
    (( $\forall x \in \text{dom } E1$ .  $\exists t v. \vartheta 1 x = \text{Some } t$ 
       $\wedge E1 x = \text{Some } v$ 
       $\wedge \text{consistent-v } t \eta v h$ )
      $\wedge (\forall r \in \text{dom } E2. \exists r' r''. \vartheta 2 r = \text{Some } r'$ 
       $\wedge \eta r' = \text{Some } r''$ 
       $\wedge E2 r = \text{Some } r'')$ 
     $\wedge \text{self} \in \text{dom } E2$ 
     $\wedge \vartheta 2 \text{self} = \text{Some } \varrho \text{self})$ 

```

```

fun valid-f :: FunName  $\Rightarrow$  ThetaMapping  $\Rightarrow$  PhiMapping  $\Rightarrow$  bool

```

where

```

valid-ff ( $\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2$ )  $\Phi =$ 
   $(\forall e. (set (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f)) \cup fv (e ()::unit Exp) \subseteq \text{dom } \vartheta_1$ 
   $\wedge fvReg (e ()::unit Exp) \cup \{\varrho_{self-ff}\} \subseteq \text{dom } \vartheta_2$ 
   $\wedge (set (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f)) \cup fv (e ()::unit Exp) \subseteq \text{dom } \Phi$ 
   $\wedge (\forall E1 E2 h k td hh v r \eta si.$ 
     $SafeRASem (E1, E2) h k td (e ()::unit Exp) hh k v r$ 
     $\wedge si = build-si E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f)$ 
     $\wedge admissible f \eta k$ 
     $\longrightarrow consistent (\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2) \eta (E1, E2) h$ 
     $\wedge (\forall y \in \text{dom } \Phi. \text{the} ((\text{the} (\Phi y)) si) >= sizeEnv E1 y h)))$ 

```

```

constdefs valid ::  $\text{FunDefEnv} \Rightarrow \text{FunTypesEnv} \Rightarrow \text{FunSizesEnv} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
   $\text{valid } \Sigma\text{-d } \Sigma\text{-}\vartheta \Sigma\text{-}\Phi \equiv (\forall f \in \text{dom } \Sigma\text{-d}. \text{valid-ff} (\text{typesAPP } \Sigma\text{-}\vartheta f) (\text{sizesAPP } \Sigma\text{-}\Phi f))$ 

```

```

declare valid-ff.simps [simp del]
declare valid-def [simp del]

```

fun *SafeResourcesDAss*::

unit Exp \Rightarrow

FunName \Rightarrow *ThetaMapping* \Rightarrow *PhiMapping* \Rightarrow *real* \Rightarrow
AbstractDelta \Rightarrow *AbstractMu* \Rightarrow *AbstractSigma* \Rightarrow *bool*
 $(- : - - - \{ - , - , - \} 1000)$

where

```

SafeResourcesDAss  $e f (\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2) \Phi td \Delta \mu \sigma =$ 
   $(\text{valid } \Sigma d \Sigma \vartheta \Sigma \Phi \longrightarrow \quad (* \text{ valid } *))$ 
   $(set (R\text{-}Args } \Sigma t f)) \cup \{\varrho_{self-ff}\} = \text{dom } \Delta \quad (* \text{ P-static } *)$ 
   $\wedge (\forall E1 E2 h k hh v \delta m s \eta si.$ 
     $SafeRASemanticsReal.SafeRASem (E1, E2) h k td e hh k v (\delta, m, s)$ 
     $\wedge (set (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f)) \cup fv e \subseteq \text{dom } E1 \wedge fvReg e \subseteq \text{dom } E2 \quad (* \text{ P-dyn } *)$ 
     $\wedge \text{dom } \Delta = \text{dom } \eta$ 
     $\wedge si = build-si E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f) \quad (* \text{ P-size } *)$ 
     $\wedge admissible f \eta k \quad (* \text{ P-}\eta \text{ } *)$ 
     $\longrightarrow Delta\text{-ge } \Delta si k \eta \delta \quad (* \text{ P-}\Delta \text{ } *)$ 
     $\wedge mu\text{-ge } \mu si m \quad (* \text{ P-}\mu \text{ } *)$ 
     $\wedge sigma\text{-ge } \sigma si s))$ 

```

constdefs *regionsType* :: *string* \Rightarrow *string set*

regionsType f \equiv (case $\Sigma t f$ of *Some* (*ti*, ϱ_s , *t*) \Rightarrow *regions'* *ti* \cup *regions* *t* \cup *set* ϱ_s)

```

constdefs constantCost :: real  $\Rightarrow$  Cost ([]- 1000)
constantCost r  $\equiv$  ( $\lambda$ xs. Some r)

constdefs emptyAbstractDelta :: string  $\Rightarrow$  AbstractDelta
 ([]- 1000)
emptyAbstractDelta f ==
(% $\varrho$  . if  $\varrho \in (\text{set } (R\text{-Args } \Sigma t f)) \cup \{\varrho\text{self-}ff\}$ 
then Some [] $\varrho$ 
else None)

fun foldr1 :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a)  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a
where
foldr1 f [x] = x
| foldr1 f (x#xs) = f x (foldr1 f xs)

constdefs addCost :: Cost  $\Rightarrow$  Cost  $\Rightarrow$  Cost (- +c - 1000)
c1 +c c2  $\equiv$  ( $\lambda$  x. if ( $x \in \text{dom } c1 \cap \text{dom } c2$ ) then Some (the (c1 x) + the (c2 x))
else None)

constdefs addCostList :: Cost list  $\Rightarrow$  Cost
addCostList  $\equiv$  foldr1 addCost

constdefs addAbstractDelta :: AbstractDelta  $\Rightarrow$  AbstractDelta  $\Rightarrow$  AbstractDelta
(- + $\Delta$  - 1000)
addAbstractDelta  $\Delta$ 1  $\Delta$ 2 ==
(% $\varrho$  . if ( $\varrho \in \text{dom } \Delta 1 \cap \text{dom } \Delta 2$ )
then Some ((the ( $\Delta 1$   $\varrho$ )) +c (the ( $\Delta 2$   $\varrho$ )))
else None)

constdefs addCostReal :: Cost  $\Rightarrow$  real  $\Rightarrow$  Cost
addCostReal c r  $\equiv$  ( $\lambda$  x. if  $x \in \text{dom } c$  then Some (the (c x) + r)
else None)

constdefs substCostReal :: Cost  $\Rightarrow$  real  $\Rightarrow$  Cost
substCostReal c r  $\equiv$  ( $\lambda$  x. if  $x \in \text{dom } c$  then Some (the (c x) - r)
else None)

constdefs maxCost :: Cost  $\Rightarrow$  Cost  $\Rightarrow$  Cost ([]c {-, -} 1000)
[]c {c1, c2}  $\equiv$  ( $\lambda$  x. if  $x \in \text{dom } c1 \cap \text{dom } c2$  then Some (max (the (c1 x)))

```

```

(the (c2 x)))
else None)

constdefs maxAbstractDelta :: AbstractDelta ⇒ AbstractDelta ⇒ AbstractDelta
maxAbstractDelta Δ1 Δ2 ≡ (%ρ . if (ρ ∈ dom Δ1 ∩ dom Δ2)
                                then Some ⌉c { the (Δ1 ρ) , the (Δ2 ρ) }
                                else None)

constdefs maxCostList :: Cost list ⇒ Cost (⌉c - 1000)
maxCostList xs ≡ foldr maxCost xs []₀

constdefs maxAbstractDeltaList :: FunName ⇒ AbstractDelta list ⇒ AbstractDelta
(maxAbstractDeltaList f xs ≡ foldr maxAbstractDelta xs (emptyAbstractDelta f))

constdefs sizeAbstractDelta :: AbstractDelta ⇒ Cost (|- 1000)
sizeAbstractDelta d ≡ fold addCost (%x. x) []₀ (ran d)

fun AbstractDeltaSpaceCost :: AbstractDelta × AbstractMu × AbstractSigma ⇒ AbstractDelta
where
  AbstractDeltaSpaceCost (Δ, μ, σ) = Δ

fun AbstractMuSpaceCost :: AbstractDelta × AbstractMu × AbstractSigma ⇒ AbstractMu
where
  AbstractMuSpaceCost (Δ, μ, σ) = μ

fun AbstractSigmaSpaceCost :: AbstractDelta × AbstractMu × AbstractSigma ⇒ AbstractSigma
where
  AbstractSigmaSpaceCost (Δ, μ, σ) = σ

constdefs num-r :: ('a Patron × 'a Exp) ⇒ real
num-r alt ≡ real (length (snd (extractP (fst alt)))))

constdefs list-ge :: real list ⇒ real list ⇒ bool
list-ge xs ys == (forall i < length xs. xs!i ≥ ys!i)

```

```

constdefs monotonic-bound :: (real list → real) ⇒ bool
  monotonic-bound b == (forall x ∈ dom b. (forall y ∈ dom b. list-ge x y → the (b x) ≥ the (b y)))

constdefs monotonic-AbstractDelta :: AbstractDelta ⇒ bool
  monotonic-AbstractDelta Δ ≡ (forall ρ ∈ dom Δ. monotonic-bound (the (Δ ρ)))

constdefs defined-bound :: (real list → real) ⇒ FunName ⇒ bool
  defined-bound b f ≡ (forall xs. length xs = length (varsAPP Σd f) → xs ∈ dom b)

constdefs defined-AbstractDelta :: AbstractDelta ⇒ FunName ⇒ bool
  defined-AbstractDelta Δ f ≡ (forall ρ ∈ dom Δ. defined-bound (the (Δ ρ)) f)

fun argP-aux :: (string → TypeExpression) ⇒ TypeExpression ⇒ 'a Exp ⇒ bool
where
  argP-aux ϑ t (ConstE (LitN -) -) = (t = (ConstrT intType [] []))
  | argP-aux ϑ t (ConstE (LitB -) -) = (t = (ConstrT boolType [] []))
  | argP-aux ϑ t (VarE x -) = (ϑ x = Some t)

fun argP :: (string → string) ⇒ string list ⇒ RegMapping ⇒ string list ⇒ bool
where
  argP ψ qs ϑ2 rs = (length qs = length rs
    ∧ (forall i < length qs. ψ (qs!i) = ϑ2 (rs!i)))

constdefs η-ef :: InstantiationMapping ⇒ (string → string) ⇒ string list
  ⇒ InstantiationMapping
  η-ef η φ qs ≡ (%ρ. η (the (φ ρ))) |` (set qs)

consts phiMapping-app :: (Cost option) list ⇒ real list ⇒ real list
primrec
  phiMapping-app [] xs = []
  phiMapping-app (c#cs) xs = (case c of Some c' ⇒ the (c' xs) # (phiMapping-app cs xs))

constdefs cost-PhiMapping :: Cost ⇒ ('a Exp) list ⇒ PhiMapping ⇒ Cost
  cost-PhiMapping c as φ ≡ (λ xs. c (phiMapping-app (map φ (map atom2var as)) xs))

constdefs mu-app :: AbstractMu ⇒ ('a Exp) list ⇒ PhiMapping ⇒ AbstractMu
  mu-app μg as φ ≡ cost-PhiMapping μg as φ

constdefs sigma-app :: AbstractSigma ⇒ ('a Exp) list ⇒ PhiMapping ⇒ AbstractSigma

```

```

sigma-app  $\sigma g$  as  $\varphi \equiv$  cost- $\text{PhiMapping}$   $\sigma g$  as  $\varphi$ 

constdefs
  setsumCost :: ('a => Cost) => 'a set => Cost
  setsumCost f A  $\equiv$  if finite A then fold addCost f [] $\varrho$  A else [] $\varrho$ 
constdefs sum-rho :: FunName  $\Rightarrow$  AbstractDelta  $\Rightarrow$  (string  $\rightarrow$  string)  $\Rightarrow$  PhiMapping
   $\Rightarrow$  ('a Exp) list  $\Rightarrow$  string  $\Rightarrow$  Cost
  sum-rho g  $\Delta g$   $\psi$   $\Phi$  as  $\varrho \equiv$  (%xs. (setsumCost
    ( $\lambda \varrho.$  the ( $\Delta g$   $\varrho$ ))
    { $\varrho'.$   $\psi$   $\varrho' = Some \varrho \wedge \varrho' \in set(R\text{-Args } \Sigma t g)$ })
    (phiMapping-app (map  $\Phi$  (map atom2var as)) xs))

constdefs instance-f :: 
  FunName  $\Rightarrow$  FunName  $\Rightarrow$  AbstractDelta  $\Rightarrow$  (string  $\rightarrow$  string)  $\Rightarrow$  PhiMapping
   $\Rightarrow$  ('a Exp) list  $\Rightarrow$  AbstractDelta
  instance-f f g  $\Delta g$   $\psi$   $\Phi$  as  $\equiv$ 
  (% $\varrho.$  Some (sum-rho g  $\Delta g$   $\psi$   $\Phi$  as  $\varrho$ ) |' ((set (R-Args  $\Sigma t f$ ))  $\cup$  { $\varrho$ self-f f}))

consts varToExp :: string list  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a Exp list
primrec
  varToExp [] a = []
  varToExp (v#vs) a = VarE v a # varToExp vs a

constdefs projection :: FunName  $\Rightarrow$  AbstractDelta  $\Rightarrow$  AbstractDelta
  projection f  $\Delta \equiv$  (% $\varrho.$  if ( $\varrho = \varrho$ self-f f) then None else  $\Delta$   $\varrho$ )

inductive
  ValidGlobalResourcesEnv :: FunctionResourcesSignature  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  ( $\models\models$  - 1000)

where
  base:  $\models\models empty$ 
  | step: []  $\models\models \Sigma b$ ;  $f \notin dom \Sigma b$ ;
    (bodyAPP  $\Sigma d f$ ) :f (typesAPP  $\Sigma \vartheta f$ ) (sizesAPP  $\Sigma \Phi f$ ) real(length (varsAPP  $\Sigma d f$ ) + length (regionsAPP
    { $\Delta, \mu, \sigma$ }])  $\Longrightarrow$   $\models\models \Sigma b(f \mapsto (projection f \Delta, \mu, \sigma))$ 

fun SafeResourcesDAssCntxt :: 
  unit Exp  $\Rightarrow$  FunctionResourcesSignature  $\Rightarrow$ 
  FunName  $\Rightarrow$  ThetaMapping  $\Rightarrow$  PhiMapping  $\Rightarrow$  real  $\Rightarrow$ 
  AbstractDelta  $\Rightarrow$  AbstractMu  $\Rightarrow$  AbstractSigma  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  (-, -, - : - - - { - , - , - } 1000)

where
  SafeResourcesDAssCntxt e  $\Sigma b f (\vartheta 1, \vartheta 2) \Phi td \Delta \mu \sigma =$ 
  (  $\models\models \Sigma b \longrightarrow e :f (\vartheta 1, \vartheta 2) \Phi td \{\Delta, \mu, \sigma\}$ )

```

```

fun SafeResourcesDAssDepth::  

  unit Exp ⇒  

    FunName ⇒ ThetaMapping ⇒ PhiMapping ⇒ real ⇒ nat ⇒  

    AbstractDelta ⇒ AbstractMu ⇒ AbstractSigma ⇒ bool  

    ( - : - - - , - { - , - , - } 1000)  

where  

  SafeResourcesDAssDepth e f (θ1,θ2) Φ td n Δ μ σ =  

    (valid Σd Σθ ΣΦ →  

     (set (R-Args Σt f)) ∪ {∅self-f f} = dom Δ  

     ∧ (forall E1 E2 h k hh v δ m s η si.  

        SafeDepthSemanticsReal.SafeBoundSem (E1,E2) h k td e (f,n) hh k v  

        (δ,m,s)  

        ∧ (set (varsAPP Σd f)) ∪ fv e ⊆ dom E1 ∧ fvReg e ⊆ dom E2 (* P-dyn *)  

        ∧ dom Δ = dom η  

        ∧ si = build-si E1 h (varsAPP Σd f) (* P-size *)  

        ∧ admissible f η k (* P-η *)  

        → Delta-ge Δ si k η δ (* P-Δ *)  

        ∧ mu-ge μ si m (* P-μ *)  

        ∧ sigma-ge σ si s)))
```

```

inductive ValidGlobalResourcesEnvDepth ::  

  string ⇒ nat ⇒ FunctionResourcesSignature ⇒ bool  

  (|=|= -, - - 1000)  

where  

  base : [|=|= Σb; f ∉ dom Σb] ⇒ |=|=f,n Σb  

  | depth0 : [|=|= Σb; f ∉ dom Σb] ⇒ |=|=f,0 Σb(f ↦ (projection f Δ,μ,σ))  

  | step : [|=|= Σb; f ∉ dom Σb;  

    (bodyAPP Σd f) :f (typesAPP Σθ f) (sizesAPP ΣΦ f) real(length (varsAPP Σd f) + length (regionsAPP  

    { Δ, μ, σ }]) ⇒ |=|=f,Suc n Σb(f ↦ (projection f Δ,μ,σ))  

  | g : [|=|=f,n Σb; g ∉ dom Σb; g ≠;  

    (bodyAPP Σd g) :g (typesAPP Σθ g) (sizesAPP ΣΦ g) real(length (varsAPP Σd g) + length (regionsAPP  

    { Δ, μ, σ })) ⇒ |=|=f, n Σb(g ↦ (projection g Δ,μ,σ))
```

```

fun SafeResourcesDAssDepthCnxt ::  

  unit Exp ⇒ FunctionResourcesSignature ⇒  

    FunName ⇒ ThetaMapping ⇒ PhiMapping ⇒ real ⇒ nat ⇒  

    AbstractDelta ⇒ AbstractMu ⇒ AbstractSigma ⇒ bool  

    ( - , - : - - - , - { - , - , - } 1000)  

where  

  SafeResourcesDAssDepthCnxt e Σb f (θ1,θ2) Φ td n Δ μ σ =  

  ( |=|=f,n Σb → e :f (θ1,θ2) Φ td, n { Δ, μ, σ })
```

end

19 Auxiliary lemmas of the soundness theorem

```

theory CostesDepth
imports Costes-definitions
begin

lemma dom-emptyAbstractDelta:
  dom []_f = (set (R-Args Σt f)) ∪ {∅self-f f}
apply (rule equalityI)
apply (rule subsetI)
apply (simp add: emptyAbstractDelta-def, clarsimp)
apply (split split-if-asm,simp,simp)
apply (simp add: emptyAbstractDelta-def)
by (rule conjI,force,force)

lemma Delta-ge-emptyAbstractDelta-emptyDelta:
  [| dom []_f = dom η |]
  ==> Delta-ge []_f si k η (SafeRASemanticsReal.emptyDelta k)
apply (subst (asm) dom-emptyAbstractDelta)
apply (simp add: Delta-ge-def)
apply (simp add: sizeAbstractDelta-si-def)
apply (simp add: emptyDelta-def)
apply (simp add: emptyAbstractDelta-def)
apply (rule ballI)
apply (rule setsum-nonneg)
apply (simp add: constantCost-def)
apply (rule allI, intro impI)
byclarsimp

lemma mu-ge-constantCost:
  mu-ge []_0 si 0
by (simp add: mu-ge-def add: constantCost-def)

lemma sigma-ge-constantCost:
  sigma-ge []_1 si 1
by (simp add: sigma-ge-def add: constantCost-def)

lemma SafeResourcesDADepth-LitInt:
  ConstE (LitN i) a :f (ϑ1, ϑ2) φ td, n
  {[], [], []}

```

```

apply (simp only: SafeResourcesDAssDepth.simps)
apply (rule impI)
apply (rule conjI)

apply (subst dom-emptyAbstractDelta,simp)

apply (intro allI,rule impI)
apply (elim conjE)
apply (frule impSemBoundRA [where td=td])
apply (erule SafeRASem.cases,simp-all)
apply clar simp
apply (rule conjI

apply (rule Delta-ge-emptyAbstractDelta-emptyDelta,assumption+)
apply (rule conjI

apply (rule mu-ge-constantCost)

by (rule sigma-ge-constantCost)

```

lemma SafeResourcesDADepth-LitBool:

```

ConstE (LitB b) a :f (v1, v2) φ td, n {[],[],[]}
apply (simp only: SafeResourcesDAssDepth.simps)
apply (rule impI)
apply (rule conjI

apply (subst dom-emptyAbstractDelta,simp)

apply (intro allI,rule impI)
apply (elim conjE)
apply (frule impSemBoundRA [where td=td])
apply (erule SafeRASem.cases,simp-all)
apply clar simp
apply (rule conjI

apply (rule Delta-ge-emptyAbstractDelta-emptyDelta,assumption+)
apply (rule conjI

apply (rule mu-ge-constantCost)

by (rule sigma-ge-constantCost)

```

axioms *SafeResourcesDADepth-Var1*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{VarE } x \ a :_f (\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2) \varphi \text{ td, n} \\ & \{\llbracket f \rrbracket, \llbracket 0 \rrbracket, \llbracket 1 \rrbracket\} \end{aligned}$$

axioms *SafeResourcesDADepth-Var2*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [\vartheta_2 \ r = \text{Some } \varrho; \varphi \ x = \text{Some } \eta] \\ & \implies \text{CopyE } x \ r \ d :_f (\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2) \varphi \text{ td, n} \quad \{[\varrho \mapsto \eta], \eta, \llbracket 2 \rrbracket\} \end{aligned}$$

axioms *SafeResourcesDADepth-Var3*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [\vartheta_2 \ r = \text{Some } \varrho; \varphi \ x = \text{Some } \eta] \\ & \implies \text{ReuseE } x \ a :_f (\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2) \varphi \text{ td, n} \\ & \{\llbracket f \rrbracket, \llbracket 0 \rrbracket, \llbracket 1 \rrbracket\} \end{aligned}$$

axioms *SafeResourcesDADepth-APP-PRIMOP*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [\text{primops } g = \text{Some oper}] \\ & \implies \text{AppE } g [a1, a2] \llbracket a :_f (\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2) \varphi \text{ td, n} \\ & \{\llbracket g \rrbracket, \llbracket 0 \rrbracket, \llbracket 2 \rrbracket\} \end{aligned}$$

lemma *dom-addAbstractDelta*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{dom } (\Delta_1 +_{\Delta} \Delta_2) = \text{dom } \Delta_1 \cap \text{dom } \Delta_2 \\ & \text{apply (simp add: addAbstractDelta-def)} \\ & \text{apply auto} \\ & \text{by (split split-if-asm,force,force)+} \end{aligned}$$

lemma *P-static-dom-Δ-Let*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [\text{set } (R\text{-Args } \Sigma t f) \cup \{\varrho_{self}\text{-ff}\} = \text{dom } \Delta_1; \\ & \quad \text{set } (R\text{-Args } \Sigma t f) \cup \{\varrho_{self}\text{-ff}\} = \text{dom } \Delta_2] \\ & \implies \text{set } (R\text{-Args } \Sigma t f) \cup \{\varrho_{self}\text{-ff}\} = \text{dom } \Delta_1 +_{\Delta} \Delta_2 \\ & \text{by (subst dom-addAbstractDelta,simp)} \end{aligned}$$

lemma *P1-f-n-LET*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [\forall C \text{ as } r \ a'. \ e1 \neq \text{ConstrE } C \text{ as } r \ a'; \\ & \quad \text{SafeDepthSemanticsReal.SafeBoundSem } (E1, E2) \ h \ k \ \text{td} \ (Let \ x1 = e1 \ In \ e2 \\ & \quad a) \\ & \quad (f, n) \ hh \ k \ v \ (\delta, m, s)] \\ & \implies \exists h' \ v1 \ v2 \ \delta_1 \ m1 \ s1 \ \delta_2 \ m2 \ s2 \ n1 \ n2. \\ & \quad \text{SafeDepthSemanticsReal.SafeBoundSem } (E1, E2) \ h \ k \ 0 \ e1 \\ & \quad (f, n) \ h' \ k \ v1 \ (\delta_1, m1, s1) \\ & \quad \wedge \ \text{SafeDepthSemanticsReal.SafeBoundSem } (E1(x1 \mapsto v1), E2) \ h' \ k \ (\text{td} + \\ & \quad 1) \ e2 \\ & \quad (f, n) \ hh \ k \ v2 \ (\delta_2, m2, s2) \end{aligned}$$

```

 $\wedge \delta = \text{SafeRASemanticsReal.addDelta } \delta_1 \delta_2$ 
 $\wedge m = \max m_1 (m_2 + \text{SafeRASemanticsReal.balanceCells } \delta_1)$ 
 $\wedge s = \max (s_1 + 2) (s_2 + 1)$ 
 $\wedge x_1 \notin \text{dom } E_1$ 
apply (simp add: SafeBoundSem-def)
apply (elim exE, elim conjE)
apply (erule SafeDepthSem.cases,simp-all)
apply (elim conjE)
apply (rule-tac x=h' in exI)
apply (rule-tac x=v1 in exI)
apply (rule-tac x=v2 in exI)
apply (rule-tac x=δ1 in exI)
apply (rule-tac x=m1 in exI)
apply (rule-tac x=s1 in exI)
apply (rule conjI)
apply (rule-tac x=n1 in exI)
apply simp
apply (rule-tac x=δ2 in exI)
apply (rule-tac x=m2 in exI)
apply (rule-tac x=s2 in exI)
apply (rule conjI)
apply (rule-tac x=n2 in exI)
apply simp
by simp

```

lemma *P-dyn-dom-E1-Let-e1*:

```

 $\llbracket \text{set } (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f) \cup \text{fv } (\text{Let } x_1 = e_1 \text{ In } e_2 a) \subseteq \text{dom } E_1;$ 
 $x_1 \notin \text{fv } e_1 \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{set } (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f) \cup \text{fv } e_1 \subseteq \text{dom } E_1$ 
by (simp,elim conjE, blast)

```

lemma *P-dyn-dom-E1-Let-e2*:

```

 $\llbracket \text{set } (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f) \cup \text{fv } (\text{Let } x_1 = e_1 \text{ In } e_2 a) \subseteq \text{dom } E_1;$ 
 $x_1 \notin \text{fv } e_1 \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{set } (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f) \cup \text{fv } e_2 \subseteq \text{dom } (E_1(x_1 \mapsto v_1))$ 
by (simp,elim conjE, blast)

```

lemma *P-dyn-dom-Δ-Let-e1*:

```

 $\llbracket \text{set } (\text{R-Args } \Sigma t f) \cup \{\varrho_{self}ff\} = \text{dom } \Delta_1;$ 
 $\text{set } (\text{R-Args } \Sigma t f) \cup \{\varrho_{self}ff\} = \text{dom } \Delta_2;$ 
 $\text{dom } \Delta_1 +_{\Delta} \Delta_2 = \text{dom } \eta \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{dom } \Delta_1 = \text{dom } \eta$ 
by (subst (asm) dom-addAbstractDelta,simp)

```

lemma *P-dyn-dom-Δ-Let-e2*:

```

 $\llbracket \text{set } (\text{R-Args } \Sigma t f) \cup \{\varrho_{self}ff\} = \text{dom } \Delta_1;$ 
 $\text{set } (\text{R-Args } \Sigma t f) \cup \{\varrho_{self}ff\} = \text{dom } \Delta_2;$ 
 $\text{dom } \Delta_1 +_{\Delta} \Delta_2 = \text{dom } \eta \rrbracket$ 

```

$\implies \text{dom } \Delta_1 = \text{dom } \eta$
by (subst (asm) dom-addAbstractDelta,simp)

lemma length-build-si:
 $\text{length} (\text{build-si } E1 h xs) = \text{length } xs$
by (induct xs,simp-all)

lemma sizeAbstractDelta-si-addf:
 $\llbracket \text{set } (\text{R-Args } \Sigma t f) \cup \{\varrho_{self-f}\} = \text{dom } \Delta_1; \text{defined-AbstractDelta } \Delta_1 f;$
 $\text{set } (\text{R-Args } \Sigma t f) \cup \{\varrho_{self-f}\} = \text{dom } \Delta_2; \text{defined-AbstractDelta } \Delta_2 f;$
 $\text{dom } \Delta_1 +_\Delta \Delta_2 = \text{dom } \eta \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{sizeAbstractDelta-si } \Delta_1 +_\Delta \Delta_2 (\text{build-si } E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f)) j \eta$
 $= \text{sizeAbstractDelta-si } \Delta_1 (\text{build-si } E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f)) j \eta + \text{sizeAbstractDelta-si}$
 $\Delta_2 (\text{build-si } E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f)) j \eta$
apply (frule P-static-dom-Δ-Let,simp)
apply (subgoal-tac dom $\Delta_1 +_\Delta \Delta_2 = \text{dom } \Delta_2$)
apply (subgoal-tac dom $\Delta_1 = \text{dom } \Delta_2$,simp)
apply (simp only: sizeAbstractDelta-si-def)
apply (subgoal-tac ($\sum \varrho \mid \eta \varrho = \text{Some } j. \text{the } (\text{the } (\Delta_1 +_\Delta \Delta_2 \varrho) (\text{build-si } E1$
 $h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f))) =$
 $(\sum \varrho \mid \eta \varrho = \text{Some } j. \text{the } (\text{the } (\Delta_1 \varrho) (\text{build-si } E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f))) + \text{the } (\text{the } (\Delta_2 \varrho) (\text{build-si } E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f))))$,simp)
apply (subst setsum-addf)
apply (simp only: addAbstractDelta-def)
apply (rule setsum-cong2)
apply (simp add: addAbstractDelta-def)
apply (rule conjI)
apply (rule impI)+
apply (simp add: addCost-def)
apply (rule impI)
apply (simp add: defined-AbstractDelta-def)
apply (erule-tac $x=x$ in ballE)
prefer 2 **apply** simp
apply (simp add: defined-bound-def)
apply (erule-tac $x=(\text{build-si } E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f))$ in allE)
apply (subst (asm) length-build-si)
apply simp
apply (erule-tac $x=x$ in ballE)
prefer 2 **apply** simp
apply (erule-tac $x=(\text{build-si } E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f))$ in allE)
apply (subst (asm) length-build-si)
apply simp
apply (simp add: dom-def)
apply simp
by simp

lemma sizeAbstractDelta-si-nonneg:

```

 $\llbracket \text{dom } \Delta = \text{dom } \eta;$ 
 $\forall \varrho \in \text{dom } \Delta. \ 0 \leq \text{the}(\text{the}(\Delta \varrho) \text{ si}) \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{sizeAbstractDelta-si } \Delta \text{ si } j \ \eta \geq 0$ 
apply (simp add: sizeAbstractDelta-si-def)
by (rule setsum-nonneg,simp,force)

```

axioms *wellFormed- Δ* :

```

 $\forall \varrho \in \text{dom } \Delta.$ 
 $0 \leq \text{the}(\text{the}(\Delta \varrho) (\text{build-si } E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f)))$ 

```

axioms *semantic-no-capture-E1*:

```

 $\llbracket \text{SafeDepthSemanticsReal.SafeBoundSem } (E1, E2) h k td e (f, n) h' k v (\delta, m,$ 
 $s);$ 
 $E1 \ x = \text{Some } (\text{Loc } p);$ 
 $p \notin \text{dom } h \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies p \notin \text{dom } h'$ 

```

axioms *semantic-extend-pointers*:

```

 $\text{SafeDepthSemanticsReal.SafeBoundSem } (E1, E2) h k td e (f, n) h' k v (\delta, m,$ 
 $s)$ 
 $\implies (\forall p \in \text{dom } h. \ h p = h' p)$ 

```

axioms *size-equals-h-h'*:

```

 $v = \text{Loc } p$ 
 $\implies \text{size } v h' = \text{Costes-definitions.size } v h$ 

```

axioms *axiom-size-dom*:

```

 $(\forall x \in \text{set } (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f). \ \text{size-dom } (\text{the } (E1 x), h))$ 

```

lemma *sizeEnv-equals-h-h'*:

```

 $\llbracket x1 \notin \text{dom } E1;$ 
 $\text{SafeDepthSemanticsReal.SafeBoundSem } (E1, E2) h k td e (f, n) h' k v (\delta, m,$ 
 $s);$ 
 $x1 \notin \text{set } xs; \ x1 \neq x;$ 
 $\text{size-dom } (\text{the } (E1 x), h); \ \text{size-dom } (\text{the } (E1 x), h');$ 
 $(\forall x \in \text{set } xs. \ \text{size-dom } (\text{the } (E1 x), h) \wedge \text{size-dom } (\text{the } (E1 x), h')) \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{sizeEnv } E1 x h = \text{sizeEnv } (E1(x1 \mapsto v1)) x h'$ 
apply (subgoal-tac sizeEnv (E1(x1 → v1)) x h' = sizeEnv E1 x h',simp)
prefer 2 apply (simp add: sizeEnv-def)
apply (frule semantic-extend-pointers)
apply (simp add: sizeEnv-def)
apply (case-tac E1 x,simp-all)
apply (case-tac a)

```

```

apply (rename-tac  $v'$   $p$ )
apply (case-tac  $p \notin \text{dom } h$ )

apply (frule semantic-no-capture- $E1$ ,simp,assumption+)
apply (subgoal-tac  $h p = \text{None} \wedge h' p = \text{None}$ )
apply (simp add: size.psimps(3))
apply force

apply (subgoal-tac  $\exists j C \text{vn. } h p = \text{Some } (j, C, \text{vn})$ )
prefer 2 apply force
apply (elim exE)
apply (rule size-equals-h-h',assumption)

```

apply simp

by simp

lemma build-si-equals-h-h' [rule-format]:
 $x1 \notin \text{dom } E1$
 $\longrightarrow \text{SafeDepthSemanticsReal.SafeBoundSem } (E1, E2) h k \text{td } e (f, n) h' k v (\delta, m, s)$
 $\longrightarrow x1 \notin \text{set } xs$
 $\longrightarrow (\forall x \in \text{set } xs. \text{size-dom } (\text{the } (E1 x), h) \wedge \text{size-dom } (\text{the } (E1 x), h'))$
 $\longrightarrow (\text{build-si } E1 h xs) = (\text{build-si } (E1(x1 \mapsto v1)) h' xs)$
apply (rule impI)
apply (induct xs,simp-all)
apply (intro impI)
apply (drule mp,simp)+
apply (elim conjE)
by (rule sizeEnv-equals-h-h',assumption+)

lemma P- Δ -Let:
 $\llbracket \text{set } (\text{R-Args } \Sigma t f) \cup \{\varrho_{self}\text{-}ff\} = \text{dom } \Delta 1; \text{defined-AbstractDelta } \Delta 1 f;$
 $\text{set } (\text{R-Args } \Sigma t f) \cup \{\varrho_{self}\text{-}ff\} = \text{dom } \Delta 2; \text{defined-AbstractDelta } \Delta 2 f;$
 $\text{dom } \Delta 1 +_{\Delta} \Delta 2 = \text{dom } \eta;$
 $\Delta\text{-ge } \Delta 1 (\text{build-si } E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f)) k \eta \delta 1;$
 $\Delta\text{-ge } \Delta 2 (\text{build-si } E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f)) k \eta \delta 2 \rrbracket$
 $\implies \Delta\text{-ge } \Delta 1 +_{\Delta} \Delta 2 (\text{build-si } E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f)) k \eta (\delta 1 \oplus \delta 2)$
apply (unfold Delta-ge-def)
apply (rule ballI)
apply (subst sizeAbstractDelta-si-addf,simp,simp,simp,simp,simp)
apply (erule-tac $x=j$ in ballE)
prefer 2 **apply** simp
apply (erule-tac $x=j$ in ballE)

```

prefer 2 apply simp
apply (simp add: addDelta-def)
apply (rule conjI)
apply (rule impI)
apply (rule conjI)
apply (rule impI, clarsimp)
apply (rule impI)
apply (subgoal-tac 0 ≤ sizeAbstractDelta-si Δ1 (build-si E1 h (varsAPP Σd f))
j η,simp)
apply (rule sizeAbstractDelta-si-nonneg)
apply (subst (asm) dom-addAbstractDelta,simp)
apply (rule wellFormed-Δ)
apply (rule impI)
apply (rule conjI)
apply (rule impI)
apply (subgoal-tac 0 ≤ sizeAbstractDelta-si Δ2 (build-si E1 h (varsAPP Σd f))
j η,simp)
apply (rule sizeAbstractDelta-si-nonneg)
apply (subst (asm) dom-addAbstractDelta,simp)
apply (rule wellFormed-Δ)
apply (rule impI)
apply (subgoal-tac 0 ≤ sizeAbstractDelta-si Δ2 (build-si E1 h (varsAPP Σd f))
j η)
apply (subgoal-tac 0 ≤ sizeAbstractDelta-si Δ1 (build-si E1 h (varsAPP Σd f))
j η)
apply clarsimp
apply (rule sizeAbstractDelta-si-nonneg)
apply (subst (asm) dom-addAbstractDelta,simp)
apply (rule wellFormed-Δ)
apply (rule sizeAbstractDelta-si-nonneg)
apply (subst (asm) dom-addAbstractDelta,simp)
by (rule wellFormed-Δ)

```

axioms *sizeAbstractDelta-Δ1-ge-balanceCells-δ1*:

Delta-ge Δ1 si k η δ1
 $\implies \text{the}(\text{sizeAbstractDelta } \Delta 1 \text{ si}) \geq \text{SafeRASemanticsReal.balanceCells } \delta 1$

lemma *defined-AbstractDelta-si-in-dom-Δ1*:
defined-bound |Δ1| f
 $\implies \text{build-si } E1 \text{ h (varsAPP } \Sigma d f) \in \text{dom } |\Delta 1|$
apply (simp add: defined-bound-def)
apply (erule-tac x=build-si E1 h (varsAPP Σd f) in allE)
by (subst (asm) length-build-si,simp)

lemma *P-μ-Let*:

```


$$\begin{aligned}
& \llbracket \text{Delta-ge } \Delta 1 (\text{build-si } E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f)) k \eta \delta 1; \\
& \quad \text{defined-AbstractDelta } \Delta 1 f; \text{ defined-bound } |\Delta 1| f; \\
& \quad \mu\text{-ge } \mu 1 (\text{build-si } E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f)) m1; \text{ defined-bound } \mu 1 f; \\
& \quad \mu\text{-ge } \mu 2 (\text{build-si } E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f)) m2; \text{ defined-bound } \mu 2 f \rrbracket \\
& \implies \mu\text{-ge } \bigsqcup_c \{ \mu 1, |\Delta 1| +_c \mu 2 \} (\text{build-si } E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f)) (\max m1 \\
& (m2 + \text{SafeRASemanticsReal.balanceCells } \delta 1)) \\
& \text{apply (simp add: mu-ge-def)} \\
& \text{apply (rule conjI)} \\
& \quad \text{apply (simp add: maxCost-def)} \\
& \quad \text{apply (rule conjI)} \\
& \quad \text{apply (rule impI)} \\
& \quad \text{apply (simp add: maxCost-def)} \\
& \text{apply (rule impI)+} \\
& \text{apply (simp add: defined-bound-def [where } b=\mu 1])} \\
& \text{apply (erule-tac } x= \text{ build-si } E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f) \text{ in alle)} \\
& \text{apply (subst (asm) length-build-si,simp)} \\
& \text{apply (simp add: defined-bound-def [where } b=\mu 2])} \\
& \text{apply (erule-tac } x= \text{ build-si } E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f) \text{ in alle)} \\
& \text{apply (subst (asm) length-build-si,simp)} \\
& \text{apply (frule-tac ?E1.0=E1 and } h=h \text{ in defined-AbstractDelta-si-in-dom-}\Delta 1) \\
& \text{apply (simp add: addCost-def)} \\
& \text{apply (simp add: dom-def)} \\
& \text{apply (frule sizeAbstractDelta-}\Delta 1\text{-ge-balanceCells-}\delta 1) \\
& \text{apply (simp add: maxCost-def)} \\
& \text{apply (rule conjI,rule impI)} \\
& \text{apply (simp add: addCost-def)} \\
& \text{apply (rule conjI,rule impI)} \\
& \quad \text{apply (frule sizeAbstractDelta-}\Delta 1\text{-ge-balanceCells-}\delta 1\text{,simp)} \\
& \text{apply (rule impI)} \\
& \text{apply (simp add: defined-bound-def [where } b=\mu 1])} \\
& \text{apply (erule-tac } x= \text{ build-si } E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f) \text{ in alle)} \\
& \text{apply (subst (asm) length-build-si,simp)} \\
& \text{apply (simp add: defined-bound-def [where } b=\mu 2])} \\
& \text{apply (erule-tac } x= \text{ build-si } E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f) \text{ in alle)} \\
& \text{apply (subst (asm) length-build-si,simp)} \\
& \text{apply (frule-tac ?E1.0=E1 and } h=h \text{ in defined-AbstractDelta-si-in-dom-}\Delta 1) \\
& \text{apply simp} \\
& \text{apply (rule impI)} \\
& \text{apply (simp add: addCost-def)} \\
& \text{apply (simp add: defined-bound-def [where } b=\mu 1])} \\
& \text{apply (erule-tac } x= \text{ build-si } E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f) \text{ in alle)} \\
& \text{apply (simp add: defined-bound-def [where } b=\mu 2])} \\
& \text{apply (subst (asm) length-build-si,simp)} \\
& \text{apply (erule-tac } x= \text{ build-si } E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f) \text{ in alle)} \\
& \text{apply (subst (asm) length-build-si,simp)} \\
& \text{apply (frule-tac ?E1.0=E1 and } h=h \text{ in defined-AbstractDelta-si-in-dom-}\Delta 1) \\
& \text{by (simp add: dom-def)}
\end{aligned}$$


```

lemma $P\text{-}\sigma\text{-Let}$:

```

 $\llbracket \text{sigma-ge } \sigma_1 (\text{build-si } E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f)) s1; \text{defined-bound } \sigma_1 f;$ 
 $\quad \text{sigma-ge } \sigma_2 (\text{build-si } E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f)) s2; \text{defined-bound } \sigma_2 f \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{sigma-ge } \bigsqcup_c \{ \llbracket \sigma_2 +_c \sigma_1 , \llbracket \sigma_1 +_c \sigma_2 \rrbracket \rrbracket (\text{build-si } E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f))$ 
 $(\max (s1 + 2) (s2 + 1))$ 
apply (simp add: sigma-ge-def)
apply (rule conjI)
apply (simp add: maxCost-def)
apply (rule conjI)
apply (rule impI)
apply (simp add: constantCost-def)
apply (simp add: addCost-def)
apply (rule conjI)
apply (rule impI)
apply (rule conjI)
apply (rule impI,simp)
apply (rule impI)
apply (simp add: defined-bound-def)
apply (rule impI)
apply (simp add: defined-bound-def)
apply (erule-tac x= build-si E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f) in allE)
apply (subst (asm) length-build-si,simp)
apply (erule-tac x= build-si E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f) in allE)
apply (subst (asm) length-build-si,simp)
apply (clarsimp)
apply (rule impI)
apply (simp add: defined-bound-def)
apply (erule-tac x= build-si E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f) in allE)
apply (subst (asm) length-build-si,simp)
apply (erule-tac x= build-si E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f) in allE)
apply (subst (asm) length-build-si,simp)
apply (simp add: constantCost-def)
apply (simp add: addCost-def)
apply (simp add: dom-def)
apply (simp add: maxCost-def)
apply (rule conjI)
apply (rule impI)
apply (simp add: constantCost-def)
apply (simp add: addCost-def)
apply (rule conjI)
apply (rule impI)
apply (rule conjI)
apply (rule impI)
apply (rule impI,simp)
apply (rule impI)
apply (simp add: defined-bound-def)
apply (erule-tac x= build-si E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f) in allE)
apply (subst (asm) length-build-si,simp)
apply (erule-tac x= build-si E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f) in allE)

```

```

apply (subst (asm) length-build-si,simp)
apply clarsimp
apply (rule impI)+
apply (rule conjI)
apply (rule impI)
apply (simp add: defined-bound-def)
apply (rule impI)+
apply (simp add: defined-bound-def)
apply (erule-tac x= build-si E1 h (varsAPP Σd f) in alle)
apply (subst (asm) length-build-si,simp)
apply (erule-tac x= build-si E1 h (varsAPP Σd f) in alle)
apply (subst (asm) length-build-si,simp)
apply clarsimp+
apply (rule impI)+
apply (simp add: defined-bound-def)
apply (erule-tac x= build-si E1 h (varsAPP Σd f) in alle)
apply (subst (asm) length-build-si,simp)
apply (erule-tac x= build-si E1 h (varsAPP Σd f) in alle)
apply (subst (asm) length-build-si,simp)
apply (simp add: constantCost-def)
apply (simp add: addCost-def)
by (simp add: dom-def)

```

lemma SafeResourcesDA_{Depth}-Let1:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \forall C \text{ as } r \ a'. \ e1 \neq \text{ConstrE } C \text{ as } r \ a'; \\ & \quad x1 \notin \text{fv } e1; \\ & \quad x1 \notin \text{set } (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f); \\ & \quad e1 :_f (\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2) \Phi \varnothing, n \ \{\Delta_1, \mu_1, \sigma_1\}; \\ & \quad \text{defined-AbstractDelta } \Delta_1 f; \text{ defined-bound } |\Delta_1| f; \\ & \quad \text{defined-bound } \mu_1 f; \text{ defined-bound } \sigma_1 f; \\ & \quad e2 :_f (\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2) \Phi (td+1), n \ \{\Delta_2, \mu_2, \sigma_2\}; \\ & \quad \text{defined-AbstractDelta } \Delta_2 f; \text{ defined-bound } \mu_2 f; \text{ defined-bound } \sigma_2 f; \\ & \quad \Delta = \Delta_1 +_\Delta \Delta_2; \\ & \quad \mu = \bigsqcup_c \{\mu_1, |\Delta_1| +_c \mu_2\}; \\ & \quad \sigma = \bigsqcup_c \{\sigma_1, \sigma_2\} \\ & \implies \text{Let } x1 = e1 \text{ In } e2 \ a :_f (\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2) \Phi td, n \ \{\Delta, \mu, \sigma\} \end{aligned}$$

apply (simp only: SafeResourcesDAss_{Depth}.simps)

```

apply (rule impI)
apply (drule mp, simp)
apply (drule mp, simp)

```

```
apply (elim conjE)
```

```

apply (rule conjI)
apply (rule P-static-dom- $\Delta$ -Let,simp,simp)

apply (intro allI, rule impI)
apply (elim conjE)
apply (frule P1-f-n-LET,simp)
apply (elim exE)

apply (erule-tac  $x=E1$  in allE)
apply (erule-tac  $x=E2$  in allE)
apply (erule-tac  $x=h$  in allE)
apply (erule-tac  $x=k$  in allE)
apply (erule-tac  $x=h'$  in allE)
apply (erule-tac  $x=v1$  in allE)
apply (erule-tac  $x=\delta 1$  in allE)
apply (erule-tac  $x=m1$  in allE)
apply (erule-tac  $x=s1$  in allE)
apply (erule-tac  $x=\eta$  in allE)
apply (erule-tac  $x=si$  in allE)
apply (drule mp)
apply (rule conjI,simp)

apply (rule conjI, rule P-dyn-dom-E1-Let-e1, assumption+)
apply (rule conjI, simp)
apply (rule conjI, frule P-dyn-dom- $\Delta$ -Let-e1,simp,simp,simp)
apply (rule conjI, simp)
apply simp

apply (erule-tac  $x=E1(x1 \mapsto v1)$  in allE)
apply (erule-tac  $x=E2$  in allE)
apply (erule-tac  $x=h'$  in allE)
apply (erule-tac  $x=k$  in allE)
apply (erule-tac  $x=hh$  in allE)
apply (erule-tac  $x=v2$  in allE)
apply (erule-tac  $x=\delta 2$  in allE)
apply (erule-tac  $x=m2$  in allE)
apply (erule-tac  $x=s2$  in allE)
apply (erule-tac  $x=\eta$  in allE)
apply (erule-tac  $x=build-si (E1(x1 \mapsto v1)) h' (varsAPP \Sigma d f)$  in allE)
apply (drule mp)

```

```

apply (rule conjI,simp)

apply (rule conjI, rule P-dyn-dom-E1-Let-e2, assumption+)
apply (rule conjI, simp)
apply (rule conjI, frule P-dyn-dom-Δ-Let-e2, simp,simp,simp)
apply (rule conjI, simp)
apply simp

apply (subgoal-tac ( $\forall x \in \text{set}(\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f). \text{size-dom}(\text{the}(E1 x), h) \wedge$ 
                      $\text{size-dom}(\text{the}(E1 x), h'))$ )
prefer 2 apply (insert axiom-size-dom,force)
apply (subgoal-tac
        build-si E1 h (varsAPP  $\Sigma d f$ ) =
        build-si (E1(x1  $\mapsto$  v1)) h' (varsAPP  $\Sigma d f$ ))
prefer 2 apply (rule build-si-equals-h-h', simp,force,assumption+, simp,simp)

apply (rule conjI)
apply (rule P-Δ-Let)
apply (simp,simp,simp,simp,simp,simp,simp)

```

```

apply (rule conjI,rule P-μ-Let)
apply (force,simp,simp,simp,simp,simp,simp)

apply (rule P-σ-Let)
by (simp,simp,simp,simp)

```

axioms SafeResourcesDADepth-Let2:

$$\begin{aligned} & [\vartheta_2 r = \text{Some } \varrho; \varrho \notin \text{dom } \Delta; \\ & \quad e2 :_f (\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2) \varphi \text{ td}, n \quad \{\Delta, \mu, \sigma\}] \\ \implies & \text{Let } x1 = \text{ConstrE } C \text{ as } r \text{ a' In } e2 \text{ a :}_f (\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2) \varphi \text{ td}, n \quad \{\Delta ++ [\varrho \mapsto []_1], \mu \\ & +_c []_1, \sigma_2 +_c []_1\} \end{aligned}$$

lemma dom-maxCost:

$$\text{dom}(\text{maxCost } c1 \text{ } c2) = \text{dom } c1 \cap \text{dom } c2$$

```

apply (rule equalityI)
apply (rule subsetI)
  apply (simp add: maxCost-def,clarsimp)
  apply (split split-if-asm,simp)
  apply (simp add: maxCost-def)
apply (rule subsetI)
  apply (simp add: maxCost-def,clarsimp)
  apply (simp add: dom-def)
done

lemma dom-maxAbstractDelta:
  dom (maxAbstractDelta c1 c2) = dom c1 ∩ dom c2
apply (rule equalityI)
apply (rule subsetI)
  apply (simp add: maxAbstractDelta-def,clarsimp)
  apply (split split-if-asm,simp,simp)
apply (rule subsetI)
  apply (simp add: maxAbstractDelta-def)
by (clarsimp,simp add: dom-def)

lemma dom-maxCostList:
  dom (foldr maxCost (map AbstractMuSpaceCost xs) []0) =
  (∩ i < length xs. dom (AbstractMuSpaceCost (xs! i)))
apply (induct xs)
  apply (simp add: constantCost-def,force)
  applyclarsimp
  apply (simp add: maxCost-def)
apply (rule equalityI)
  apply (rule subsetI,simp)
  apply (rule ballI,clarsimp)
  apply (split split-if-asm,simp)
  apply (case-tac i)
    apply (simp add: dom-def)
  apply simp
  apply (erule-tac x=nat in ballE)
    apply (simp add: dom-def)
  apply simp
  apply simp
applyclarsimp
apply (rule conjI)
  apply force
by force

lemma P-static-dom-Δ-Case [rule-format]:
  length xs > 0
  → ( ∀ i < length xs.

```

```

insert ( $\varrho_{self-f} f$ ) (set (R-Args  $\Sigma t f$ )) = dom (AbstractDeltaSpaceCost (xs !
i)) )
  → insert ( $\varrho_{self-f} f$ ) (set (R-Args  $\Sigma t f$ )) = dom  $\bigsqcup_{\Delta} f$  map AbstractDeltaS-
paceCost xs
apply (simp add: maxAbstractDeltaList-def)
apply (induct xs, clarsimp, clarsimp)
apply (subst dom-maxAbstractDelta)
apply (case-tac xs)
apply (simp add: maxAbstractDelta-def)
apply (subst dom-emptyAbstractDelta, simp)
apply (subgoal-tac dom a = insert ( $\varrho_{self-f} f$ ) (set (R-Args  $\Sigma t f$ )))
prefer 2 apply (erule-tac x=0 in allE, simp)
applyclarsimp
apply (drule mp)
apply (rule allI, rule impI)
apply (erule-tac x=Suc i in allE, simp)
by simp

```

lemma *P-dyn-dom-E1-Case-ej*:

```

[] set (varsAPP  $\Sigma d f$ ) ⊆ dom E1;
  def-extend E1 (snd (extractP (fst (alts ! i)))) vs []
  ⇒ set (varsAPP  $\Sigma d f$ ) ⊆ dom (extend E1 (snd (extractP (fst (alts ! i)))) vs)
apply (simp add: extend-def)
apply (simp add: def-extend-def)
by blast

```

lemma *P-dyn-fv-dom-E1-Case-ej* [rule-format]:

```

fvAlts alts ⊆ dom E1
  → i < length alts
  → def-extend E1 (snd (extractP (fst (alts ! i)))) vs
  → fv (snd (alts ! i)) ⊆ dom (extend E1 (snd (extractP (fst (alts ! i)))) vs)
apply (induct alts arbitrary: i, simp-all)
apply (rule impI)+
apply (elim conjE)
apply (case-tac alts = [], simp-all)
apply (simp add: def-extend-def)
apply (case-tac a, simp-all)
apply (elim conjE)
apply (simp add: extend-def)
apply blast
apply (case-tac i, simp-all)
apply (case-tac a, simp-all)
apply (simp add: def-extend-def)
apply (simp add: extend-def)
apply (case-tac aa, simp-all)
apply (simp add: Let-def)

```

by *blast*

lemma *P-dyn-fvReg-dom-E2-Case-ej*:
 $\llbracket \text{fvAltsReg } \text{alts} \subseteq \text{dom } E2; i < \text{length } \text{alts} \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{fvReg} (\text{snd} (\text{alts} ! i)) \subseteq \text{dom } E2$
apply (*induct alts arbitrary: i,simp-all*)
apply (*case-tac i,simp*)
by (*case-tac a, simp-all*)

lemma *P-dyn-fv-dom-E1-Case-LitN-ej* [*rule-format*]:
 $\text{fvAlts } \text{alts} \subseteq \text{dom } E1$
 $\longrightarrow i < \text{length } \text{alts}$
 $\longrightarrow \text{fst} (\text{alts} ! i) = \text{ConstP} (\text{LitN } n)$
 $\longrightarrow \text{fv} (\text{snd} (\text{alts} ! i)) \subseteq \text{dom } E1$
apply (*induct alts arbitrary: i ,simp-all*)
apply (*rule impI*)+
apply (*elim conjE*)
apply (*case-tac alts = [],simp-all*)
apply (*case-tac a, simp-all*)
apply (*case-tac i,simp-all*)
by (*case-tac a, simp-all*)

lemma *P-dyn-fv-dom-E1-Case-LitB-ej* [*rule-format*]:
 $\text{fvAlts } \text{alts} \subseteq \text{dom } E1$
 $\longrightarrow i < \text{length } \text{alts}$
 $\longrightarrow \text{fst} (\text{alts} ! i) = \text{ConstP} (\text{LitB } b)$
 $\longrightarrow \text{fv} (\text{snd} (\text{alts} ! i)) \subseteq \text{dom } E1$
apply (*induct alts arbitrary: i ,simp-all*)
apply (*rule impI*)+
apply (*elim conjE*)
apply (*case-tac alts = [],simp-all*)
apply (*case-tac a, simp-all*)
apply (*case-tac i,simp-all*)
by (*case-tac a, simp-all*)

lemma *si-in-dom-AbstractDeltaSpaceCost*:
 $\llbracket \text{defined-AbstractDelta} (\text{AbstractDeltaSpaceCost } x) f; \varrho \in \text{dom} (\text{AbstractDeltaSpaceCost } x) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{build-si } E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f) \in \text{dom} (\text{the} (\text{AbstractDeltaSpaceCost } x \varrho))$
apply (*simp add: defined-AbstractDelta-def*)
apply (*erule-tac x=ρ in ballE*)
apply (*simp add: defined-bound-def*)
apply (*erule-tac x= build-si E1 h (varsAPP Σd f) in alle*)
apply (*subst (asm) length-build-si,simp*)

by *simp*

```
lemma si-in-dom-emptyAbstractDelta:
 $\varrho \in \text{dom } []_f$ 
 $\implies \text{build-si } E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f) \in \text{dom } (\text{the } ([]_f \varrho))$ 
apply (simp add: emptyAbstractDelta-def, clarsimp)
apply (split split-if-asm)
apply clarsimp
apply (rule conjI)
apply (simp add: constantCost-def, force)
apply (rule conjI)
apply (simp add: constantCost-def, force)
apply force
by simp
```

```
lemma defined-AbstractDelta-imp-defined-bound:
 $\llbracket (\forall i < \text{length assert}.$ 
 $\quad \text{insert } (\varrho \text{-self-ff}) (\text{set } (R\text{-Args } \Sigma t f)) = \text{dom } (\text{AbstractDeltaSpaceCost } (\text{assert } ! i)) \text{);}$ 
 $\quad \varrho \in \text{insert } (\varrho \text{-self-ff}) (\text{set } (R\text{-Args } \Sigma t f));$ 
 $\quad (\forall i < \text{length assert}. \text{defined-AbstractDelta } (\text{AbstractDeltaSpaceCost } (\text{assert } ! i)) f) \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies (\forall i < \text{length assert}. \text{defined-bound } (\text{the } (\text{AbstractDeltaSpaceCost } (\text{assert } ! i) \varrho)) f)$ 
apply (rule allI, rule impI)
apply (erule-tac x=i in allE, simp)
apply (simp only: defined-AbstractDelta-def)
done
```

```
lemma all-i-defined-bound-all-si-in-dom-Delta:
 $(\forall i < \text{length xs}. \text{defined-bound } (\text{the } (\text{AbstractDeltaSpaceCost } (xs ! i) \varrho)) f)$ 
 $\implies \forall i < \text{length xs}. \text{build-si } E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f) \in \text{dom } (\text{the } (\text{AbstractDeltaSpaceCost } (xs ! i) \varrho))$ 
apply (rule allI, rule impI)
apply (erule-tac x=i in allE, simp)
apply (simp add: defined-bound-def)
apply (erule-tac x= build-si E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f) in allE)
apply (subst (asm) length-build-si)
by simp
```

```
lemma all-i-si-in-Delta-si-in-dom-maxCost-Delta:
 $\forall i < \text{length xs}. \text{build-si } E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f) \in \text{dom } (\text{the } (\text{AbstractDeltaSpaceCost } (xs ! i) \varrho))$ 
 $\implies \text{build-si } E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f) \in (\bigcap i < \text{length xs}. \text{dom } (\text{the } (\text{AbstractDeltaSpaceCost } (xs ! i) \varrho)))$ 
by (induct xs, simp, simp)
```

```

lemma dom-maxCostDeltaList [rule-format]:
 $\varrho \in \text{insert } (\varrho_{\text{self}} f) (\text{set } (R\text{-Args } \Sigma t f))$ 
 $\longrightarrow \varrho \in \text{dom } (\text{foldr } \text{maxAbstractDelta} (\text{map } \text{AbstractDeltaSpaceCost} xs) []_f)$ 
 $\longrightarrow \text{dom } (\text{the } (\text{foldr } \text{maxAbstractDelta} (\text{map } \text{AbstractDeltaSpaceCost} xs) []_f \varrho))$ 
=  $(\bigcap i < \text{length } xs. \text{dom } (\text{the } (\text{AbstractDeltaSpaceCost} (xs ! i) \varrho)))$ 
apply (rule impI)
apply (induct xs)
apply (simp add: emptyAbstractDelta-def)
apply (simp add: constantCost-def)
apply force
apply clarsimp
apply (rule equalityI)
apply (rule subsetI,simp)
apply (rule ballI,clarsimp)
apply (case-tac i)
apply (simp add: maxAbstractDelta-def)
apply (split split-if-asm)
apply (simp add: maxCost-def)
applyclarsimp
apply (split split-if-asm)
apply (simp add: dom-def)
apply simp
apply simp
applyclarsimp
apply (simp add: maxAbstractDelta-def)
apply (split split-if-asm)
apply (simp add: maxCost-def)
applyclarsimp
apply (split split-if-asm)
apply (erule-tac x=nat in ballE)
apply (simp add: dom-def)
apply simp
apply simp
apply simp
apply (rule subsetI,simp)
apply (simp add: maxAbstractDelta-def)
apply (split split-if-asm)
apply (simp add: maxCost-def)
applyclarsimp
apply (rule conjI)
apply (simp add: dom-def)
apply force
apply (rule ballI)
apply (erule-tac x=Suc i in ballE)
apply simp
apply simp
by simp

```

lemma *si-dom-Delta-si-dom-maxCost-Delta*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \varrho \in \text{insert } (\varrho_{\text{self-ff}}) (\text{set } (\text{R-Args } \Sigma t f)); \\ & \quad \varrho \in \text{dom } (\text{foldr } \maxAbstractDelta (\text{map } \text{AbstractDeltaSpaceCost } xs) \llbracket_f); \\ & \quad \forall i < \text{length } xs. \text{ build-si } E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f) \in \text{dom } (\text{the } (\text{AbstractDeltaSpaceCost } (xs ! i) \varrho)) \llbracket \\ & \quad \implies \text{build-si } E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f) \in \text{dom } (\text{the } (\text{foldr } \maxAbstractDelta (\text{map } \text{AbstractDeltaSpaceCost } xs) \llbracket_f \varrho)) \\ & \text{apply } (\text{frule } \text{all-i-si-in-Delta-si-in-dom-maxCost-Delta}) \\ & \text{by } (\text{subst } \text{dom-maxCostDeltaList}, \text{simp}, \text{simp}, \text{simp}) \end{aligned}$$

lemma *ρ-in-dom-AbstractDeltaSpaceCost*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \varrho \in \text{insert } (\varrho_{\text{self-ff}}) (\text{set } (\text{R-Args } \Sigma t f)); \\ & \quad \varrho \in \text{dom } (\maxAbstractDelta (\text{AbstractDeltaSpaceCost } x) (\text{foldr } \maxAbstractDelta (\text{map } \text{AbstractDeltaSpaceCost } xs) \llbracket_f)) \llbracket \\ & \quad \implies \varrho \in \text{dom } (\text{AbstractDeltaSpaceCost } x) \wedge \varrho \in \text{dom } (\text{foldr } \maxAbstractDelta (\text{map } \text{AbstractDeltaSpaceCost } xs) \llbracket_f) \\ & \text{apply } (\text{simp add: } \maxAbstractDelta\text{-def}, \text{clar simp}) \\ & \text{by } (\text{split split-if-asm}, \text{simp}, \text{simp}) \end{aligned}$$

lemma *si-in-dom-AbstractDeltaSpaceCost*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \varrho \in \text{insert } (\varrho_{\text{self-ff}}) (\text{set } (\text{R-Args } \Sigma t f)); \\ & \quad si \in \text{dom } (\text{the } (\maxAbstractDelta (\text{AbstractDeltaSpaceCost } x) (\text{foldr } \maxAbstractDelta (\text{map } \text{AbstractDeltaSpaceCost } xs) \llbracket_f) \varrho)); \\ & \quad \varrho \in \text{dom } (\maxAbstractDelta (\text{AbstractDeltaSpaceCost } x) (\text{foldr } \maxAbstractDelta (\text{map } \text{AbstractDeltaSpaceCost } xs) \llbracket_f)) \llbracket \\ & \quad \implies si \in \text{dom } (\text{the } (\text{AbstractDeltaSpaceCost } x \varrho)) \cap \\ & \quad \quad \text{dom } (\text{the } (\text{foldr } \maxAbstractDelta (\text{map } \text{AbstractDeltaSpaceCost } xs) \llbracket_f \\ & \quad \quad \varrho)) \\ & \text{apply } (\text{frule } \varrho\text{-in-dom-AbstractDeltaSpaceCost}, \text{simp}, \text{clar simp}) \\ & \text{apply } (\text{simp add: } \maxAbstractDelta\text{-def}) \\ & \text{apply } (\text{split split-if-asm}) \\ & \text{apply } (\text{simp add: } \maxCost\text{-def}, \text{clar simp}) \\ & \text{apply } (\text{split split-if-asm}, \text{simp}, \text{simp}) \\ & \text{by } \text{simp} \end{aligned}$$

lemma *maxAbstractDeltaSpaceCost-ge-AbstractDeltaSpaceCost [rule-format]*:

$$\begin{aligned} & i < \text{length assert} \\ & \longrightarrow \varrho \in \text{insert } (\varrho_{\text{self-ff}}) (\text{set } (\text{R-Args } \Sigma t f)) \\ & \longrightarrow \varrho \in \text{dom } (\text{foldr } \maxAbstractDelta (\text{map } \text{AbstractDeltaSpaceCost assert}) \llbracket_f) \\ & \longrightarrow \text{build-si } E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f) \in \text{dom } (\text{the } (\text{foldr } \maxAbstractDelta (\text{map } \text{AbstractDeltaSpaceCost assert}) \llbracket_f \varrho)) \end{aligned}$$

```

    —→ the (the (AbstractDeltaSpaceCost (assert ! i) ρ) (build-si E1 h (varsAPP
Σd f)))
    ≤ the (the (⊔Δ f map AbstractDeltaSpaceCost assert ρ) (build-si E1 h
(varsAPP Σd f)))
apply (rule impI)
apply (induct assert i rule: list-induct3,simp-all)
apply (rule conjI)
apply (rule impI)+
apply (simp only: maxAbstractDeltaList-def)
apply (simp (no-asm) add: maxAbstractDelta-def)
apply (rule conjI)
apply (rule impI)
apply (simp add: maxCost-def)
apply (rule impI)+
apply (subgoal-tac
  build-si E1 h (varsAPP Σd f) ∈
  dom (the (AbstractDeltaSpaceCost x (ρself-f f))) ∩
  dom (the (foldr maxAbstractDelta (map AbstractDeltaSpaceCost xs) []f (ρself-f
f))), simp)
apply (rule si-in-dom-AbstractDeltaSpaceCost,simp,simp,simp)
apply (rule impI)+
apply (subgoal-tac ρself-f f ∈ dom (AbstractDeltaSpaceCost x) ∧
  ρself-f f ∈ dom (foldr maxAbstractDelta (map AbstractDeltaSpaceCost
xs) []f),simp)
apply (rule ρ-in-dom-AbstractDeltaSpaceCost,simp,simp)
apply (rule impI)+
apply (simp only: maxAbstractDeltaList-def)
apply (simp (no-asm) add: maxAbstractDelta-def)
apply (rule conjI)
apply (rule impI)
apply (simp add: maxCost-def)
apply (rule impI)+
apply (subgoal-tac build-si E1 h (varsAPP Σd f) ∈ dom (the (AbstractDeltaSpaceCost
x ρ)) ∩
  dom (the (foldr maxAbstractDelta (map AbstractDeltaSpaceCost xs) []f ρ)), simp)
apply (rule si-in-dom-AbstractDeltaSpaceCost,simp,force,simp)
apply (rule impI)+
apply (subgoal-tac ρ ∈ dom (AbstractDeltaSpaceCost x) ∧
  ρ ∈ dom (foldr maxAbstractDelta (map AbstractDeltaSpaceCost
xs) []f),simp)
apply (rule ρ-in-dom-AbstractDeltaSpaceCost,simp,simp)
apply (rule conjI)
apply (rule impI)+
apply clarsimp
apply (simp only: maxAbstractDeltaList-def)
apply (simp (no-asm) add: maxAbstractDelta-def)
apply (rule conjI)
apply (rule impI)
apply (simp add: maxCost-def)

```

```

apply (rule conjI)
apply (rule impI) +
apply simp
apply (rule impI) +
apply (simp add: maxAbstractDelta-def)
apply (simp add: maxCost-def)
apply clarsimp
apply (split split-if-asm,simp,simp)
apply (rule impI) +
apply (simp add: maxAbstractDelta-def)
apply (split split-if-asm,simp,simp)
apply clarsimp
apply (simp only: maxAbstractDeltaList-def)
apply (simp (no-asm) add: maxAbstractDelta-def)
apply (rule conjI)
apply (rule impI)
apply (simp add: maxCost-def)
apply (rule conjI)
apply (rule impI) +
apply simp
apply (rule impI) +
apply (simp add: maxAbstractDelta-def)
apply (simp add: maxCost-def)
apply clarsimp
apply (split split-if-asm,simp,simp)
apply (rule impI) +
apply (simp add: maxAbstractDelta-def)
apply (split split-if-asm,simp,simp)

```

lemma all-i-defined-bound-all-si-in-dom-mu:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \forall i < \text{length } xs. \text{defined-bound}(\text{AbstractMuSpaceCost}(xs! i)) f \\
 & \implies \forall i < \text{length } xs. \text{build-si } E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f) \in \text{dom}(\text{AbstractMuSpaceCost}(xs! i)) \\
 & \text{apply (rule allI,rule impI)} \\
 & \text{apply (erule-tac } x=i \text{ in allE,simp)} \\
 & \text{apply (simp add: defined-bound-def)} \\
 & \text{apply (erule-tac } x= \text{build-si } E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f) \text{ in allE)} \\
 & \text{apply (subst (asm) length-build-si)} \\
 & \text{by simp}
 \end{aligned}$$

lemma all-i-si-in-dom-mu-si-in-dom-maxCost-mu:

```

 $\forall i < \text{length } xs. \text{build-si } E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f) \in \text{dom } (\text{AbstractMuSpaceCost } (xs! i))$ 
 $\implies \text{build-si } E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f) \in (\bigcap i < \text{length } xs. \text{dom } (\text{AbstractMuSpaceCost } (xs! i)))$ 
by (induct xs,simp,simp)

```

```

lemma si-dom-mu-si-dom-maxCost-mu:
 $\forall i < \text{length } xs. \text{build-si } E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f) \in \text{dom } (\text{AbstractMuSpaceCost } (xs! i))$ 
 $\implies \text{build-si } E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f) \in \text{dom } (\text{foldr maxCost } (\text{map AbstractMuSpaceCost } xs) []_0)$ 
apply (frule all-i-si-in-dom-mu-si-in-dom-maxCost-mu)
by (subst dom-maxCostList,simp)

```

```

lemma maxAbstractMuSpaceCost-ge-AbstractMuSpaceCost [rule-format]:
 $i < \text{length assert}$ 
 $\implies (\forall i < \text{length assert}. \text{defined-bound } (\text{AbstractMuSpaceCost } (\text{assert ! } i)) f)$ 
 $\implies \text{the } (\text{AbstractMuSpaceCost } (\text{assert ! } i)) (\text{build-si } E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f))$ 
 $\leq \text{the } (\bigsqcup_c \text{map AbstractMuSpaceCost assert } (\text{build-si } E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f)))$ 
apply (rule impI)
apply (simp add: maxCostList-def)
apply (induct assert i rule: list-induct3, simp-all)
apply (case-tac xs,simp)
apply (rule impI)
apply (simp only: maxCost-def)
apply (simp (no-asm) add: maxCost-def)
apply (simp add: constantCost-def)
apply (simp add: dom-def)
apply clarsimp
apply (simp add: maxCost-def)
apply (rule impI)+
apply (drule mp)
apply (erule-tac x=0 in allE,simp)
apply (simp add: defined-bound-def)
apply (erule-tac x= build-si E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f) in allE)
apply (subst (asm) length-build-si,simp)
apply clarsimp
apply (rule conjI)
apply (erule-tac x=Suc 0 in allE,simp)
apply (simp add: defined-bound-def)
apply (erule-tac x= build-si E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f) in allE)
apply (subst (asm) length-build-si,simp)
apply (rule si-dom-mu-si-dom-maxCost-mu)
apply (rule all-i-defined-bound-all-si-in-dom-mu,force)
apply (rule impI,clarsimp)
apply (drule mp)

```

```

apply force
apply (simp add: maxCost-def)
apply (rule conjI)
apply (rule impI)+
apply simp
apply (rule impI)+
apply (drule mp)
apply (erule-tac x=0 in allE,simp)
apply (simp add: defined-bound-def)
apply (erule-tac x= build-si E1 h (varsAPP Σd f) in allE)
apply (subst (asm) length-build-si,simp)
apply (subgoal-tac
  build-si E1 h (varsAPP Σd f) ∈ dom (foldr maxCost (map AbstractMuSpaceCost
  xs) []),simp)
apply (rule si-dom-mu-si-dom-maxCost-mu)
apply (rule all-i-defined-bound-all-si-in-dom-mu)
by force

```

```

lemma sizeAbstractDelta-si-case-Lit-ge-sizeAbstractDelta-si-alt:
   $\llbracket i < \text{length assert};$ 
     $\text{insert}(\varrho_{self-ff})(\text{set}(R\text{-Args } \Sigma t f)) = \text{dom } \bigsqcup_{\Delta} f \text{ map AbstractDeltaSpaceCost}$ 
   $\text{assert};$ 
     $(\forall i < \text{length assert}.$ 
       $\text{insert}(\varrho_{self-ff})(\text{set}(R\text{-Args } \Sigma t f)) = \text{dom } (\text{AbstractDeltaSpaceCost } (\text{assert } ! i)) );$ 
     $(\forall i < \text{length assert}. \text{defined-AbstractDelta } (\text{AbstractDeltaSpaceCost } (\text{assert } ! i)) f);$ 
     $\text{insert}(\varrho_{self-ff})(\text{set}(R\text{-Args } \Sigma t f)) = \text{dom } \eta \llbracket$ 
     $\implies \text{sizeAbstractDelta-si } (\text{AbstractDeltaSpaceCost } (\text{assert } ! i)) (\text{build-si } E1 h$ 
     $(\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f)) j \eta$ 
     $\leq \text{sizeAbstractDelta-si } \bigsqcup_{\Delta} f \text{ map AbstractDeltaSpaceCost assert } (\text{build-si }$ 
     $E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f)) j \eta$ 
apply (simp (no-asm) only: sizeAbstractDelta-si-def)
apply (rule setsum-mono)
apply (subgoal-tac  $\varrho \in \text{insert}(\varrho_{self-ff})(\text{set}(R\text{-Args } \Sigma t f)))$ 
prefer 2 apply (simp add: dom-def,blast)
apply (rule maxAbstractDeltaSpaceCost-ge-AbstractDeltaSpaceCost,simp,simp)
apply (simp add: maxAbstractDeltaList-def)
apply (subst dom-maxCostDeltaList,simp)
apply (simp add: maxAbstractDeltaList-def)
apply (rule all-i-si-in-Delta-si-in-dom-maxCost-Delta)
apply (rule all-i-defined-bound-all-si-in-dom-Delta)
apply (frule defined-AbstractDelta-imp-defined-bound,simp,simp)
by simp

```

```

lemma P- $\Delta$ -Case-Lit:
   $\llbracket i < \text{length assert};$ 
     $\text{insert } (\varrho_{\text{self-ff}}) (\text{set } (R\text{-Args } \Sigma t f)) = \text{dom } \bigsqcup_{\Delta} f \text{ map AbstractDeltaSpaceCost}$ 
   $\text{assert};$ 
   $\forall i < \text{length assert}. \text{insert } (\varrho_{\text{self-ff}}) (\text{set } (R\text{-Args } \Sigma t f)) = \text{dom } (\text{AbstractDeltaSpaceCost}$ 
   $(\text{assert } ! i));$ 
   $\forall i < \text{length assert}. \text{defined-AbstractDelta } (\text{AbstractDeltaSpaceCost } (\text{assert } ! i))$ 
   $f;$ 
   $\text{insert } (\varrho_{\text{self-ff}}) (\text{set } (R\text{-Args } \Sigma t f)) = \text{dom } \eta;$ 
   $\text{Delta-ge } (\text{AbstractDeltaSpaceCost } (\text{assert } ! i)) (\text{build-si } E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f))$ 
   $k \eta \delta \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \text{Delta-ge } \bigsqcup_{\Delta} f \text{ map AbstractDeltaSpaceCost assert } (\text{build-si } E1 h (\text{varsAPP }$ 
   $\Sigma d f)) k \eta \delta$ 
apply (simp (no-asm) only: Delta-ge-def)
apply (rule ballI)
apply (frule-tac
  ?E1.0=E1 and h =h and j=j
  in sizeAbstractDelta-si-case-Lit-ge-sizeAbstractDelta-si-alt, assumption+)
apply (simp add: Delta-ge-def)
by (erule-tac x=j in ballE,simp,simp)

lemma nth-build-si:
i < length xs
 $\implies \text{build-si } E1 h xs!i = \text{sizeEnv } E1 (xs!i) h$ 
apply (induct xs arbitrary:i, simp-all)
by (case-tac i,simp-all)

lemma sizeEnv-alt-equals-sizeEnv-Case:
 $\llbracket \text{set } (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f) \subseteq \text{dom } E1; ia < \text{length } (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f);$ 
   $\text{def-extend } E1 (\text{snd } (\text{extractP } (\text{fst } (\text{alts } ! i)))) \text{ vs } \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{sizeEnv } (\text{extend } E1 (\text{snd } (\text{extractP } (\text{fst } (\text{alts } ! i)))) \text{ vs }) (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f !$ 
   $ia) h = \text{sizeEnv } E1 (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f ! ia) h$ 
apply (subgoal-tac
  extend E1 (snd (extractP (fst (alts ! i)))) vs (varsAPP Sigma d f ! ia) =
  E1 (varsAPP Sigma d f ! ia))
apply (simp add: sizeEnv-def)
apply (simp add: def-extend-def)
apply (elim conjE)
apply (subgoal-tac (varsAPP Sigma d f ! ia)  $\notin$  set (snd (extractP (fst (alts ! i)))))
apply (rule sym)
apply (subst extend-monotone,simp,simp)
apply (subgoal-tac varsAPP Sigma d f ! ia  $\in$  dom E1,blast)
by (subst (asm) set-conv-nth,blast)

lemma build-si-Case-ge-build-si-alt:
 $\llbracket \text{set } (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f) \subseteq \text{dom } E1; ia < \text{length } (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f);$ 
   $\text{def-extend } E1 (\text{snd } (\text{extractP } (\text{fst } (\text{alts } ! i)))) \text{ vs } \rrbracket$ 

```

```

 $\implies build\text{-}si (extend E1 (snd (extractP (fst (alts ! i)))) vs) h (varsAPP \Sigma d f)$ 
! ia = build\text{-}si E1 h (varsAPP \Sigma d f) ! ia
apply (subst nth-build\text{-}si,simp)+
by (rule sizeEnv-alt-equals-sizeEnv-Case,assumption+)

```

```

lemma sizeEnv-alt-equals-sizeEnv-Case-2:
 $\llbracket x \in \text{dom } E1;$ 
 $\text{def-extend } E1 (\text{snd} (\text{extractP} (\text{fst} (\text{alts} ! i)))) \text{ vs } \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{sizeEnv} (\text{extend } E1 (\text{snd} (\text{extractP} (\text{fst} (\text{alts} ! i)))) \text{ vs }) \ x h = \text{sizeEnv } E1$ 
 $x h$ 
apply (subgoal-tac
 $\text{extend } E1 (\text{snd} (\text{extractP} (\text{fst} (\text{alts} ! i)))) \text{ vs } x =$ 
 $E1 x)$ 
apply (simp add: sizeEnv-def)
apply (simp add: def-extend-def)
apply (elim conjE)
apply (subgoal-tac  $x \notin \text{set} (\text{snd} (\text{extractP} (\text{fst} (\text{alts} ! i))))$ )
apply (rule sym)
apply (subst extend-monotone,simp,simp)
by blast

```

```

lemma build\text{-}si-Case-ge-build\text{-}si-alt-2 [rule-format]:
 $\text{set } xs \subseteq \text{dom } E1$ 
 $\longrightarrow \text{def-extend } E1 (\text{snd} (\text{extractP} (\text{fst} (\text{alts} ! i)))) \text{ vs }$ 
 $\longrightarrow \text{build\text{-}si} (\text{extend } E1 (\text{snd} (\text{extractP} (\text{fst} (\text{alts} ! i)))) \text{ vs }) \ h xs = \text{build\text{-}si } E1$ 
 $h xs$ 
apply (induct-tac xs,simp-all)
apply clarsimp
by (rule sizeEnv-alt-equals-sizeEnv-Case-2,force,simp)

```

```

lemma list-ge-build\text{-}si-alt-build\text{-}si-Case:
 $\llbracket \text{set} (\text{varsAPP} \Sigma d f) \subseteq \text{dom } E1;$ 
 $\text{def-extend } E1 (\text{snd} (\text{extractP} (\text{fst} (\text{alts} ! i)))) \text{ vs } \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{list-ge} (\text{build\text{-}si } E1 h (\text{varsAPP} \Sigma d f)) (\text{build\text{-}si} (\text{extend } E1 (\text{snd} (\text{extractP}$ 
 $(\text{fst} (\text{alts} ! i)))) \text{ vs }) \ h (\text{varsAPP} \Sigma d f))$ 
apply (simp add: list-ge-def,clarsimp)
apply (subst (asm) length-build\text{-}si)
apply (frule-tac ?E1.0=E1 and h=h in build\text{-}si-Case-ge-build\text{-}si-alt,assumption+)
by simp

```

```

lemma sizeAbstractDelta\text{-}si-Case-ge-sizeAbstractDelta\text{-}si-alt:
 $\llbracket i < \text{length assert}; \text{set} (\text{varsAPP} \Sigma d f) \subseteq \text{dom } E1;$ 
 $\forall i < \text{length assert}. \text{insert} (\varrho\text{self}\text{-}ff) (\text{set} (\text{R-Args } \Sigma t f)) = \text{dom } (\text{AbstractDeltaSpaceCost}$ 
 $(\text{assert} ! i));$ 

```

```

( $\forall i < \text{length assert}.$ 
 $\quad \text{insert } (\varrho_{\text{self-ff}}) (\text{set } (R\text{-Args } \Sigma t f)) = \text{dom } (\text{AbstractDeltaSpaceCost } (\text{assert } ! i))$ );
 $\quad \forall i < \text{length assert}. \text{defined-AbstractDelta } (\text{AbstractDeltaSpaceCost } (\text{assert } ! i))$ 
 $f;$ 
 $\quad \text{def-extend } E1 (\text{snd } (\text{extractP } (\text{fst } (\text{alts } ! i)))) \text{ vs};$ 
 $\quad \text{monotonic-AbstractDelta } (\text{AbstractDeltaSpaceCost } (\text{assert } ! i));$ 
 $\quad \text{insert } (\varrho_{\text{self-ff}}) (\text{set } (R\text{-Args } \Sigma t f)) = \text{dom } \eta \llbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{sizeAbstractDelta-si } (\text{AbstractDeltaSpaceCost } (\text{assert } ! i)) (\text{build-si } (\text{extend } E1 (\text{snd } (\text{extractP } (\text{fst } (\text{alts } ! i)))) \text{ vs } h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f)) j \eta$ 
 $\leq \text{sizeAbstractDelta-si } (\text{AbstractDeltaSpaceCost } (\text{assert } ! i)) (\text{build-si } E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f)) j \eta$ 
 $\text{apply } (\text{simp } (\text{no-asm}) \text{ only: sizeAbstractDelta-si-def})$ 
 $\text{apply } (\text{rule setsum-mono,clar simp})$ 
 $\text{by } (\text{subst build-si-Case-ge-build-si-alt-2,simp,simp,simp})$ 

```

lemma $\text{sizeAbstractDelta-si-Case-equals-sizeAbstractDelta-si-alt}$:

```

 $\llbracket i < \text{length assert}; \text{set } (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f) \subseteq \text{dom } E1;$ 
 $\quad \text{def-extend } E1 (\text{snd } (\text{extractP } (\text{fst } (\text{alts } ! i)))) \text{ vs } \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{sizeAbstractDelta-si } (\text{AbstractDeltaSpaceCost } (\text{assert } ! i)) (\text{build-si } (\text{extend } E1 (\text{snd } (\text{extractP } (\text{fst } (\text{alts } ! i)))) \text{ vs } h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f)) j \eta$ 
 $= \text{sizeAbstractDelta-si } (\text{AbstractDeltaSpaceCost } (\text{assert } ! i)) (\text{build-si } E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f)) j \eta$ 
 $\text{apply } (\text{simp } (\text{no-asm}) \text{ only: sizeAbstractDelta-si-def})$ 
 $\text{by } (\text{subst build-si-Case-ge-build-si-alt-2,simp,simp,simp})$ 

```

lemma $P\text{-}\Delta\text{-Case}$:

```

 $\llbracket i < \text{length assert};$ 
 $\quad \text{insert } (\varrho_{\text{self-ff}}) (\text{set } (R\text{-Args } \Sigma t f)) = \text{dom } \bigsqcup_{\Delta} f \text{ map AbstractDeltaSpaceCost}$ 
 $\quad \text{assert};$ 
 $\quad \forall i < \text{length assert}. \text{insert } (\varrho_{\text{self-ff}}) (\text{set } (R\text{-Args } \Sigma t f)) = \text{dom } (\text{AbstractDeltaSpaceCost } (\text{assert } ! i));$ 
 $\quad \forall i < \text{length assert}. \text{defined-AbstractDelta } (\text{AbstractDeltaSpaceCost } (\text{assert } ! i))$ 
 $f;$ 
 $\quad \text{def-extend } E1 (\text{snd } (\text{extractP } (\text{fst } (\text{alts } ! i)))) \text{ vs};$ 
 $\quad \text{insert } (\varrho_{\text{self-ff}}) (\text{set } (R\text{-Args } \Sigma t f)) = \text{dom } \eta;$ 
 $\quad \text{set } (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f) \subseteq \text{dom } E1;$ 
 $\quad \text{insert } (\varrho_{\text{self-ff}}) (\text{set } (R\text{-Args } \Sigma t f)) = \text{dom } \eta;$ 
 $\quad \text{monotonic-AbstractDelta } (\text{AbstractDeltaSpaceCost } (\text{assert } ! i));$ 
 $\quad \text{Delta-ge } (\text{AbstractDeltaSpaceCost } (\text{assert } ! i)) (\text{build-si } (\text{extend } E1 (\text{snd } (\text{extractP } (\text{fst } (\text{alts } ! i)))) \text{ vs } h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f)) k \eta \delta \llbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{Delta-ge } \bigsqcup_{\Delta} f \text{ map AbstractDeltaSpaceCost assert } (\text{build-si } E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f)) k \eta \delta$ 
 $\text{apply } (\text{simp } (\text{no-asm}) \text{ only: Delta-ge-def})$ 
 $\text{apply } (\text{rule ballI})$ 
 $\text{apply } (\text{frule-tac}$ 
 $\quad ?E1.0=E1 \text{ and } h=h \text{ and } j=j$ 
 $\text{in } \text{sizeAbstractDelta-si-case-Lit-ge-sizeAbstractDelta-si-alt, assumption+})$ 

```

```

apply (subgoal-tac
  sizeAbstractDelta-si (AbstractDeltaSpaceCost (assert ! i) (build-si (extend E1
  (snd (extractP (fst (alts ! i)))) vs) h (varsAPP  $\Sigma d f$ )) j  $\eta$ ) =
  sizeAbstractDelta-si (AbstractDeltaSpaceCost (assert ! i) (build-si E1 h (varsAPP
   $\Sigma d f$ )) j  $\eta$ )
  apply (simp add: Delta-ge-def)
  apply (erule-tac x=j in ballE,simp,simp)
  by (rule sizeAbstractDelta-si-Case-equals-sizeAbstractDelta-si-alt,assumption+)
)

```

```

lemma AbstractMuSpaceCost-Case-equals-AbstractMuSpaceCost-alt:

$$\llbracket \text{set } (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f) \subseteq \text{dom } E1; \\ \text{def-extend } E1 (\text{snd } (\text{extractP } (\text{fst } (\text{alts } ! i)))) \text{ vs } \rrbracket \\ \implies \text{the } (\text{AbstractMuSpaceCost } (\text{assert } ! i) (\text{build-si } (\text{extend } E1 (\text{snd } (\text{extractP } \\ (\text{fst } (\text{alts } ! i)))) \text{ vs }) \text{ h } (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f))) \\ = \text{the } (\text{AbstractMuSpaceCost } (\text{assert } ! i) (\text{build-si } E1 \text{ h } (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f))) \\ \text{by } (\text{subst build-si-Case-ge-build-si-alt-2,simp,simp,simp})$$


```

```

lemma P-μ-Case:

$$\llbracket \text{set } (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f) \subseteq \text{dom } E1; \\ \text{def-extend } E1 (\text{snd } (\text{extractP } (\text{fst } (\text{alts } ! i)))) \text{ vs}; \\ \text{monotonic-bound } (\text{AbstractMuSpaceCost } (\text{assert } ! i)); \\ (\forall i < \text{length assert}. \text{defined-bound } (\text{AbstractMuSpaceCost } (\text{assert } ! i)) f); \\ i < \text{length assert}; \\ \text{mu-ge } (\text{AbstractMuSpaceCost } (\text{assert } ! i)) (\text{build-si } (\text{extend } E1 (\text{snd } (\text{extractP } \\ (\text{fst } (\text{alts } ! i)))) \text{ vs }) \text{ h } (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f)) m \rrbracket \\ \implies \text{mu-ge } \bigsqcup_c \text{ map AbstractMuSpaceCost assert } (\text{build-si } E1 \text{ h } (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d \\ f)) m \\ \text{apply } (\text{simp add: mu-ge-def}) \\ \text{apply } (\text{frule-tac} \\ ?E1.0=E1 \text{ and } h =h \\ \text{in } \text{maxAbstractMuSpaceCost-ge-AbstractMuSpaceCost,force}) \\ \text{apply } (\text{subgoal-tac} \\ \text{the } (\text{AbstractMuSpaceCost } (\text{assert } ! i) (\text{build-si } (\text{extend } E1 (\text{snd } (\text{extractP } (\text{fst } \\ (\text{alts } ! i)))) \text{ vs }) \text{ h } (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f))) = \\ \text{the } (\text{AbstractMuSpaceCost } (\text{assert } ! i) (\text{build-si } E1 \text{ h } (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f))) \\ \text{apply force} \\ \text{by } (\text{rule AbstractMuSpaceCost-Case-equals-AbstractMuSpaceCost-alt,assumption,simp})$$


```

```

lemma P-μ-Case-Lit:

$$\llbracket (\forall i < \text{length assert}. \text{defined-bound } (\text{AbstractMuSpaceCost } (\text{assert } ! i)) f); \\ i < \text{length assert}; \\ \text{mu-ge } (\text{AbstractMuSpaceCost } (\text{assert } ! i)) (\text{build-si } E1 \text{ h } (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f)) m \rrbracket \\ \implies \text{mu-ge } \bigsqcup_c \text{ map AbstractMuSpaceCost assert } (\text{build-si } E1 \text{ h } (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d \\ f)) m \\ \text{apply } (\text{simp add: mu-ge-def})$$


```

```

apply (subgoal-tac
  the ( $\bigcup_c \text{map } \text{AbstractMuSpaceCost} \text{ assert } (\text{build-si } E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f)) \geq$ 
    the ( $\text{AbstractMuSpaceCost} (\text{assert ! } i) (\text{build-si } E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f)))$ )
  apply force
  by (rule maxAbstractMuSpaceCost-ge-AbstractMuSpaceCost,assumption,simp)

```

lemma *all-i-defined-bound-all-si-in-dom-sigma*:

$$\forall i < \text{length } xs. \text{defined-bound } (\text{AbstractSigmaSpaceCost } (xs! i)) f$$

$$\implies \forall i < \text{length } xs. \text{build-si } E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f) \in \text{dom } (\text{AbstractSigmaSpaceCost } (xs! i))$$

```

apply (rule allI,rule impI)
apply (erule-tac x=i in allE,simp)
apply (simp add: defined-bound-def)
apply (erule-tac x= build-si E1 h (varsAPP Sigma d f) in allE)
apply (subst (asm) length-build-si)
by simp

```

lemma *all-i-si-in-dom-sigma-si-in-dom-maxCost-sigma*:

$$\forall i < \text{length } xs. \text{build-si } E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f) \in \text{dom } (\text{AbstractSigmaSpaceCost } (xs! i))$$

$$\implies \text{build-si } E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f) \in (\bigcap i < \text{length } xs. \text{dom } (\text{AbstractSigmaSpaceCost } (xs! i)))$$

```

by (induct xs,simp,simp)

```

lemma *dom-maxCostSigmaList*:

$$\text{length } xs = \text{length } ys$$

$$\longrightarrow \text{dom } (\text{foldr } \text{maxCost } (\text{map } (\lambda(x, y). \text{addCostReal } x y) (\text{zip } (\text{map } \text{AbstractSigmaSpaceCost } xs) (\text{map } \text{num-r } ys)))) []_0 =$$

$$(\bigcap i < \text{length } xs. \text{dom } (\text{AbstractSigmaSpaceCost } (xs! i)))$$

```

apply (induct xs ys rule:list-induct2',simp-all)
apply (simp add: constantCost-def)
apply force
apply clarsimp
apply (simp add: maxCost-def)
apply (rule equalityI)
apply (rule subsetI,simp)
apply (rule ballI,clarsimp)
apply (split split-if-asm,simp)
apply (case-tac i)
apply (simp add: dom-def)
apply (simp add: addCostReal-def)
apply clarsimp
apply (split split-if-asm)
apply clarsimp
apply simp
apply clarsimp
apply (erule-tac x=nat in ballE)

```

```

apply (simp add: dom-def)
apply simp
apply simp
apply clarsimp
apply (rule conjI)
apply (simp add:addCostReal-def)
apply force
by force

lemma si-dom-sigma-si-dom-maxCost-sigma:
  [ length xs = length ys;
     $\forall i < \text{length } xs. \text{build-si } E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f) \in \text{dom } (\text{AbstractSigmaSpaceCost } (xs! i))$ 
     $\implies \text{build-si } E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f) \in$ 
       $\text{dom } (\text{foldr maxCost } (\text{map } (\lambda(x, y). \text{addCostReal } x y) (\text{zip } (\text{map AbstractSigmaSpaceCost } xs) (\text{map num-r } ys)))) \sqcup_0$ 
  apply (frule all-i-si-in-dom-sigma-si-in-dom-maxCost-sigma)
  by (subst dom-maxCostSigmaList,simp)

lemma maxAbstractSigmaSpaceCost-ge-AbstractSigmaSpaceCost [rule-format]:
  length assert = length alts
   $\longrightarrow (\forall i < \text{length assert}. \text{defined-bound } (\text{AbstractSigmaSpaceCost } (\text{assert ! } i)))$ 
   $\longrightarrow (\forall i < \text{length assert}. \text{the } (\text{AbstractSigmaSpaceCost } (\text{assert ! } i) (\text{build-si } E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f)))$ 
     $\leq \text{the } (\bigsqcup c \text{ map } (\lambda(x, y). \text{addCostReal } x y) (\text{zip } (\text{map AbstractSigmaSpaceCost assert}) (\text{map num-r } alts))) (\text{build-si } E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f)))$ 
  apply (induct assert alts rule: list-induct2',simp-all)
  applyclarsimp
  apply (drule mp,force)
  apply (simp add: maxCostList-def)
  apply (simp add: maxCost-def)
  apply (rule conjI)
  apply (rule impI,clarsimp)
  apply (case-tac i,simp)
  apply (simp add: addCostReal-def)
  apply (split split-if-asm,simp)
  apply (subgoal-tac num-r (ab,ba) >= 0,simp)
  apply (simp add: num-r-def)
  apply simp
  apply simp
  apply (erule-tac x=nat in allE,simp)
  apply (erule-tac x=nat in allE,simp)
  applyclarsimp
  apply (drule mp)
  apply (erule-tac x=0 in allE,simp)
  apply (simp add: defined-bound-def)

```

```

apply (erule-tac  $x = \text{build-si } E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f)$  in alle)
apply (subst (asm) length-build-si,simp)
apply (simp add: addCostReal-def)
apply (simp add: dom-def)
apply (subgoal-tac
  build-si  $E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f) \in$ 
  dom (foldr maxCost (map ( $\lambda(x, y). \text{addCostReal } x y$ ) (zip (map AbstractSigmaSpaceCost  $xs$ ) (map num-r  $ys$ )))) [] $\varnothing$ ),simp)
apply (rule si-dom-sigma-si-dom-maxCost-sigma,assumption+)
apply (rule all-i-defined-bound-all-si-in-dom-sigma)
by force

```

lemma *maxAbstractSigmaSpaceCost-ge-AbstractSigmaSpaceCost-2* [rule-format]:
length assert = length alts
 $\longrightarrow (\forall i < \text{length assert}. \text{defined-bound} (\text{AbstractSigmaSpaceCost} (\text{assert ! } i)))$
 $f)$
 $\longrightarrow (\forall i < \text{length assert}. \text{the} (\text{AbstractSigmaSpaceCost} (\text{assert ! } i) (\text{build-si } E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f))) + \text{num-r} (\text{alts!}i))$
 $\leq \text{the} (\bigsqcup_c \text{map} (\lambda(x, y). \text{addCostReal } x y) (\text{zip} (\text{map AbstractSigmaSpaceCost assert}) (\text{map num-r alts}))) (\text{build-si } E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f)))$
apply (*induct assert alts rule: list-induct2',simp-all*)
apply *clarsimp*
apply (*drule mp,force*)
apply (*simp add: maxCostList-def*)
apply (*simp add: maxCost-def*)
apply (*rule conjI*)
apply (*rule impI,clarsimp*)
apply (*case-tac i,simp*)
apply (*simp add: addCostReal-def*)
apply (*split split-if-asm,simp*)
apply *simp*
apply *force*
apply *clarsimp*
apply (*drule mp*)
apply (*erule-tac* $x=0$ **in** *alle,simp*)
apply (*simp add: defined-bound-def*)
apply (*erule-tac* $x = \text{build-si } E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f)$ **in** *alle*)
apply (*subst* (*asm*) *length-build-si,simp*)
apply (*simp add: addCostReal-def*)
apply (*simp add: dom-def*)
apply (*subgoal-tac*
build-si $E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f) \in$
dom (*foldr maxCost* (*map* ($\lambda(x, y). \text{addCostReal } x y$) (*zip* (*map AbstractSigmaSpaceCost* xs) (*map num-r* ys)))) [] \varnothing),*simp*)
apply (*rule si-dom-sigma-si-dom-maxCost-sigma,assumption+*)
apply (*rule all-i-defined-bound-all-si-in-dom-sigma*)

by force

lemma *P*- σ -Case-Lit:

```

 $\llbracket i < \text{length } \text{assert}; \text{length } \text{assert} = \text{length } \text{alts};$ 
 $(\forall i < \text{length } \text{assert}. \text{defined-bound } (\text{AbstractSigmaSpaceCost } (\text{assert} ! i)) f);$ 
 $\text{sigma-ge } (\text{AbstractSigmaSpaceCost } (\text{assert} ! i)) (\text{build-si } E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f))$ 
 $s \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{sigma-ge } \bigsqcup_c \text{map } (\lambda(x, y). \text{addCostReal } x y) (\text{zip } (\text{map AbstractSigmaSpaceCost assert}) (\text{map num-r alts})) (\text{build-si } E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f)) s$ 
apply (simp add: sigma-ge-def)
apply (subgoal-tac
  the (AbstractSigmaSpaceCost (assert ! i) (build-si E1 h (varsAPP Sigma d f)))
  ≤ the ((\bigsqcup_c map (\lambda(x, y). addCostReal x y) (zip (map AbstractSigmaSpaceCost assert) (map num-r alts))) (build-si E1 h (varsAPP Sigma d f))))
  apply force
apply (rule maxAbstractSigmaSpaceCost-ge-AbstractSigmaSpaceCost, assumption+
  simp)
by simp

```

lemma *AbstractSigmaSpaceCost*-Case-equals-*AbstractSigmaSpaceCost*-alt:

```

 $\llbracket \text{set } (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f) \subseteq \text{dom } E1;$ 
 $\text{def-extend } E1 (\text{snd } (\text{extractP } (\text{fst } (\text{alts} ! i)))) \text{ vs } \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{the } (\text{AbstractSigmaSpaceCost } (\text{assert} ! i) (\text{build-si } (\text{extend } E1 (\text{snd } (\text{extractP } (\text{fst } (\text{alts} ! i)))) \text{ vs }) h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f)))$ 
 $= \text{the } (\text{AbstractSigmaSpaceCost } (\text{assert} ! i) (\text{build-si } E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f)))$ 
by (subst build-si-Case-ge-build-si-alt-2, simp, simp, simp)

```

lemma *P*- σ -Case:

```

 $\llbracket i < \text{length } \text{assert}; \text{length } \text{assert} = \text{length } \text{alts};$ 
 $s = s' + nr; nr = \text{real } (\text{length } \text{vs});$ 
 $\text{set } (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f) \subseteq \text{dom } E1;$ 
 $\text{def-extend } E1 (\text{snd } (\text{extractP } (\text{fst } (\text{alts} ! i)))) \text{ vs };$ 
 $(\forall i < \text{length } \text{assert}. \text{defined-bound } (\text{AbstractSigmaSpaceCost } (\text{assert} ! i)) f);$ 
 $\text{sigma-ge } (\text{AbstractSigmaSpaceCost } (\text{assert} ! i)) (\text{build-si } (\text{extend } E1 (\text{snd } (\text{extractP } (\text{fst } (\text{alts} ! i)))) \text{ vs }) h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f)) s' \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{sigma-ge } \bigsqcup_c \text{map } (\lambda(x, y). \text{addCostReal } x y) (\text{zip } (\text{map AbstractSigmaSpaceCost assert}) (\text{map num-r alts})) (\text{build-si } E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f)) s$ 
apply (simp add: sigma-ge-def)
apply (frule-tac
  ?E1.0=E1 and h =h
  in maxAbstractSigmaSpaceCost-ge-AbstractSigmaSpaceCost-2, force, simp)
apply (subgoal-tac num-r (alts ! i) = real (length vs))
apply (subgoal-tac
  the (AbstractSigmaSpaceCost (assert ! i) (build-si (extend E1 (snd (extractP (fst (alts ! i)))) vs) h (varsAPP Sigma d f))) =
  the (AbstractSigmaSpaceCost (assert ! i) (build-si E1 h (varsAPP Sigma d f))))

```

```

apply simp
apply (rule AbstractSigmaSpaceCost-Case-equals-AbstractSigmaSpaceCost-alt,assumption+)
apply (simp add: num-r-def)
by (simp add: def-extend-def)

```

lemma P1-f-n-CASE:

```

 $\llbracket E1 \ x = \text{Some} \ (\text{ValLoc} \ p);$ 
 $\quad \text{SafeDepthSemanticsReal.SafeBoundSem} \ (E1, E2) \ h \ k \ td \ (\text{Case} \ \text{VarE} \ x \ () \ \text{Of}$ 
 $\quad \text{alts} \ ()) \ (f, n) \ hh \ k \ v \ (\delta, m, s) \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \exists \ j \ C \ vs \ nr \ s'.$ 
 $\quad h \ p = \text{Some} \ (j, C, vs) \wedge$ 
 $\quad s = (s' + nr) \wedge$ 
 $\quad nr = \text{real} \ (\text{length} \ vs) \wedge$ 
 $\quad (\exists \ i < \text{length} \ \text{alts}.$ 
 $\quad \quad \text{def-extend} \ E1 \ (\text{snd} \ (\text{extractP} \ (\text{fst} \ (\text{alts} ! \ i)))) \ vs$ 
 $\quad \quad \wedge \ \text{SafeDepthSemanticsReal.SafeBoundSem} \ (\text{extend} \ E1 \ (\text{snd} \ (\text{extractP} \ (\text{fst} \ (\text{alts} ! \ i)))) \ vs, E2) \ h \ k \ (td + nr) \ (\text{snd} \ (\text{alts} ! \ i))$ 
 $\quad \quad \quad (f, n) \ hh \ k \ v \ (\delta, m, s')$ 
apply (simp add: SafeBoundSem-def)
apply (elim exE, elim conjE)
apply (erule SafeDepthSem.cases,simp-all)
by force

```

lemma P1-f-n-CASE-1-1:

```

 $\llbracket E1 \ x = \text{Some} \ (\text{IntT} \ n');$ 
 $\quad \text{SafeDepthSemanticsReal.SafeBoundSem} \ (E1, E2) \ h \ k \ td \ (\text{Case} \ \text{VarE} \ x \ () \ \text{Of}$ 
 $\quad \text{alts} \ ()) \ (f, n) \ hh \ k \ v \ (\delta, m, s) \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies (\exists \ i < \text{length} \ \text{alts}.$ 
 $\quad (\text{SafeDepthSemanticsReal.SafeBoundSem} \ (E1, E2) \ h \ k \ td \ (\text{snd} \ (\text{alts} ! \ i)) \ (f,$ 
 $\quad n) \ hh \ k \ v \ (\delta, m, s))$ 
 $\quad \wedge \ \text{fst} \ (\text{alts} ! \ i) = \text{ConstP} \ (\text{LitN} \ n')$ 
apply (simp add: SafeBoundSem-def)
apply (elim exE, elim conjE)
apply (erule SafeDepthSem.cases,simp-all)
by force

```

lemma P1-f-n-CASE-1-2:

```

 $\llbracket E1 \ x = \text{Some} \ (\text{BoolT} \ b);$ 
 $\quad \text{SafeDepthSemanticsReal.SafeBoundSem} \ (E1, E2) \ h \ k \ td \ (\text{Case} \ \text{VarE} \ x \ () \ \text{Of}$ 
 $\quad \text{alts} \ ()) \ (f, n) \ hh \ k \ v \ (\delta, m, s) \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies (\exists \ i < \text{length} \ \text{alts}.$ 
 $\quad (\text{SafeDepthSemanticsReal.SafeBoundSem} \ (E1, E2) \ h \ k \ td \ (\text{snd} \ (\text{alts} ! \ i)) \ (f,$ 
 $\quad n) \ hh \ k \ v \ (\delta, m, s))$ 
 $\quad \wedge \ \text{fst} \ (\text{alts} ! \ i) = \text{ConstP} \ (\text{LitB} \ b))$ 

```

```

apply (simp add: SafeBoundSem-def)
apply (elim exE, elim conjE)
apply (erule SafeDepthSem.cases,simp-all)
by force

```

lemma *nr-Case*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [\text{nr} = \text{real}(\text{length } vs); \text{def-extend } E1 (\text{snd}(\text{extractP}(\text{fst}(\text{alts} ! i)))) \text{ vs}] \\ & \implies td + nr = td + \text{num-r}(\text{alts} ! i) \end{aligned}$$

by (*simp add: num-r-def, simp add: def-extend-def*)

lemma *SafeResourcesDADeep-CASE*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [\text{length alts} = \text{length assert}; \text{length alts} > 0; \\ & (\forall i < \text{length assert}. \text{defined-AbstractDelta}(\text{AbstractDeltaSpaceCost}(\text{assert} ! i))) \\ & (\forall i < \text{length assert}. \text{monotonic-AbstractDelta}(\text{AbstractDeltaSpaceCost}(\text{assert} ! i))); \\ & (\forall i < \text{length assert}. \text{defined-bound}(\text{AbstractMuSpaceCost}(\text{assert} ! i)) f); \\ & (\forall i < \text{length assert}. \text{monotonic-bound}(\text{AbstractMuSpaceCost}(\text{assert} ! i))); \\ & (\forall i < \text{length assert}. \text{defined-bound}(\text{AbstractSigmaSpaceCost}(\text{assert} ! i)) f); \\ & (\forall i < \text{length assert}. \text{monotonic-bound}(\text{AbstractSigmaSpaceCost}(\text{assert} ! i))); \\ & \forall i < \text{length alts}. \\ & \text{snd}(\text{alts} ! i) :_f (\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2) \varphi (td + \text{real}(\text{length}(\text{snd}(\text{extractP}(\text{fst}(\text{alts} ! i)))))), n \\ & \{\text{AbstractDeltaSpaceCost}(\text{assert} ! i), \\ & \quad \text{AbstractMuSpaceCost}(\text{assert} ! i), \\ & \quad \text{AbstractSigmaSpaceCost}(\text{assert} ! i)\}; \\ & \Delta = \bigsqcup_{\Delta} f(\text{map AbstractDeltaSpaceCost assert}); \\ & \mu = \bigsqcup_c (\text{map AbstractMuSpaceCost assert}); \\ & \sigma = \bigsqcup_c (\text{map} (\lambda (\Delta, n). \text{addCostReal} \Delta n) (\text{zip} (\text{map AbstractSigmaSpaceCost assert}) (\text{map num-r alts}))))] \\ & \implies \text{Case}(\text{VarE } x \text{ a}) \text{ Of alts } a' :_f (\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2) \varphi td, n \ \{\Delta, \mu, \sigma\} \\ & \text{apply} (\text{simp only: SafeResourcesDAssDepth.simps}) \end{aligned}$$

```

apply (rule impI)
apply (subgoal-tac  $\forall i < \text{length alts}. \text{valid } \Sigma d \Sigma \vartheta \Sigma \Phi$ )
prefer 2 apply simp
apply simp

```

```

apply (rule conjI)
apply (rule P-static-dom- $\Delta$ -Case)
apply (simp,simp)

```

```

apply (intro allI, rule impI)

```

```

apply (elim conjE)
apply (case-tac E1 x)
apply (simp add: dom-def)
apply (case-tac a)
apply (rename-tac p,simp)
apply (frule P1-f-n-CASE,assumption)
apply (elim exE, elim conjE)
apply (elim exE, elim conjE)
apply (subgoal-tac
    insert (qself-f f) (set (R-Args Σt f))
     $= \text{dom } \bigsqcup_{\Delta} f \text{ map AbstractDeltaSpaceCost assert}$ 
    prefer 2 apply (rule P-static-dom-Δ-Case,simp)
        apply (rotate-tac 8)
        apply (erule-tac x=ia in allE,simp)
    apply (subgoal-tac
         $\forall i < \text{length assert.}$ 
        insert (qself-f f) (set (R-Args Σt f))  $= \text{dom } (\text{AbstractDeltaSpaceCost (assert ! i)})$ 
    prefer 2 apply force
    apply (rotate-tac 8)
    apply (erule-tac x=i in allE)
    apply (drule mp,simp)
    apply (elim conjE)
apply (erule-tac x=extend E1 (snd (extractP (fst (alts ! i)))) vs in allE)
apply (erule-tac x=E2 in allE)
apply (erule-tac x=h in allE)
apply (rotate-tac 30)
apply (erule-tac x=k in allE)
apply (erule-tac x=hh in allE)
apply (erule-tac x=v in allE)
apply (erule-tac x=δ in allE)
apply (erule-tac x=m in allE)
apply (erule-tac x=s' in allE)
apply (erule-tac x=η in allE)
apply (rotate-tac 19)
apply (drule mp)
apply (rule conjI)
apply (subst (asm) nr-Case,assumption+)+

```

```

apply (simp add: num-r-def)

apply (rule conjI, rule P-dyn-dom-E1-Case-ej,assumption+)
apply (rule conjI, rule P-dyn-fv-dom-E1-Case-ej,assumption+)
apply (rule conjI, rule P-dyn-fvReg-dom-E2-Case-ej,assumption+)
apply (rule conjI, simp)
apply simp

apply (rule conjI, rule P-Δ-Case)
apply (simp,assumption+,force,assumption+,force,simp,simp)

apply (rule conjI, rule P-μ-Case)
apply (simp,assumption+,force,assumption+,force,simp)

apply (rule P-σ-Case)
apply (simp,simp,simp,force,simp,assumption+,simp)

apply simp
apply (frule P1-f-n-CASE-1-1,assumption)
apply (elim exE, elim conjE)
apply (subgoal-tac
  insert (ρself-ff) (set (R-Args Σt f))
   $= \text{dom } \bigsqcup_{\Delta} f \text{ map AbstractDeltaSpaceCost assert}$ )
prefer 2 apply (rule P-static-dom-Δ-Case,simp)
  apply (rotate-tac 8)
  apply (erule-tac x=ia in alle,simp)
apply (subgoal-tac
   $\forall i < \text{length assert.}$ 
  insert (ρself-ff) (set (R-Args Σt f))  $= \text{dom } (\text{AbstractDeltaSpaceCost (assert ! i)})$ )
prefer 2 apply force
apply (rotate-tac 8)
apply (erule-tac x=i in alle)
apply (drule mp,simp)
apply (elim conjE)

apply (erule-tac x=E1 in alle)
apply (erule-tac x=E2 in alle)

```

```

apply (erule-tac x=h in allE)
apply (rotate-tac 27)
apply (erule-tac x=k in allE)
apply (erule-tac x=hh in allE)
apply (erule-tac x=v in allE)
apply (erule-tac x=δ in allE)
apply (erule-tac x=m in allE)
apply (erule-tac x=s in allE)
apply (erule-tac x=η in allE)
apply (rotate-tac 18)
apply (drule mp)
apply (rule conjI,simp)

apply (rule conjI,assumption)
apply (rule conjI, rule P-dyn-fv-dom-E1-Case-LitN-ej,assumption+)
apply (rule conjI, rule P-dyn-fvReg-dom-E2-Case-ej,assumption+)
apply (rule conjI,simp)
apply assumption

apply (rule conjI)
apply (rule P-Δ-Case-Lit,simp,assumption+,simp,simp)

apply (rule conjI, rule P-μ-Case-Lit,force,force,force)

apply (rule P-σ-Case-Lit,force,force,force,force)

apply simp
apply (frule P1-f-n-CASE-1-2,assumption)
apply (elim exE, elim conjE)
apply (subgoal-tac
      insert (ρself-ff) (set (R-Args Σt f))
      = dom ⋃ Δ f map AbstractDeltaSpaceCost assert)
prefer 2 apply (rule P-static-dom-Δ-Case,simp)
      apply (rotate-tac 8)
      apply (erule-tac x=ia in allE,simp)
apply (subgoal-tac
      ∀ i < length assert.

```

```

insert ( $\varrho_{self-f} f$ ) (set ( $R\text{-Args } \Sigma t f$ )) = dom ( $AbstractDeltaSpaceCost$  (assert
!  $i$ )))
prefer 2 apply force
apply (rotate-tac 8)
apply (erule-tac  $x=i$  in allE)
apply (drule mp,simp)
apply (elim conjE)

apply (erule-tac  $x=E1$  in allE)
apply (erule-tac  $x=E2$  in allE)
apply (erule-tac  $x=h$  in allE)
apply (rotate-tac 27)
apply (erule-tac  $x=k$  in allE)
apply (erule-tac  $x=hh$  in allE)
apply (erule-tac  $x=v$  in allE)
apply (erule-tac  $x=\delta$  in allE)
apply (erule-tac  $x=m$  in allE)
apply (erule-tac  $x=s$  in allE)
apply (erule-tac  $x=\eta$  in allE)
apply (rotate-tac 18)
apply (drule mp)
apply (rule conjI,simp)

apply (rule conjI,simp)
apply (rule conjI, rule P-dyn-fv-dom-E1-Case-LitB-ej,assumption+)
apply (rule conjI, rule P-dyn-fvReg-dom-E2-Case-ej,assumption+)
apply (rule conjI, simp)
apply simp

apply (rule conjI)
apply (rule P- $\Delta$ -Case-Lit,simp,assumption+,simp,simp)

apply (rule conjI, rule P- $\mu$ -Case-Lit,force,force,force)

apply (rule P- $\sigma$ -Case-Lit,force,force,force,force)
done

```

```

declare atom.simps [simp del]
declare consistent.simps [simp del]
declare SafeResourcesDAssDepth.simps [simp del]
declare valid-def [simp del]
declare SafeResourcesDAss.simps [simp del]
declare argP.simps [simp del]
declare η-ef-def [simp del]

```

axioms *equals-projection*:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{projection } f \Delta' &= \text{projection } f \Delta \\ \implies \Delta' &= \Delta \end{aligned}$$

lemma lemma-19-aux [rule-format]:

$$\begin{aligned} \models \Sigma b \\ \longrightarrow \Sigma b g &= \text{Some } (\text{projection } g \Delta g, \mu g, \sigma g) \\ \longrightarrow \Sigma d g &= \text{Some } (xs, rs, eg) \\ \longrightarrow (\text{bodyAPP } \Sigma d g) :_g &(\text{typesAPP } \Sigma \vartheta g) (\text{sizesAPP } \Sigma \Phi g) \text{ real}(length (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d g)) + \text{length } (\text{regionsAPP } \Sigma d g) \\ \{ \Delta g, \mu g, \sigma g \} \\ \text{apply (rule impI)} \\ \text{apply (erule ValidGlobalResourcesEnv.induct)} \\ \text{apply simp} \\ \text{apply (rule impI)+} \\ \text{apply (case-tac } g=f) \\ \\ \text{apply (simp add: typeResAPP-def regionsArgAPP-def typesArgAPP-def)} \\ \text{apply (elim conjE, frule equals-projection, simp)} \\ \\ \text{by (simp add: typeResAPP-def regionsArgAPP-def typesArgAPP-def)} \end{aligned}$$

lemma equiv-SafeResourcesDAss-all-n-SafeResourcesDAssDepth:

$$\begin{aligned} e :_f &(\text{typesAPP } \Sigma \vartheta f) (\text{sizesAPP } \Sigma \Phi f) \text{ td } \{\Delta, \mu, \sigma\} \\ \implies \forall n. \text{SafeResourcesDAssDepth } e f &(\text{typesAPP } \Sigma \vartheta f) (\text{sizesAPP } \Sigma \Phi f) \text{ td } n \\ \Delta \mu \sigma \\ \text{apply (simp only: typesAPP-def)} \\ \text{apply (simp only: SafeResourcesDAss.simps)} \\ \text{apply (simp only: SafeResourcesDAssDepth.simps)} \\ \text{apply clar simp} \\ \text{apply (simp only: SafeBoundSem-def)} \\ \text{apply (simp add: Let-def)} \\ \text{apply (elim exE)} \\ \text{apply (elim conjE)} \\ \text{apply (erule-tac } x=E1 \text{ in alle)} \\ \text{apply (erule-tac } x=E2 \text{ in alle)} \\ \text{apply (erule-tac } x=h \text{ in alle)} \end{aligned}$$

```

apply (erule-tac  $x=k$  in allE)
apply (erule-tac  $x=hh$  in allE)
apply (erule-tac  $x=v$  in allE)
apply (erule-tac  $x=\delta$  in allE)
apply (erule-tac  $x=m$  in allE)
apply (erule-tac  $x=s$  in allE)
apply (erule-tac  $x=\eta$  in allE)
apply (frule-tac  $td=td$  in eqSemDepthRA)
apply (drule mp,force)
by simp

```

lemma lemma-19 [rule-format]:

```

ValidGlobalResourcesEnvDepth f n  $\Sigma b$ 
→  $\Sigma d g = \text{Some } (xs, rs, eg)$ 
→  $\Sigma b g = \text{Some } (\text{projection } g \Delta g, \mu g, \sigma g)$ 
→  $g \neq f$ 
→ SafeResourcesDAss eg g (typesAPP  $\Sigma \vartheta$  g) (sizesAPP  $\Sigma \Phi$  g) (real (length xs) + real (length rs))  $\Delta g \mu g \sigma g$ 
apply (rule impI)
apply (erule ValidGlobalResourcesEnvDepth.induct)

```

```

apply (rule impI)+
apply (frule lemma-19-aux,force,force)
apply (simp add: bodyAPP-def varsAPP-def regionsAPP-def)

```

```

apply (rule impI)+
apply (frule lemma-19-aux, simp add: fun-upd-apply, force)
apply (subst (asm) fun-upd-apply, simp)
apply (simp add: bodyAPP-def varsAPP-def regionsAPP-def)

```

```

apply (rule impI)+
apply (frule lemma-19-aux, simp add: fun-upd-apply, force)
apply (subst (asm) fun-upd-apply, simp)
apply (simp add: bodyAPP-def varsAPP-def regionsAPP-def)

```

```

apply (case-tac ga=g,simp-all)
apply (rule impI)+
apply (simp add: bodyAPP-def varsAPP-def regionsAPP-def)
apply (elim conjE, frule equals-projection,simp)
done

```

```

lemma lemma-20 [rule-format]:
  ValidGlobalResourcesEnvDepth f n Σb
  —→ Σd f = Some (xs,rs,ef)
  —→ Σb f = Some (projection f Δf,μf,σf)
  —→ n = Suc n'
  —→ SafeResourcesDAssDepth ef f (typesAPP Σθ f) (sizesAPP ΣΦ f) (real
  (length xs) + real (length rs)) n' Δf μf σf
apply (rule impI)
apply (erule ValidGlobalResourcesEnvDepth.induct)

apply (rule impI)+
apply (frule lemma-19-aux, simp add: fun-upd-apply, force)
apply (frule equiv-SafeResourcesDAss-all-n-SafeResourcesDAssDepth)
apply (simp add: bodyAPP-def varsAPP-def regionsAPP-def)

apply simp

apply (rule impI)+
apply (simp add: bodyAPP-def varsAPP-def regionsAPP-def)
apply (elim conjE, frule equals-projection,simp)

apply (rule impI)+
apply simp
done

```

```

lemma P1-f-n-APP:
  [(E1, E2) ⊢ h, k, td, AppE f as rs' a ↴(f,n) hh , k , v, (δ,m,s); primops f = None;
   Σd f = Some (xs, rs, ef)]]
  —⇒ ∃ h' δ' s'.
  (map-of (zip xs (map (atom2val E1) as)), map-of (zip rs (map (the o E2)
  rs'))(self ↦ Suc k)) ⊢
  h, (Suc k), (real (length as) + real (length rs')), ef ↴(f,n) h', (Suc k),
  v, (δ',m,s')
  ∧ s = max( real (length xs) + real (length rs)) ((s'+ real (length xs))+real
  (length rs) - td)
  ∧ δ = δ'(k+1:=None)
  ∧ length xs = length as

```

```

 $\wedge \text{distinct } xs$ 
 $\wedge \text{length } rs = \text{length } rs'$ 
 $\wedge \text{distinct } rs$ 
 $\wedge hh = h' |` \{p. p \in \text{dom } h' \& \text{fst } (\text{the } (h' p)) \leq k\}$ 
 $\wedge \text{dom } E1 \cap \text{set } xs = \{\}$ 
 $\wedge n > 0$ 
apply (simp add: SafeBoundSem-def)
apply (elim exE, elim conjE)
apply (erule SafeDepthSem.cases,simp-all)
apply clar simp
apply (rule-tac x=h' in exI)
apply (rule-tac x=δ in exI)
apply (rule-tac x=s in exI)
apply (rule conjI)
apply (rule-tac x=nfa in exI)
apply (rule conjI)
apply force
apply force
by force

```

lemma *P1-f-n-APP-2:*

```

 $\llbracket (E1, E2) \vdash h , k , td, \text{AppE } g \text{ as } rs' a \Downarrow(f,n) hh , k , v, (\delta,m,s); \text{primops } g =$ 
 $\text{None}; f \neq g;$ 
 $\Sigma d g = \text{Some } (xs, rs, eg) \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \exists h' \delta' s' .$ 
 $(\text{map-of } (\text{zip } xs (\text{map } (\text{atom2val } E1) as)), \text{map-of } (\text{zip } rs (\text{map } (\text{the } \circ E2)$ 
 $rs')))(\text{self} \mapsto \text{Suc } k) \vdash$ 
 $h, (\text{Suc } k), (\text{real } (\text{length } as) + \text{real } (\text{length } rs')), eg \Downarrow(f,n) h', (\text{Suc } k),$ 
 $v, (\delta',m,s')$ 
 $\wedge s = \text{max}(\text{real } (\text{length } xs) + \text{real } (\text{length } rs)) ((s' + \text{real } (\text{length } xs)) + \text{real}$ 
 $(\text{length } rs) - td)$ 
 $\wedge \delta = \delta'(k+1:=\text{None})$ 
 $\wedge \text{length } xs = \text{length } as$ 
 $\wedge \text{distinct } xs$ 
 $\wedge \text{length } rs = \text{length } rs'$ 
 $\wedge \text{distinct } rs$ 
 $\wedge hh = h' |` \{p. p \in \text{dom } h' \& \text{fst } (\text{the } (h' p)) \leq k\}$ 
 $\wedge \text{dom } E1 \cap \text{set } xs = \{\}$ 
apply (simp add: SafeBoundSem-def)
apply (elim exE, elim conjE)
apply (erule SafeDepthSem.cases,simp-all)
apply clar simp
apply (rule-tac x=h' in exI)
apply (rule-tac x=δ in exI)
apply (rule-tac x=s in exI)
apply (rule conjI)
apply (rule-tac x=nfa in exI)
apply (rule conjI)

```

apply force
apply force
by force

lemma *P1-f-n-ge-0-APP*:
 $\llbracket (E1, E2) \vdash h , k , td, AppE f as rs' a \Downarrow(f, Suc n) hh , k , v, (\delta, m, s); primops f = None;$
 $\Sigma d f = Some (xs, rs, ef) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \exists h' \delta' s'.$
 $(map-of (zip xs (map (atom2val E1) as)), map-of (zip rs (map (the \circ E2) rs'))(self \mapsto Suc k)) \vdash$
 $h , Suc k , (real (length as) + real (length rs')), ef \Downarrow(f, n) h' , Suc k , v, (\delta', m, s')$
 $\wedge s = max(real (length xs) + real (length rs)) ((s' + real (length xs)) + real (length rs) - td)$
 $\wedge \delta = \delta'(k+1 := None)$
 $\wedge length xs = length as$
 $\wedge distinct xs$
 $\wedge length rs = length rs'$
 $\wedge distinct rs$
 $\wedge hh = h' |` \{p. p \in dom h' \& fst (the (h' p)) \leq k\}$
 $\wedge dom E1 \cap set xs = \{\}$
apply (*simp add: SafeBoundSem-def*)
apply (*elim exE, elim conjE*)
apply (*erule SafeDepthSem.cases, simp-all*)
apply *clarisimp*
apply (*rule-tac x=h' in exI*)
apply (*rule-tac x=\delta in exI*)
apply (*rule-tac x=s in exI*)
apply (*rule conjI*)
apply (*rule-tac x=nfa in exI*)
apply (*rule conjI*)
apply force
apply force
by force

lemma *dom-map-of-zip*:
 $length xs = length ys$
 $\implies set xs \subseteq dom (map-of (zip xs ys))$
apply (*induct xs ys rule:list-induct2', simp-all*)
by blast

```

lemma xs-in-dom-f:
   $\llbracket \text{length } xs = \text{length } ys; (\forall i < \text{length } ys. f(xs!i) = g(ys!i)); \text{set } ys \subseteq \text{dom } g \rrbracket \implies \forall i < \text{length } xs. (xs!i) \in \text{dom } f$ 
by force

```

```

lemma nth-in-dom-map:
   $\text{set } xs \subseteq \text{dom } m \implies \forall i < \text{length } xs. xs!i \in \text{dom } m$ 
by force

```

```

lemma set-xs-subseteq-dom-m:
   $\llbracket x \in \text{set } xs; (\forall i < \text{length } xs. xs ! i \in \text{dom } m) \rrbracket \implies x \in \text{dom } m$ 
by (subst (asm) in-set-conv-nth,force)

```

```

lemma dom-instance-f:
   $\text{dom}(\text{instance-ff } \Delta g \varphi \text{ as } rs \Phi) = (\text{set}(R\text{-Args } \Sigma t f)) \cup \{\varrho_{self-ff}\}$ 
apply (simp add: instance-f-def)
apply (rule equalityI)
apply (rule subsetI)
apply (simp add: instance-f-def)
apply clarsimp
apply (rule conjI)
apply clarsimp
by clarsimp

```

```

lemma dom-η-ef:
   $\llbracket \Sigma d g = \text{Some}(xs, rs, eg); \text{fvReg}(\text{AppE } g \text{ as } rs'()) \subseteq \text{dom } E2; \text{SafeDepthSemanticsReal.SafeBoundSem}(E1, E2) h k td(\text{AppE } g \text{ as } rs'()) (f, n) hh k v(\delta, m, s); \text{valid } \Sigma d \Sigma \vartheta \Sigma \Phi; \text{admissible } f \eta k; f \in \text{dom } \Sigma d; \text{argP } \varphi(R\text{-Args } \Sigma t g) (\text{typesRegsAPP } \Sigma \vartheta f) rs \rrbracket \implies \text{dom}(\eta\text{-ef } \eta \varphi(R\text{-Args } \Sigma t g) ++ [\varrho_{self-ff} g \mapsto \text{Suc } k]) = \text{set}(R\text{-Args } \Sigma t g) \cup \{\varrho_{self-ff}\}$ 
apply (simp add: argP.simps)
apply (simp add: valid-def)
apply (erule-tac x=f in ballE)

```

```

prefer 2 apply force
apply (simp only: typesAPP-def)
apply (simp add: valid-f.simps)
apply (elim conjE)
apply (erule-tac x=AppE g as rs' in allE)
apply (elim conjE,simp)
apply (erule-tac x=E1 in allE)
apply (erule-tac x=E2 in allE)
apply (erule-tac x=h in allE)
apply (rotate-tac 13)
apply (erule-tac x=k in allE)
apply (erule-tac x=td in allE)
apply (erule-tac x=hh in allE)
apply (erule-tac x=v in allE)
apply (erule-tac x=δ in allE)
apply (erule-tac x=m in allE)
apply (erule-tac x=s in allE)
apply (erule-tac x=η in allE)
apply (drule mp, simp)
apply (subst eqSemRABound,force)
apply (elim conjE)
apply (simp add: consistent.simps)
apply (elim conjE)
apply (rule equalityI)
apply (rule subsetI)
apply (simp add: η-ef-def)
apply force
apply (rule subsetI)
apply (simp add: η-ef-def,clarsimp)
apply (frule xs-in-dom-f,simp,simp)
apply (frule set-xs-subseteq-dom-m, simp)
apply (subst (asm) in-set-conv-nth)
apply (elim exE)
apply (rotate-tac 5)
apply (erule-tac x=i in allE)
apply (drule mp,simp,simp)
apply (rotate-tac 7)
apply (erule-tac x=rs'!i in ballE)
apply (elim exE, elim conjE)+
apply simp
by (frule nth-in-dom-map,simp)

```

lemma *P-dyn-xs-subseteq-dom-E1-g*:

```

 $\llbracket \Sigma d g = \text{Some } (xs, rs, eg); fv eg \subseteq \text{set } xs;$ 
 $\forall i < \text{length } as. \text{atom } (as ! i); \text{length } xs = \text{length } as \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{set } (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d g) \cup fv eg \subseteq \text{dom } (\text{map-of } (\text{zip } xs (\text{map } (\text{atom2val } E1) as)))$ 
 $\text{apply } (\text{subgoal-tac } \text{length } xs = \text{length } (\text{map } (\text{atom2val } E1) as))$ 
 $\text{prefer 2 apply } (\text{induct } xs, \text{simp}, \text{clar simp})$ 
 $\text{apply } (\text{frule-tac } ys = (\text{map } (\text{atom2val } E1) as) \text{ in } \text{dom-map-of-zip})$ 
 $\text{by } (\text{simp add: } \text{varsAPP-def})$ 

```

lemma *P-dyn-fvReg-eg-subseteq-dom-E2-g*:

$$\llbracket fvReg eg \subseteq \text{set } rs; \text{length } rs = \text{length } rs';$$

$$\text{set } rs' \subseteq \text{dom } E2 \rrbracket$$
 $\implies fvReg eg \subseteq \text{dom } (\text{map-of } (\text{zip } rs (\text{map } (\text{the } \circ E2) rs'))(\text{self } \mapsto \text{Suc } k))$
 $\text{apply } (\text{subgoal-tac } \text{set } rs \subseteq \text{dom } (\text{map-of } (\text{zip } rs (\text{map } (\text{the } \circ E2) rs'))), \text{simp})$
 apply blast
 $\text{by } (\text{rule dom-map-of-zip}, \text{simp})$

lemma *P-dyn-dom-η-ef*:

$$\llbracket \Sigma d g = \text{Some } (xs, rs, eg); fvReg (\text{AppE } g \text{ as } rs' ()) \subseteq \text{dom } E2;$$

$$\text{SafeDepthSemanticsReal.SafeBoundSem } (E1, E2) h k td (\text{AppE } g \text{ as } rs' ())$$

$$(f, n) hh k v (\delta, m, s);$$

$$\text{valid } \Sigma d \Sigma \vartheta \Sigma \Phi; \text{argP } \psi (\text{R-Args } \Sigma t g) (\text{typesRegsAPP } \Sigma \vartheta f) rs';$$

$$\text{admissible } f \eta k; f \in \text{dom } \Sigma d;$$

$$\text{set } (\text{R-Args } \Sigma t g) \cup \{\varrho_{\text{self-}f} g\} = \text{dom } \Delta g;$$

$$\text{dom } (\text{instance-}f g \Delta g \psi (\text{sizesAPP } \Sigma \Phi f) as) = \text{dom } \eta \rrbracket$$
 $\implies \text{dom } \Delta g = \text{dom } (\eta\text{-ef } \eta \psi (\text{R-Args } \Sigma t g) ++ [\varrho_{\text{self-}f} g \mapsto \text{Suc } k])$
 $\text{by } (\text{subst dom-}\eta\text{-ef}, \text{assumption+}, \text{simp})$

lemma *P-η-ef*:

$$\llbracket \text{admissible } f \eta k \rrbracket$$
 $\implies \text{admissible } g (\eta\text{-ef } \eta \varphi (\text{R-Args } \Sigma t g) ++ [\varrho_{\text{self-}f} g \mapsto \text{Suc } k]) (\text{Suc } k)$
 $\text{apply } (\text{simp only: } \text{admissible-def})$
 $\text{apply } (\text{rule conjI}, \text{simp})$
 $\text{apply } (\text{elim conjE})$
 $\text{apply } (\text{rule ballI}, \text{simp})$
 $\text{apply } (\text{rule impI}, \text{simp})$
 $\text{apply } (\text{erule-tac } x = \text{the } (\varphi \varrho) \text{ in } \text{ballE})$

```

apply (elim exE, elim conjE)
apply (simp add: η-ef-def)
apply force
by (simp add: η-ef-def, simp add: dom-def)

lemma length-phiMapping-equals-length-as:
   $\llbracket \text{atom2var} ` \text{set as} \subseteq \text{dom } \Phi \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \text{length} (\text{phiMapping-app} (\text{map } \Phi (\text{map atom2var as})) \text{ si}) = \text{length as}$ 
by (induct as,simp,clarsimp)

lemma length-build-si:
   $\text{length} (\text{build-si } E1 h xs) = \text{length xs}$ 
by (induct xs,simp-all)

lemma valid-fv-APP-subseteq-Φ:
   $\llbracket \text{SafeDepthSemanticsReal.SafeBoundSem} (E1, E2) h k td (\text{AppE } g \text{ as } rs' ()) (f,$ 
 $n) hh k v (\delta, m, s);$ 
   $\text{valid } \Sigma d \Sigma \vartheta \Sigma \Phi; f \in \text{dom } \Sigma d \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \text{fv} (\text{AppE } g \text{ as } rs' ()) \subseteq \text{dom} (\text{sizesAPP } \Sigma \Phi f)$ 
apply (simp add: valid-def)
apply (erule-tac x=f in ballE)
apply (simp add: typesAPP-def add: valid-f.simps)
apply (elim conjE)
apply (erule-tac x=AppE g as rs' in allE,simp)
by force

lemma as-subseteq-dom-Φ [rule-format]:
   $fvs' as \subseteq \text{dom } \Phi$ 
   $\longrightarrow (\forall i < \text{length as}. \text{atom} (as ! i))$ 
   $\longrightarrow \text{atom2var} ` \text{set as} \subseteq \text{dom } \Phi$ 
apply (rule impI)
apply (induct as,simp,clarsimp)
apply (drule mp,force,simp)
apply (erule-tac x=0 in allE,simp)
apply (simp add: atom.simps)
by (case-tac a, simp-all)

lemma nth-map-of-xs-atom2val:
   $\llbracket \text{length } xs = \text{length as};$ 
   $\text{distinct } xs \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \forall i < \text{length } xs.$ 
   $\text{map-of} (\text{zip } xs (\text{map} (\text{atom2val } E1) as)) (xs!i) =$ 
   $\text{Some} (\text{atom2val } E1 (as!i))$ 
applyclarsimp
apply (induct xs as rule: list-induct2',simp-all)

```

by (case-tac i,simp,clarsimp)

```

lemma nth-atom2val-in-dom-E:
   $\llbracket \text{atom2var} \setminus \text{set as} \subseteq \text{dom } E1;$ 
   $i < \text{length as}; as ! i = \text{VarE } x \ a \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \text{Some}(\text{atom2val } E1 \ (as ! i)) = E1 \ x$ 
apply (subgoal-tac i < length (map atom2var as))
apply (frule-tac xs=(map atom2var as) in nth-mem,simp)
apply (subgoal-tac x ∈ dom E1)
apply (frule domD,elim exE,simp)
apply blast
by (induct as, simp, simp)

```

```

lemma nth-build-si:
   $i < \text{length } xs$ 
   $\implies \text{build-si } E1 \ h \ xs!i = \text{sizeEnv } E1 \ (xs!i) \ h$ 
apply (induct xs arbitrary:i, simp-all)
by (case-tac i,simp-all)

```

```

lemma sizeEnv-equals-build-si-i:
   $\llbracket \text{length } xs = \text{length as}; \text{distinct } xs; (\forall i < \text{length as}. \text{ atom } (as ! i));$ 
   $\Sigma d \ g = \text{Some}(xs, rs, eg); i < \text{length as}; \text{atom2var} \setminus \text{set as} \subseteq \text{dom } E1 \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \text{sizeEnv } E1 \ (\text{map atom2var as ! i}) \ h$ 
   $= \text{build-si } (\text{map-of } (\text{zip } xs \ (\text{map } (\text{atom2val } E1) \ as))) \ h \ (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d \ g) ! i$ 
apply (simp add: varsAPP-def)
apply (subst nth-build-si,simp)
apply (frule-tac ?E1.0=E1 in nth-map-of-xs-atom2val,simp)
apply (erule-tac x=i in allE)
apply (drule mp,simp)
apply (erule-tac x=i in allE)
apply (drule mp,simp)
apply (simp add: atom.simps)
apply (case-tac as!i,simp-all)
apply (frule-tac ?E1.0=E1 in nth-map-of-xs-atom2val,simp)
apply (erule-tac x=i in allE)
apply (drule mp,simp)
apply (subst (asm) nth-atom2val-in-dom-E,assumption+)
apply (subgoal-tac sizeEnv (map-of (zip xs (map (atom2val E1) as))) (xs ! i) h
  =
    sizeEnv E1 list h,simp)
by (simp add: sizeEnv-def)

```

```

lemma fv-as-in-dom-E1 [rule-format]:
   $fvs' \ as \subseteq \text{dom } E1$ 
   $\longrightarrow (\forall i < \text{length as}. \text{ atom } (as ! i))$ 

```

```

→ atom2var ‘ set as ⊆ dom E1
apply (rule impI)
apply (induct as,simp,clar simp)
apply (drule mp,force,simp)
apply (erule-tac x=0 in allE,simp)
apply (simp add: atom.simps)
by (case-tac a, simp-all)

lemma nth-phiMapping-app:
set ys ⊆ dom φ
⇒ ∀ i < length ys. phiMapping-app (map φ ys) si ! i =
the (the (φ (ys!i)) si)
apply (rule allI, rule impI)
apply (induct ys arbitrary: i, simp-all)
apply (elim conjE)
apply (frule domD, elim exE,simp)
by (case-tac i,simp,simp)

lemma list-ge-phiMapping-app-build-si:
[ ( ∀ i < length as. atom (as!i)); length xs = length as; distinct xs;
fv (AppE g as rs' a) ⊆ dom E1;
SafeDepthSemanticsReal.SafeBoundSem (E1, E2) h k td (AppE g as rs' ()) (f,
n) hh k v (δ, m, s);
admissible f η k; f ∈ dom Σd;
Σd g = Some (xs,rs,eg); valid Σd Σθ ΣΦ ]
⇒ list-ge (phiMapping-app (map (sizesAPP ΣΦ f) (map atom2var as)) (build-si
E1 h (varsAPP Σd f)))
(build-si (map-of (zip xs (map (atom2val E1) as))) h
(varsAPP Σd g))
apply (simp add: list-ge-def)
apply (rule allI, rule impI)
apply (simp only: valid-def)
apply (erule-tac x=f in ballE)
prefer 2 apply force
apply (simp add: typesAPP-def)
apply (simp add: valid-f.simps)
apply (elim conjE)
apply (erule-tac x=AppE g as rs' in allE)
apply (elim conjE,simp)
apply (erule-tac x=E1 in alle)
apply (erule-tac x=E2 in alle)
apply (erule-tac x=h in allE)
apply (rotate-tac 15)
apply (erule-tac x=k in allE)
apply (erule-tac x=td in allE)
apply (erule-tac x=hh in allE)
apply (erule-tac x=v in allE)

```

```

apply (erule-tac x=δ in allE)
apply (erule-tac x=m in allE)
apply (erule-tac x=s in allE)
apply (rotate-tac 15)
apply (erule-tac x=η in allE)
apply (drule mp,simp)
apply (subst eqSemRABound,force)
apply (elim conjE)
apply (frule-tac Φ=(sizesAPP ΣΦ f) in as-subseteq-dom-Φ,simp)
apply (frule-tac Φ=(sizesAPP ΣΦ f) and
      si=(build-si E1 h (varsAPP Σd f)) in length-phiMapping>equals-length-as)
apply (subst nth-phiMapping-app,simp,simp)
apply (erule-tac x=map atom2var as ! i in balle)
apply (subgoal-tac sizeEnv E1 (map atom2var as ! i) h
      = build-si (map-of (zip xs (map (atom2val E1) as))) h (varsAPP
Σd g) ! i,simp)
apply (frule fv-as-in-dom-E1,simp)
apply (rule sizeEnv>equals-build-si-i,assumption+,simp,simp)
apply (subgoal-tac set (map atom2var as) ⊆ dom (sizesAPP ΣΦ f))
apply (frule-tac xs=map atom2var as in nth-in-dom-map,simp)
by simp

```

lemma *Phi-Mapping-app-ge-build-si-ef*:

```

[] SafeDepthSemanticsReal.SafeBoundSem (E1, E2) h k td (AppE g as rs' ()) (f,
n) hh k v (δ, m, s);
  (forall i < length as. atom (as ! i)); length xs = length as; distinct xs;
  valid Σd Σv ΣΦ; Σd g = Some (xs, rs, ef); fv (AppE g as rs' a) ⊆ dom E1;
  monotonic-bound μg; f ∈ dom Σd; admissible f η k;
  defined-bound μg g]
  ==> the (μg (phiMapping-app (map (sizesAPP ΣΦ f) (map atom2var as)))
(build-si E1 h (varsAPP Σd f))) ≥
    the (μg (build-si (map-of (zip xs (map (atom2val E1) as))) h (varsAPP Σd
g)))
apply (frule valid-fv-APP-subseteq-Φ,assumption+)
apply (subgoal-tac phiMapping-app (map (sizesAPP ΣΦ f) (map atom2var as))
(build-si E1 h (varsAPP Σd f)) ∈ dom μg)
prefer 2 apply (simp only: defined-bound-def)
apply (erule-tac x=phiMapping-app (map (sizesAPP ΣΦ f) (map atom2var as))
(build-si E1 h (varsAPP Σd f)) in allE)
apply (drule mp)
apply (simp add: varsAPP-def)
apply (rule length-phiMapping>equals-length-as)
apply (rule as-subseteq-dom-Φ, assumption+,force)
apply simp
apply (subgoal-tac build-si (map-of (zip xs (map (atom2val E1) as))) h (varsAPP
Σd g) ∈ dom μg)
prefer 2 apply (simp only: defined-bound-def)
apply (erule-tac x=build-si (map-of (zip xs (map (atom2val E1) as))) h (varsAPP

```

```

 $\Sigma d g) \text{ in } allE)$ 
apply (drule mp)
  apply (subst length-build-si,simp)
  apply simp
  apply (simp add: monotonic-bound-def)
apply (erule-tac x=phiMapping-app (map (sizesAPP ΣΦ f) (map atom2var as))
 $(build-si E1 h (varsAPP Σd f)) \text{ in } ballE)$ 
  prefer 2 apply simp
apply (erule-tac x=build-si (map-of (zip xs (map (atom2val E1) as))) h (varsAPP
 $Σd g) \text{ in } ballE)$ 
  prefer 2 apply simp
apply (drule mp)
by (rule list-ge-phiMapping-app-build-si,assumption+,simp,assumption+)

```

lemma *P-μ-APP*:

```

 $\llbracket SafeDepthSemanticsReal.SafeBoundSem (E1, E2) h k td (AppE g as rs' ()) (f,$ 
 $n) hh k v (\delta, m, s);$ 
   $(\forall i < length as. atom (as!i));$ 
   $distinct xs; length xs = length as;$ 
   $monotonic-bound \mu g; defined-bound \mu g g;$ 
   $\Sigma d g = Some (xs, rs, ef);$ 
   $f \in dom \Sigma d;$ 
   $admissible f \eta k;$ 
   $valid \Sigma d \Sigma \vartheta \Sigma \Phi;$ 
   $set (varsAPP \Sigma d f) \cup fv (AppE g as rs' ()) \subseteq dom E1;$ 
   $mu\text{-}ge \mu g (build-si (map-of (zip xs (map (atom2val E1) as))) h (varsAPP \Sigma d$ 
 $g)) m \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies mu\text{-}ge (mu\text{-}app \mu g as (sizesAPP \Sigma \Phi f)) (build-si E1 h (varsAPP \Sigma d f)) m$ 
apply (simp only: mu-ge-def)
apply (simp only: mu-app-def)
apply (simp only: cost-PhiMapping-def)
apply (subgoal-tac the (\mu (phiMapping-app (map (sizesAPP \Sigma \Phi f) (map atom2var
 $as)) (build-si E1 h (varsAPP \Sigma d f)))) >=$ 
   $the (\mu g (build-si (map-of (zip xs (map (atom2val E1) as))) h$ 
 $(varsAPP \Sigma d g))),simp)$ 
apply (rule Phi-Mapping-app-ge-build-si-ef)
by (assumption+,simp,assumption+)

```

lemma *fvs-in-dom-sizesAPP*:

```

 $\llbracket (\forall i < length as. atom (as ! i));$ 
   $SafeDepthSemanticsReal.SafeBoundSem (E1, E2) h k td (AppE g as rs' ())$ 
 $(f, n) hh k v (\delta, m, s);$ 
   $f \in dom \Sigma d; admissible f \eta k;$ 
   $valid \Sigma d \Sigma \vartheta \Sigma \Phi \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies atom2var ` set as \subseteq dom (sizesAPP \Sigma \Phi f)$ 
apply (simp only: valid-def)

```

```

apply (erule-tac x=f in ballE)
prefer 2 apply force
apply (simp add: typesAPP-def)
apply (simp add: valid-f.simps)
apply (elim conjE)
apply (erule-tac x=AppE g as rs' in allE)
apply (elim conjE,simp)
apply (erule-tac x=E1 in allE)
apply (erule-tac x=E2 in allE)
apply (erule-tac x=h in allE)
apply (rotate-tac 10)
apply (erule-tac x=k in allE)
apply (erule-tac x=td in allE)
apply (erule-tac x=hh in allE)
apply (erule-tac x=v in allE)
apply (erule-tac x=δ in allE)
apply (erule-tac x=m in allE)
apply (erule-tac x=s in allE)
apply (erule-tac x=η in allE)
apply (drule mp,simp)
apply (subst eqSemRABound,force)
apply (elim conjE)
by (rule as-subseteq-dom-Φ,simp,simp)

```

lemma $P\text{-}\sigma\text{-APP}$:

```

[] SafeDepthSemanticsReal.SafeBoundSem (E1, E2) h k td (AppE g as rs' ()) (f,
n) hh k v (δ, m, s);
(∀ i < length as. atom (as ! i)); length xs = length as; distinct xs;
valid Σd Σθ ΣΦ; Σd g = Some (xs, rs, ef); fv (AppE g as rs' a) ⊆ dom E1;
monotonic-bound σg; f ∈ dom Σd; admissible f η k;
defined-bound σg g;
s = max (l + q) (s' + l + q - td);
sigma-ge σg (build-si (map-of (zip xs (map (atom2val E1) as))) h (varsAPP
Σd g)) s'
⇒ sigma-ge ⋃c {[]l + q, substCostReal (addCostReal (addCostReal (sigma-app
σg as (sizesAPP ΣΦ f)) l) q) td} (build-si E1 h (varsAPP Σd f)) s
apply (frule fvs-in-dom-sizesAPP, assumption+)
apply (simp only: sigma-ge-def)
apply (simp only: sigma-app-def)
apply (simp only: cost-PhiMapping-def)
apply (subgoal-tac
the (σg (phiMapping-app (map (sizesAPP ΣΦ f) (map atom2var as)) (build-si
E1 h (varsAPP Σd f)))) ≥
the (σg (build-si (map-of (zip xs (map (atom2val E1) as))) h (varsAPP Σd
g))),simp)
apply (rule conjI)
apply (simp add: constantCost-def)
apply (simp add: maxCost-def)

```

```

apply (rule impI)+
apply (drule mp,force)
apply (subgoal-tac
  build-si E1 h (varsAPP Σd f) ∈
    dom (substCostReal (addCostReal (addCostReal (λxs. σg (phiMapping-app
(map (sizesAPP ΣΦ f) (map atom2var as)) xs)) l) q) td),simp)
  apply (simp add: substCostReal-def)
  apply (simp add: addCostReal-def)
  apply clarsimp
  apply (subgoal-tac phiMapping-app (map (sizesAPP ΣΦ f) (map atom2var as))
(build-si E1 h (varsAPP Σd f)) ∈ dom σg)
  prefer 2 apply (simp only: defined-bound-def)
  apply (erule-tac x=phiMapping-app (map (sizesAPP ΣΦ f) (map atom2var
as)) (build-si E1 h (varsAPP Σd f)) in allE)
  apply (drule mp)
  apply (subst length-phiMapping-equals-length-as,simp)
  apply (simp add: varsAPP-def)
  apply simp
  apply (subgoal-tac phiMapping-app (map (sizesAPP ΣΦ f) (map atom2var as))
(build-si E1 h (varsAPP Σd f)) ∈ dom σg)
  prefer 2 apply (simp only: defined-bound-def)
  apply (simp add: dom-def)
  apply (simp add: maxCost-def)
  apply (rule conjI)
  apply (rule impI)+
  apply clarsimp
  apply (simp add: substCostReal-def)
  apply (split split-if-asm,simp,simp add: addCostReal-def)
  apply clarsimp
  apply (split split-if-asm,simp,clarsimp)
  apply (split split-if-asm,simp,simp)
  apply simp
  apply simp
  apply (rule impI)+
  apply (drule mp)
  apply (simp add: constantCost-def)
  apply (simp add: dom-def)
  apply (subgoal-tac
    build-si E1 h (varsAPP Σd f) ∈
      dom (substCostReal (addCostReal (addCostReal (λxs. σg (phiMapping-app
(map (sizesAPP ΣΦ f) (map atom2var as)) xs)) l) q) td),simp)
    apply (simp add: substCostReal-def)
    apply (simp add: addCostReal-def)
    apply clarsimp
    apply (subgoal-tac phiMapping-app (map (sizesAPP ΣΦ f) (map atom2var as))
(build-si E1 h (varsAPP Σd f)) ∈ dom σg)
    prefer 2 apply (simp only: defined-bound-def)
    apply (erule-tac x=phiMapping-app (map (sizesAPP ΣΦ f) (map atom2var as))
(build-si E1 h (varsAPP Σd f)) in allE)

```

```

apply (drule mp)
apply (subst length-phiMapping-equals-length-as,simp)
apply (simp add: varsAPP-def)
apply simp
apply (subgoal-tac phiMapping-app (map (sizesAPP ΣΦ f) (map atom2var as))
(build-si E1 h (varsAPP Σd f)) ∈ dom σg)
prefer 2 apply (simp only: defined-bound-def)
apply (simp add: dom-def)
by (rule Phi-Mapping-app-ge-build-si-ef,assumption+)

lemma setsumCost-empty: setsumCost f {} = []0
by (simp add: setsumCost-def)

lemma sumcost-conm:
x +c y = y +c x
apply (simp add: addCost-def)
apply (rule ext)
apply simp
done

lemma sumcost-dist:
addCost (addCost x y) z = addCost x (addCost y z)
apply (simp add: addCost-def)
apply (rule ext,clar simp)
apply (rule conjI, rule impI)
apply (rule conjI,clar simp)
apply (split split-if-asm,simp add:dom-def,simp)
apply clar simp
apply (split split-if-asm,simp add:dom-def,simp)
apply (rule impI)
apply (rule conjI,clar simp)
apply (split split-if-asm,simp add:dom-def,simp)
apply (rule impI,clar simp)
by (split split-if-asm,simp add:dom-def,simp)

lemma setsumCost-insert [simp]:
finite F ==> a ∉ F ==> setsumCost f (insert a F) = addCost (f a)
(setsumCost f F)
apply (simp add: setsumCost-def)
apply (subst ACf.fold-insert,simp-all)
apply (rule ACf.intro)
apply (rule sumcost-conm)
apply (rule sumcost-dist)
done

```

axioms *setsumCost-setsum*:

the ((*setsumCost* ($\lambda x. \text{the } (f x)$) *A*) *xs*) = *setsum* ($\lambda x. \text{the } (\text{the } (f x) \text{ } \iota s)$) *A*

lemma *sumset-instance-f-equals-sumset-phiMapping*:

dom (*instance-f f g Δg ψ (sizesAPP ΣΦ f) as*) = *dom* η

\implies

$(\sum \varrho \mid \eta \varrho = \text{Some } j.$

the (*the* (*instance-f f g Δg ψ (sizesAPP ΣΦ f) as* ϱ) (*build-si E1 h (varsAPP Σd f)*)))

$= (\sum \varrho \mid \eta \varrho = \text{Some } j.$

$\sum_{\varrho' \in \{\varrho\}} \psi \varrho' = \text{Some } \varrho \wedge \varrho' \in \text{set } (R\text{-Args } \Sigma t g)\}.$

the (*the* ($\Delta g \varrho$) (*phiMapping-app (map (sizesAPP ΣΦ f) (map atom2var as)) (build-si E1 h (varsAPP Σd f))*)))

apply (*simp (no-asm) only: instance-f-def*)

apply (*subgoal-tac*

$(\sum \varrho \mid \eta \varrho = \text{Some } j.$

the (*the* ((($\lambda \varrho. \text{Some } (\text{sum-rho } g \Delta g \psi (\text{sizesAPP } \Sigma \Phi f) \text{ as } \varrho)$) |‘ (*set* (*R-Args Σt f*) $\cup \{\varrho_{self-f}\}$)) ϱ)

(build-si E1 h (varsAPP Σd f)))) =

$(\sum \varrho \mid \eta \varrho = \text{Some } j. \text{the } (\text{the } (\text{Some } (\text{sum-rho } g \Delta g \psi (\text{sizesAPP } \Sigma \Phi f) \text{ as } \varrho) \text{ (build-si E1 h (varsAPP Σd f))))), \text{simp})$

apply (*simp add: sum-rho-def*)

apply (*subst setsumCost-setsum,simp*)

apply (*rule setsum-cong2,simp*)

apply (*simp only: sum-rho-def*)

apply (*simp add: restrict-map-def*)

apply (*simp add: instance-f-def*)

by *force*

lemma *setsum-build-si-le-setsum-phiMapping*:

[] *SafeDepthSemanticsReal.SafeBoundSem (E1, E2) h k td (AppE g as rs' ()) (f, n) hh k v (δ, m, s);*

$(\forall i < \text{length as}. \text{atom } (\text{as } ! i)); \text{length } xs = \text{length as}; \text{distinct } xs;$

valid $\Sigma d \Sigma \vartheta \Sigma \Phi; \Sigma d g = \text{Some } (xs, rs, ef); fv (AppE g as rs' a) \subseteq \text{dom } E1;$
monotonic-AbstractDelta $\Delta g; f \in \text{dom } \Sigma d; \text{admissible } f \eta k;$

set (*R-Args Σt g*) $\cup \{\varrho_{self-f} g\} = \text{dom } \Delta g;$

dom (*instance-f f g Δg ψ (sizesAPP ΣΦ f) as*) = *dom* η ;

defined-AbstractDelta $\Delta g g\}$

$\implies (\sum \varrho \mid \eta \varrho = \text{Some } j.$

$\sum_{\varrho' \in \{\varrho\}} \psi \varrho' = \text{Some } \varrho \wedge \varrho' \in \text{set } (R\text{-Args } \Sigma t g)\}.$

the (*the* ($\Delta g \varrho$) (*phiMapping-app (map (sizesAPP ΣΦ f) (map atom2var as)) (build-si E1 h (varsAPP Σd f)))*))

$\geq (\sum \varrho \mid \eta \varrho = \text{Some } j.$

$\sum_{\varrho' \in \{\varrho\}} \psi \varrho' = \text{Some } \varrho \wedge \varrho' \in \text{set } (R\text{-Args } \Sigma t g)\}.$

the (*the* ($\Delta g \varrho$) (*build-si (map-of (zip xs (map (atom2val E1) as)) h (varsAPP Σd g))*))

apply (*rule setsum-mono*)

```

apply (rule setsum-mono)
apply (subgoal-tac  $\varrho' \in \text{dom } \Delta g$ )
apply (subgoal-tac monotonic-bound (the ( $\Delta g \varrho'$ )))
apply (subgoal-tac defined-bound (the ( $\Delta g \varrho'$ ))  $g$ )
apply (rule Phi-Mapping-app-ge-build-si-ef,assumption+)
apply (simp add: defined-AbstractDelta-def)
apply (simp add: monotonic-AbstractDelta-def)
by blast

```

axioms setsum- η -ef-equals-setsum- η :

$$\llbracket \text{SafeDepthSemanticsReal.SafeBoundSem } (E1, E2) h k td (\text{AppE } g \text{ as } rs' ()) (f, n) hh k v (\delta, m, s);$$

$$(\forall i < \text{length as}. \text{atom} (\text{as} ! i)); \text{length } xs = \text{length as}; \text{distinct } xs;$$

$$\text{valid } \Sigma d \Sigma \vartheta \Sigma \Phi; \Sigma d g = \text{Some } (xs, rs, ef); \text{fv } (\text{AppE } g \text{ as } rs' a) \subseteq \text{dom } E1;$$

$$\text{monotonic-AbstractDelta } \Delta g; f \in \text{dom } \Sigma d; \text{admissible } f \eta k;$$

$$\text{set } (R\text{-Args } \Sigma t g) \cup \{\varrho_{self}\text{-}f g\} = \text{dom } \Delta g;$$

$$\text{dom } (\text{instance-}f g \Delta g \psi (\text{sizesAPP } \Sigma \Phi f) \text{ as}) = \text{dom } \eta;$$

$$\text{defined-AbstractDelta } \Delta g g \rrbracket$$

$$\implies (\sum \varrho \mid (\eta\text{-}ef \eta \psi (R\text{-Args } \Sigma t g)(\varrho_{self}\text{-}f g \mapsto \text{Suc } k)) \varrho = \text{Some } j.$$

$$\text{the } (\text{the } (\Delta g \varrho) (\text{build-si } (\text{map-of } (\text{zip } xs (\text{map } (\text{atom2val } E1) \text{ as}))) h$$

$$(\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d g))) =$$

$$(\sum \varrho \mid \eta \varrho = \text{Some } j. \sum \varrho' \in \{\varrho'. \psi \varrho' = \text{Some } \varrho \wedge \varrho' \in \text{set } (R\text{-Args } \Sigma t g)\}.$$

$$\text{the } (\text{the } (\Delta g \varrho) (\text{build-si } (\text{map-of } (\text{zip } xs (\text{map } (\text{atom2val } E1) \text{ as}))) h$$

$$(\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d g)))$$

lemma sizeAbstractDelta-si-APP-ge-sizeAbstractDelta-si-eq:

$$\llbracket \text{SafeDepthSemanticsReal.SafeBoundSem } (E1, E2) h k td (\text{AppE } g \text{ as } rs' ()) (f, n) hh k v (\delta, m, s);$$

$$(\forall i < \text{length as}. \text{atom} (\text{as} ! i)); \text{length } xs = \text{length as}; \text{distinct } xs;$$

$$\text{valid } \Sigma d \Sigma \vartheta \Sigma \Phi; \Sigma d g = \text{Some } (xs, rs, ef); \text{fv } (\text{AppE } g \text{ as } rs' a) \subseteq \text{dom } E1;$$

$$\text{monotonic-AbstractDelta } \Delta g; f \in \text{dom } \Sigma d; \text{admissible } f \eta k;$$

$$\text{set } (R\text{-Args } \Sigma t g) \cup \{\varrho_{self}\text{-}f g\} = \text{dom } \Delta g;$$

$$\text{dom } (\text{instance-}f g \Delta g \psi (\text{sizesAPP } \Sigma \Phi f) \text{ as}) = \text{dom } \eta;$$

$$\text{defined-AbstractDelta } \Delta g g \rrbracket$$

$$\implies \text{sizeAbstractDelta-si } (\text{instance-}f g \Delta g \psi (\text{sizesAPP } \Sigma \Phi f) \text{ as}) (\text{build-si } E1$$

$$h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f)) j \eta >=$$

$$\text{sizeAbstractDelta-si } \Delta g (\text{build-si } (\text{map-of } (\text{zip } xs (\text{map } (\text{atom2val } E1) \text{ as})))$$

$$h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d g)) j (\eta\text{-}ef \eta \psi (R\text{-Args } \Sigma t g)(\varrho_{self}\text{-}f g \mapsto \text{Suc } k))$$
apply (simp only: sizeAbstractDelta-si-def)
apply (subst sumset-instance-f>equals-sumset-phiMapping,simp)
apply (frule-tac $j=j$ in setsum-build-si-le-setsum-phiMapping,assumption+)
by (subst setsum- η -ef>equals-setsum- η ,assumption+)

lemma P- Δ -APP:

$$\llbracket \text{SafeDepthSemanticsReal.SafeBoundSem } (E1, E2) h k td (\text{AppE } g \text{ as } rs' ()) (f,$$

n) $hh k v (\delta, m, s)$;
 $(\forall i < \text{length } as. \text{ atom } (as ! i)); \text{ length } xs = \text{ length } as; \text{ distinct } xs;$
 $\text{valid } \Sigma d \Sigma \vartheta \Sigma \Phi; \Sigma d g = \text{Some } (xs, rs, ef); \text{ fv } (\text{AppE } g \text{ as } rs' a) \subseteq \text{ dom } E1;$
 $\text{monotonic-AbstractDelta } \Delta g; f \in \text{ dom } \Sigma d; \text{ admissible } f \eta k;$
 $\text{defined-AbstractDelta } \Delta g g;$
 $\text{set } (R\text{-Args } \Sigma t g) \cup \{\varrho_{self} f g\} = \text{ dom } \Delta g;$
 $\text{Delta-ge } \Delta g (\text{build-si } (\text{map-of } (\text{zip } xs (\text{map } (\text{atom2val } E1) as))) h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d g)) (\text{Suc } k)$
 $(\eta\text{-ef } \eta \psi (R\text{-Args } \Sigma t g) ++ [\varrho_{self} f g \mapsto \text{Suc } k]) \delta';$
 $\text{dom } (\text{instance-ff } g \Delta g \psi (\text{sizesAPP } \Sigma \Phi f) as) = \text{ dom } \eta;$
 $\delta = \delta'(k + 1 := \text{None}) \llbracket$
 $\implies \text{Delta-ge } (\text{instance-ff } g \Delta g \psi (\text{sizesAPP } \Sigma \Phi f) as) (\text{build-si } E1 h (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f)) k \eta \delta$
apply (simp add: Delta-ge-def)
apply (rule ballI)
apply (erule-tac x=j in ballE)
prefer 2 apply simp
apply (frule-tac j=j and $\psi=\psi$ in sizeAbstractDelta-si-APP-ge-sizeAbstractDelta-si-eg)
by (assumption+, simp, assumption+, simp, assumption+, simp)

lemma SafeResourcesDADepth-APP:
 $\llbracket \forall i < \text{length } as. \text{ atom } (as ! i);$
 $\text{monotonic-bound } \mu g; \text{ defined-bound } \mu g g;$
 $\text{monotonic-bound } \sigma g; \text{ defined-bound } \sigma g g;$
 $\text{monotonic-AbstractDelta } \Delta g; \text{ defined-AbstractDelta } \Delta g g;$
 $f \in \text{ dom } \Sigma d;$
 $\Sigma t g = \text{Some } (ti, \varrho s, t);$
 $\text{fv } eg \subseteq \text{ set } xs; \text{ fvReg } eg \subseteq \text{ set } rs; \text{ fv } eg \subseteq \text{ dom } (\text{sizesAPP } \Sigma \Phi f);$
 $\text{length } xs = \text{length } ti;$
 $\Sigma d g = \text{Some } (xs, rs, eg); \Sigma b g = \text{Some } (\text{projection } g \Delta g, \mu g, \sigma g); \text{ primops } g = \text{None};$
 $l = \text{real } (\text{length } as); q = \text{real } (\text{length } rs');$
 $\text{argP } \psi (R\text{-Args } \Sigma t g) (\text{typesRegsAPP } \Sigma \vartheta f) rs';$
 $\Delta = \text{instance-ff } g \Delta g \psi (\text{sizesAPP } \Sigma \Phi f) as;$
 $\mu = \text{mu-app } \mu g as (\text{sizesAPP } \Sigma \Phi f);$
 $\sigma = \bigsqcup c \{ \llbracket l + q, (\text{substCostReal } (\text{addCostReal } (\text{addCostReal } (\text{sigma-app } \sigma g as (\text{sizesAPP } \Sigma \Phi f)) l) q) td) \} \};$
 $\models_f, n \Sigma b \llbracket$
 $\implies \text{AppE } g \text{ as } rs' a :_f (\text{typesAPP } \Sigma \vartheta f) (\text{sizesAPP } \Sigma \Phi f) td, n \{ \Delta, \mu, \sigma \}$
apply (case-tac g=f)
apply (frule lemma-19, assumption+)
apply (simp add: typesAPP-def)
apply (simp only: SafeResourcesDAssDepth.simps)

```

apply (rule impI)
apply (rule conjI, subst dom-instance-f,simp)
apply (rule allI)+
apply (rule impI)
apply (elim conjE)

apply (simp only: SafeResourcesDAss.simps)
apply (drule mp,simp)

apply (frule P1-f-n-APP-2,simp.force,force)
apply (elim exE, elim conjE)

apply (rotate-tac 29)
apply (erule-tac x=(map-of (zip xs (map (atom2val E1) as))) in allE)
apply (erule-tac x=(map-of (zip rs (map (the o E2) rs'))(self ↪ Suc k)) in allE)
apply (erule-tac x=h in allE)
apply (rotate-tac 38)
apply (erule-tac x=Suc k in allE)
apply (rotate-tac 38)
apply (erule-tac x=h' in allE)
apply (erule-tac x=v in allE)
apply (rotate-tac 38)
apply (erule-tac x=δ' in allE)
apply (erule-tac x=m in allE)
apply (erule-tac x=s' in allE)
apply (erule-tac x=(η-ef η ψ (R-Args Σt g) ++ [ρself-f g ↪ Suc k]) in allE)
apply (erule-tac x= build-si (map-of (zip xs (map (atom2val E1) as))) h (varsAPP Σd g) in allE)

apply (drule mp)

apply (rule conjI)
apply (subst eqSemRABound [where f=f],force)

apply (rule conjI)
apply (rule P-dyn-xs-subseteq-dom-E1-g, assumption+)

apply (rule conjI)
apply (rule P-dyn-fvReg-eg-subseteq-dom-E2-g,assumption+,simp)

```

```
apply (rule conjI, rule P-dyn-dom-η-eq,assumption+)
```

```
apply (rule conjI, simp)
```

```
apply (rule P-η-eq,simp)
```

```
apply (elim conjE)
```

```
apply (rule conjI, rule P-Δ-APP)
```

```
apply (assumption+,simp,assumption+)
```

```
apply (rule conjI)
```

```
apply (rule P-μ-APP,assumption+)
```

```
apply (rule P-σ-APP)
```

```
apply (assumption+,simp,assumption+,simp,simp)
```

```
apply simp
```

```
apply (case-tac n)
```

```
apply (simp only: typesAPP-def)
```

```
apply (simp only: SafeResourcesDAssDepth.simps)
```

```
apply (rule impI)
```

```
apply (rule conjI, subst dom-instance-f,simp)
```

```
apply (rule allI)+
```

```
apply (rule impI)
```

```
apply (elim conjE)
```

```
apply (frule P1-f-n-APP,assumption+,simp)
```

```
apply (frule lemma-20)
```

```
apply (force,force,simp,simp)
```

```
apply (simp only: typesAPP-def)
```

```
apply (simp only: SafeResourcesDAssDepth.simps)
```

```

apply (rule impI)
apply (drule mp,simp)
apply (elim conjE)

apply (rule conjI, subst dom-instance-f, simp)
apply (intro allI, rule impI)
apply (elim conjE)

apply (frule P1-f-n-ge-0-APP,simp,force)
apply (elim exE, elim conjE)

apply (rotate-tac 25)
apply (erule-tac x=(map-of (zip xs (map (atom2val E1) as))) in allE)
apply (erule-tac x=(map-of (zip rs (map (the o E2) rs'))(self ↪ Suc k)) in allE)
apply (erule-tac x=h in allE)
apply (rotate-tac 40)
apply (erule-tac x=Suc k in allE)
apply (rotate-tac 40)
apply (erule-tac x=h' in allE)
apply (erule-tac x=v in allE)
apply (rotate-tac 40)
apply (erule-tac x=δ' in allE)
apply (erule-tac x=m in allE)
apply (erule-tac x=s' in allE)
apply (erule-tac x=(η-ef η ψ (R-Args Σt g) ++ [ρself-f g ↪ Suc k]) in allE)
apply (erule-tac x= build-si (map-of (zip xs (map (atom2val E1) as))) h (varsAPP Σd f) in allE)

apply (drule mp)

apply (rule conjI,simp)

apply (rule conjI)
apply (rule P-dyn-xs-subseteq-dom-E1-g, assumption+)

apply (rule conjI)
apply (rule P-dyn-fvReg-eg-subseteq-dom-E2-g,assumption+,simp)

apply (rule conjI, rule P-dyn-dom-η-ef)
apply (force,simp,simp,assumption+,simp,assumption+,simp,simp)

```

```

apply (rule conjI, simp)
apply (frule P-η-ef,simp)
apply (elim conjE)
apply (rule conjI)
apply (frule P-Δ-APP)
apply (assumption+,simp,assumption+,simp,assumption+,simp)
apply (rule conjI)
apply (frule P-μ-APP,assumption+,simp)
apply (frule-tac σg=σg in P-σ-APP)
by (assumption+,simp,assumption+,simp)
end

```

20 Proof-rules for certifying memory bounds, and soundness theorem

```

theory ProofRulesCostes
imports CostesDepth Finite-Set
begin

constdefs costs-le:: Cost ⇒ Cost ⇒ bool (- ⊑c - 1000)
costs-le c1 c2 ≡ (dom c1 = dom c2) ∧ (∀ x ∈ dom c1. the (c1 x) ≤ the (c2 x))

constdefs abstractDelta-le:: AbstractDelta ⇒ AbstractDelta ⇒ bool
(- ⊑Δ - 1000)
abstractDelta-le Δ1 Δ2 ≡ (dom Δ1 = dom Δ2) ∧
(∀ ρ ∈ dom Δ1. (the (Δ1 ρ)) ⊑c (the (Δ2 ρ)))

constdefs resources-le :: AbstractDelta ⇒ AbstractMu ⇒ AbstractSigma
⇒ AbstractDelta ⇒ AbstractMu ⇒ AbstractSigma ⇒ bool
('( - , - , - ') ⊑R '( - , - , - ') 1000)
(Δ', μ', σ') ⊑R (Δ, μ, σ) ≡
Δ' ⊑Δ Δ ∧ μ' ⊑c μ ∧ σ' ⊑c σ

```

inductive

ProofRulesCostes ::

unit Exp \Rightarrow *FunctionResourcesSignature* \Rightarrow
FunName \Rightarrow *ThetaMapping* \Rightarrow *PhiMapping* \Rightarrow *real* \Rightarrow
AbstractDelta \Rightarrow *AbstractMu* \Rightarrow *AbstractSigma* \Rightarrow *bool*
 $(\cdot, \cdot \vdash \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot (\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot) 1000)$

where

litInt : *ConstE* (*LitN i*) *a, Σb*

$\vdash_f (\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2) \Phi td ([],$
 $[], [], [])$

| *litBool*: *ConstE* (*LitB b*) *a, Σb*

$\vdash_f (\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2) \Phi td ([],$
 $[], [], [])$

| *var1* : *VarE* *x a, Σb*

$\vdash_f (\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2) \Phi td ([],$
 $[], [], [])$

| *var2* : $\llbracket ((typesRegsAPP \Sigma \vartheta f) r = Some \varrho;$

$(sizesAPP \Sigma \Phi f) x = Some \eta \rrbracket$

$\implies CopyE x r d, \Sigma b$

$\vdash_f (typesAPP \Sigma \vartheta f) (sizesAPP \Sigma \Phi f) td ([\varrho \mapsto \eta], \eta, [])$

| *var3* : $\llbracket (typesRegsAPP \Sigma \vartheta f) r = Some \varrho;$

$(sizesAPP \Sigma \Phi f) x = Some \eta \rrbracket$

$\implies ReuseE x a, \Sigma b$

$\vdash_f (typesAPP \Sigma \vartheta f) (sizesAPP \Sigma \Phi f) td ([],$
 $[], [], [])$

| *let1* : $\llbracket \forall C \text{ as } r a'. e1 \neq ConstrE C \text{ as } r a';$

$x1 \notin fv e1;$

$x1 \notin set (varsAPP \Sigma d f);$

defined-AbstractDelta $\Delta 1 f;$

defined-bound $|\Delta 1| f;$

defined-bound $\mu 1 f;$

defined-bound $\sigma 1 f;$

defined-AbstractDelta $\Delta 2 f;$

defined-bound $\mu 2 f;$

defined-bound $\sigma 2 f;$

$e1, \Sigma b \vdash_f (\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2) \Phi o (\Delta 1, \mu 1, \sigma 1);$

$e2, \Sigma b \vdash_f (\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2) \Phi (td+1) (\Delta 2, \mu 2, \sigma 2);$

$\Delta = \Delta 1 +_{\Delta} \Delta 2;$

$\mu = \bigsqcup_c \{\mu 1, |\Delta 1| +_c \mu 2\};$

$\sigma = \bigsqcup_c$

$\{[], \sigma 1,$
 $[], \sigma 2\} \rrbracket$

$\implies \text{Let } x1 = e1 \text{ In } e2 \text{ a, } \Sigma b \vdash_f (\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2) \Phi td$
 (Δ, μ, σ)

| $let1c : \llbracket (typesRegsAPP \Sigma \vartheta f) r = Some \varrho; \varrho \notin \text{dom } \Delta;$
 $e2, \Sigma b \vdash_f (typesAPP \Sigma \vartheta f) (sizesAPP \Sigma \Phi f) td$
 $(\Delta, \mu, \sigma) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{Let } x1 = \text{ConstrE } C \text{ as } r \text{ a' In } e2 \text{ a, } \Sigma b$
 $\vdash_f (typesAPP \Sigma \vartheta f) (sizesAPP \Sigma \Phi f) td$
 $(\Delta ++ [\varrho \mapsto []_1],$
 $\mu + c []_1,$
 $\sigma 2 + c []_1)$

| $case1 : \llbracket length \text{ alts} = length \text{ assert};$
 $length \text{ alts} > 0;$
 $(\forall i < length \text{ assert.}$
 $\quad \text{defined-AbstractDelta } (\text{AbstractDeltaSpaceCost } (\text{assert ! } i)) f);$
 $(\forall i < length \text{ assert.}$
 $\quad \text{monotonic-AbstractDelta } (\text{AbstractDeltaSpaceCost } (\text{assert ! } i)));$
 $(\forall i < length \text{ assert.}$
 $\quad \text{defined-bound } (\text{AbstractMuSpaceCost } (\text{assert ! } i)) f);$
 $(\forall i < length \text{ assert.}$
 $\quad \text{monotonic-bound } (\text{AbstractMuSpaceCost } (\text{assert ! } i)));$
 $(\forall i < length \text{ assert.}$
 $\quad \text{defined-bound } (\text{AbstractSigmaSpaceCost } (\text{assert ! } i)) f);$
 $(\forall i < length \text{ assert.}$
 $\quad \text{monotonic-bound } (\text{AbstractSigmaSpaceCost } (\text{assert ! } i)));$
 $\forall i < length \text{ alts.}$
 $\quad \text{snd } (\text{alts ! } i), \Sigma b$
 $\quad \vdash_f (\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2) \Phi (td + \text{real } (\text{length } (\text{snd } (\text{extractP } (\text{fst } (\text{alts ! } i))))))$
 $\quad (\text{AbstractDeltaSpaceCost } (\text{assert! } i),$
 $\quad \text{AbstractMuSpaceCost } (\text{assert! } i),$
 $\quad \text{AbstractSigmaSpaceCost } (\text{assert! } i));$
 $\Delta = \bigsqcup \Delta f (\text{map AbstractDeltaSpaceCost assert});$
 $\mu = \bigsqcup c (\text{map AbstractMuSpaceCost assert});$
 $\sigma = \bigsqcup c (\text{map } (\lambda (\Delta, n). \text{addCostReal } \Delta n)$
 $\quad (\text{zip } (\text{map AbstractSigmaSpaceCost assert})$
 $\quad (\text{map num-r alts}))) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{Case } (\text{VarE } x \text{ a}) \text{ Of } \text{alts a', } \Sigma b$
 $\vdash_f (\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2) \Phi td (\Delta, \mu, \sigma)$

| $app\text{-primop} : \llbracket \text{primops } g = Some \text{ oper} \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{AppE } g [a1, a2] [] a, \Sigma b$
 $\quad \vdash_f (\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2) \Phi td$
 $\quad ([]_g,$
 $\quad []_0, []_2)$

| $app : \llbracket \Sigma d \text{ g} = Some (xs, rs, eg); \Sigma b \text{ g} = Some (\text{projection g } \Delta g, \mu g, \sigma g);$
 $\quad \text{primops g} = None;$

$l = \text{real}(\text{length } as); q = \text{real}(\text{length } rs');$
 $\text{argP } \psi (\text{R-Args } \Sigma t g) (\text{typesRegsAPP } \Sigma \vartheta f) rs';$
 $\forall i < \text{length } as. \text{ atom}(as ! i);$
 $\text{monotonic-bound } \mu g; \text{ defined-bound } \mu g g;$
 $\text{monotonic-bound } \sigma g; \text{ defined-bound } \sigma g g;$
 $\text{monotonic-AbstractDelta } \Delta g; \text{ defined-AbstractDelta } \Delta g g;$
 $f \in \text{dom } \Sigma d;$
 $\Sigma t g = \text{Some}(ti, \varrho s, t);$
 $\text{fv } eg \subseteq \text{set } xs; \text{fvReg } eg \subseteq \text{set } rs;$
 $\text{fv } eg \subseteq \text{dom } (\text{sizesAPP } \Sigma \Phi f);$
 $\text{length } xs = \text{length } ti;$
 $\Delta = \text{instance-f } f g \Delta g \psi (\text{sizesAPP } \Sigma \Phi f) as;$
 $\mu = \text{mu-app } \mu g as (\text{sizesAPP } \Sigma \Phi f);$
 $\sigma = \bigsqcup c \{\llbracket l + q \rrbracket$
 $(\text{substCostReal } (\text{addCostReal } (\text{addCostReal } (\text{sigma-app } \sigma g as (\text{sizesAPP } \Sigma \Phi f)) l) q) td)\}\}$
 $\implies \text{AppE } g as rs' a, \Sigma b \vdash_f (\text{typesAPP } \Sigma \vartheta f) \quad (\text{sizesAPP } \Sigma \Phi f) td$
 (Δ, μ, σ)

 $| \text{ rec } : \llbracket \Sigma d f = \text{Some}(xs, rs, ef);$
 $f \notin \text{dom } \Sigma b;$
 $(\varrho \text{self-} f) \notin \text{dom } \Delta;$
 $\text{finite } (\text{dom } \Delta);$
 $ef, \Sigma b(f \mapsto (\Delta, \mu, \sigma))$
 $\vdash_f (\text{typesAPP } \Sigma \vartheta f) (\text{sizesAPP } \Sigma \Phi f) \text{ real}(\text{length } (varsAPP \Sigma d f) + \text{length } (regionsAPP \Sigma d f))$
 $(\Delta', \mu', \sigma');$
 $(\text{projection } f \Delta', \mu', \sigma') \sqsubseteq_R (\Delta, \mu, \sigma)$
 $\implies ef, \Sigma b \vdash_f (\text{typesAPP } \Sigma \vartheta f) (\text{sizesAPP } \Sigma \Phi f) \quad \text{real}(\text{length } (varsAPP \Sigma d f) + \text{length } (regionsAPP \Sigma d f))$
 $\mu', \sigma')$

lemma equiv-all-n-SafeResourcesDAssDepth-SafeResourcesDAss:

$\forall n. \text{SafeResourcesDAssDepth } e f (\text{typesAPP } \Sigma \vartheta f) (\text{sizesAPP } \Sigma \Phi f) td n \Delta \mu$
 σ
 $\implies e :_f (\text{typesAPP } \Sigma \vartheta f) (\text{sizesAPP } \Sigma \Phi f) td \{\Delta, \mu, \sigma\}$
apply (simp only: typesAPP-def)
apply (simp only: SafeResourcesDAss.simps)
apply (simp only: SafeResourcesDAssDepth.simps)
apply (rule impI)
apply (rule conjI,simp)+
apply (intro allI, rule impI)
apply (elim conjE)
apply (frule-tac f=f in eqSemRADepth)
apply (simp only: SafeBoundSem-def)
apply (elim exE)
apply (rename-tac n)

```

apply (erule-tac x=n in allE)
apply (drule mp,simp)
apply (elim conjE)
apply (erule-tac x=E1 in allE)
apply (erule-tac x=E2 in allE)
apply (erule-tac x=h in allE)
apply (erule-tac x=k in allE)
apply (erule-tac x=hh in allE)
apply (erule-tac x=v in allE)
apply (erule-tac x=δ in allE)
apply (erule-tac x=m in allE)
apply (erule-tac x=s in allE)
apply (erule-tac x=η in allE)
apply (erule-tac x=si in allE)
apply (drule mp)
apply (rule conjI, simp add: Let-def, force)
apply (rule conjI, simp)
apply (rule conjI, simp)
apply (rule conjI, simp)
apply (rule conjI, simp)
apply simp
by simp

```

lemma equiv-SafeResourcesDAss-all-n-SafeResourcesDAssDepth:

$$\begin{aligned}
& e : f \text{ (typesAPP } \Sigma\vartheta g) \text{ (sizesAPP } \Sigma\Phi g) \text{ td } \{\Delta, \mu, \sigma\} \\
& \implies \forall n. \text{SafeResourcesDAssDepth } e f \text{ (typesAPP } \Sigma\vartheta g) \text{ (sizesAPP } \Sigma\Phi g) \text{ td } n \\
& \Delta \mu \sigma \\
& \text{apply (simp only: typesAPP-def)} \\
& \text{apply (simp only: SafeResourcesDAss.simps)} \\
& \text{apply (simp only: SafeResourcesDAssDepth.simps)} \\
& \text{apply clar simp} \\
& \text{apply (simp only: SafeBoundSem-def)} \\
& \text{apply (simp add: Let-def)} \\
& \text{apply (elim exE)} \\
& \text{apply (elim conjE)} \\
& \text{apply (rotate-tac 7)} \\
& \text{apply (erule-tac x=E1 in allE)} \\
& \text{apply (erule-tac x=E2 in allE)} \\
& \text{apply (erule-tac x=h in allE)} \\
& \text{apply (rotate-tac 9)} \\
& \text{apply (erule-tac x=k in allE)} \\
& \text{apply (erule-tac x=hh in allE)} \\
& \text{apply (erule-tac x=v in allE)} \\
& \text{apply (erule-tac x=δ in allE)} \\
& \text{apply (erule-tac x=m in allE)} \\
& \text{apply (erule-tac x=s in allE)} \\
& \text{apply (erule-tac x=η in allE)} \\
& \text{apply (frule-tac td=td in eqSemDepthRA)}
\end{aligned}$$

```

apply (drule mp,force)
by simp

```

lemma lemma-5:

$\forall n. \text{SafeResourcesDAssDepth } e f (\text{typesAPP } \Sigma \vartheta f) (\text{sizesAPP } \Sigma \Phi f) \text{ td } n \Delta \mu$

$\sigma \equiv$

$e :_f (\text{typesAPP } \Sigma \vartheta f) (\text{sizesAPP } \Sigma \Phi f) \text{ td } \{\Delta, \mu, \sigma\}$

apply (rule eq-reflection)

apply (rule iffI)

apply (rule equiv-all-n-SafeResourcesDAssDepth-SafeResourcesDAss,force)

by (rule equiv-SafeResourcesDAss-all-n-SafeResourcesDAssDepth,force)

declare fun-upd-apply [simp del]

declare SafeResourcesDAss.simps [simp del]

declare SafeResourcesDAssDepth.simps [simp del]

lemma imp-ValidGlobalResourcesEnv-all-n-ValidGlobalResourcesEnvDepth:

$\text{ValidGlobalResourcesEnv } \Sigma b$

$\implies \forall n. \models_{f,n} \Sigma b$

apply (erule ValidGlobalResourcesEnv.induct)

apply (rule allI)

apply (rule ValidGlobalResourcesEnvDepth.base)

apply (rule ValidGlobalResourcesEnv.base)

apply simp

apply (rule allI)

apply (case-tac fa=f,simp)

apply (induct-tac n)

apply (rule ValidGlobalResourcesEnvDepth.depth0,simp,simp)

apply (rule-tac ValidGlobalResourcesEnvDepth.step)

apply (simp,simp,simp)

apply (frule-tac f=f in equiv-SafeResourcesDAss-all-n-SafeResourcesDAssDepth,force)

apply (rule ValidGlobalResourcesEnvDepth.g)

by (simp,simp,simp,simp)

lemma imp-ValidGlobalResourcesEnv-all-n-ValidGlobalResourcesEnvDepth:

$\text{ValidGlobalResourcesEnv } \Sigma b$

$\implies \forall n. \models_{f,n} \Sigma b$

apply (erule ValidGlobalResourcesEnv.induct)

apply (rule allI)

```

apply (rule ValidGlobalResourcesEnvDepth.base)
apply (rule ValidGlobalResourcesEnv.base)
apply simp
apply (rule allI)
apply (case-tac fa=f,simp)
apply (induct-tac n)
apply (rule ValidGlobalResourcesEnvDepth.depth0,simp,simp)
apply (rule-tac ValidGlobalResourcesEnvDepth.step)
apply (simp,simp,simp)
apply (frule-tac f=f in equiv-SafeResourcesDAss-all-n-SafeResourcesDAssDepth.force)
apply (rule ValidGlobalResourcesEnvDepth.g)
by (simp,simp,simp,simp)

```

lemma imp-ValidResourcesDepth-n-SigmaResources-Valid-Sigma [rule-format]:

```

 $\models_{f,n} \Sigma b$ 
 $\longrightarrow f \notin \text{dom } \Sigma b$ 
 $\longrightarrow \text{ValidGlobalResourcesEnv } \Sigma b$ 
apply (rule impI)
apply (erule ValidGlobalResourcesEnvDepth.induct,simp-all)
apply (rule impI)
by (rule ValidGlobalResourcesEnv.step,simp-all)

```

lemma imp-f-notin-SigmaResources-ValidDepth-n-SigmaResources-Valid-Sigma:

```

 $\llbracket f \notin \text{dom } \Sigma b; \forall n. \models_{f,n} \Sigma b \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{ValidGlobalResourcesEnv } \Sigma b$ 
apply (erule-tac x=n in allE)
by (rule imp-ValidResourcesDepth-n-SigmaResources-Valid-Sigma,assumption+)

```

lemma the-AbstractDeltaSpaceCost-upd:

```

AbstractDeltaSpaceCost (the (( $\Sigma(f \mapsto (\Delta,\mu,\sigma))$ ) f)) =  $\Delta$ 
by (simp add: fun-upd-apply add: dom-def)

```

lemma the-AbstractMuSpaceCost-upd:

```

AbstractMuSpaceCost (the (( $\Sigma(f \mapsto (\Delta,\mu,\sigma))$ ) f)) =  $\mu$ 
by (simp add: fun-upd-apply add: dom-def)

```

lemma the-AbstractSigmaSpaceCost-upd:

```

AbstractSigmaSpaceCost (the (( $\Sigma(f \mapsto (\Delta,\mu,\sigma))$ ) f)) =  $\sigma$ 
by (simp add: fun-upd-apply add: dom-def)

```

lemma the-AbstractDeltaSpaceCost-other-upd:

```

 $g \neq f$ 
 $\implies \text{AbstractDeltaSpaceCost } (\text{the } ((\Sigma(g \mapsto (\Delta,\mu,\sigma))) f)) = \text{AbstractDeltaSpaceCost } (\text{the } (\Sigma f))$ 
by (simp add: fun-upd-apply add: dom-def)

```

lemma *the-AbstractMuSpaceCost-other-upd*:

$$\begin{aligned} g \neq f \\ \implies & \text{AbstractMuSpaceCost } (\text{the } ((\Sigma(g \mapsto (\Delta, \mu, \sigma))) f)) = \text{AbstractMuSpaceCost} \\ & (\text{the } (\Sigma f)) \\ \mathbf{by} & \text{ (simp add: fun-upd-apply add: dom-def)} \end{aligned}$$

lemma *the-AbstractSigmaSpaceCost-other-upd*:

$$\begin{aligned} g \neq f \\ \implies & \text{AbstractSigmaSpaceCost } (\text{the } ((\Sigma(g \mapsto (\Delta, \mu, \sigma))) f)) = \text{AbstractSigmaSpace-} \\ & \text{Cost } (\text{the } (\Sigma f)) \\ \mathbf{by} & \text{ (simp add: fun-upd-apply add: dom-def)} \end{aligned}$$

lemma *the-AbstractDeltaSpaceCost-other-projection-upd*:

$$\begin{aligned} g \neq f \\ \implies & \text{AbstractDeltaSpaceCost } (\text{the } ((\Sigma(g \mapsto (\text{projection } g \Delta, \mu, \sigma))) f)) = \text{Abstract-} \\ & \text{DeltaSpaceCost } (\text{the } (\Sigma f)) \\ \mathbf{by} & \text{ (simp add: fun-upd-apply add: dom-def)} \end{aligned}$$

lemma *the-AbstractMuSpaceCost-other-projection-upd*:

$$\begin{aligned} g \neq f \\ \implies & \text{AbstractMuSpaceCost } (\text{the } ((\Sigma(g \mapsto (\text{projection } g \Delta, \mu, \sigma))) f)) = \text{Abstract-} \\ & \text{MuSpaceCost } (\text{the } (\Sigma f)) \\ \mathbf{by} & \text{ (simp add: fun-upd-apply add: dom-def)} \end{aligned}$$

lemma *the-AbstractSigmaSpaceCost-other-projection-upd*:

$$\begin{aligned} g \neq f \\ \implies & \text{AbstractSigmaSpaceCost } (\text{the } ((\Sigma(g \mapsto (\text{projection } g \Delta, \mu, \sigma))) f)) = \text{Abstract-} \\ & \text{SigmaSpaceCost } (\text{the } (\Sigma f)) \\ \mathbf{by} & \text{ (simp add: fun-upd-apply add: dom-def)} \end{aligned}$$

axioms *equals-projection*:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{projection } f \Delta' = \text{projection } f \Delta \\ \implies \Delta' = \Delta \end{aligned}$$

lemma *Theorem-4-aux [rule-format]*:

$$\begin{aligned} \models_{f,n} \Sigma b \\ \implies n = \text{Suc } n' \\ \implies f \in \text{dom } \Sigma b \\ \implies \Sigma b f = \text{Some } (\text{projection } f \Delta, \mu, \sigma) \\ \implies (\text{bodyAPP } \Sigma d f) :_f (\text{typesAPP } \Sigma \vartheta f) (\text{sizesAPP } \Sigma \Phi f) \text{ real}(length (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f) + length (\text{regionsAPP } \Sigma \\ \{\Delta, \mu, \sigma\}) \\ \mathbf{apply} \text{ (rule impI)} \\ \mathbf{apply} \text{ (erule ValidGlobalResourcesEnvDepth.induct)} \\ \mathbf{apply} \text{ simp} \\ \mathbf{apply} \text{ (rule impI)+} \end{aligned}$$

```

apply (subst (asm) map-upd-Some-unfold,simp)
apply (elim conjE, frule equals-projection,simp)
apply clarsimp
by (simp add: fun-upd-apply add: dom-def)

```

lemma Theorem-4:

```

 $\llbracket \forall n > 0. \models_{f,n} \Sigma b; f \in \text{dom } \Sigma b; \Sigma b f = \text{Some } (\text{projection } f \Delta, \mu, \sigma) \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \forall n. (\text{bodyAPP } \Sigma d f) :_f (\text{typesAPP } \Sigma \vartheta f) (\text{sizesAPP } \Sigma \Phi f) \text{ real}(length (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f) + length (\text{regionsAPP } \Sigma d f))$ 
 $\quad \{\Delta, \mu, \sigma\}$ 
apply (rule allI)
apply (rule-tac n=Suc n in Theorem-4-aux)
by (force,simp,simp,simp)

```

lemma Theorem-5-aux [rule-format]:

```

 $\models_{f,n} \Sigma b$ 
 $\longrightarrow n = \text{Suc } n'$ 
 $\longrightarrow f \in \text{dom } \Sigma b$ 
 $\longrightarrow \Sigma b f = \text{Some } (\text{projection } f \Delta, \mu, \sigma)$ 
 $\longrightarrow (\text{bodyAPP } \Sigma d f) :_f (\text{typesAPP } \Sigma \vartheta f) (\text{sizesAPP } \Sigma \Phi f) \text{ real}(length (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f) + length (\text{regionsAPP } \Sigma d f))$ 
 $\quad \{\Delta, \mu, \sigma\}$ 
 $\longrightarrow \models_{f,n} \Sigma b$ 
apply (rule impI)
apply (erule ValidGlobalResourcesEnvDepth.induct,simp-all)
apply (rule impI)+
apply (rule ValidGlobalResourcesEnv.step)
apply (simp,simp)
apply (subst (asm) map-upd-Some-unfold,simp)
apply (elim conjE, frule equals-projection,simp)
apply (rule impI)+
apply (case-tac g=f,simp-all)
apply (rule ValidGlobalResourcesEnv.step,simp-all)
apply (subgoal-tac f ∈ dom Σb,simp)
prefer 2 apply (simp add: fun-upd-apply add: dom-def)
by (simp add: fun-upd-apply add: dom-def)+
```

lemma Theorem-5:

```

 $\llbracket \forall n > 0. \models_{f,n} \Sigma b; f \in \text{dom } \Sigma b; \Sigma b f = \text{Some } (\text{projection } f \Delta, \mu, \sigma);$ 
 $\quad (\text{bodyAPP } \Sigma d f) :_f (\text{typesAPP } \Sigma \vartheta f) (\text{sizesAPP } \Sigma \Phi f) \text{ real}(length (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f) + length (\text{regionsAPP } \Sigma d f))$ 
 $\quad \{\Delta, \mu, \sigma\} \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \models_{f,n} \Sigma b$ 
apply (rule-tac n=Suc n in Theorem-5-aux)
by simp-all

```

```

lemma signature-correct [rule-format]:
   $\models_{f,n} \Sigma b$ 
   $\longrightarrow f \in \text{dom } \Sigma b$ 
   $\longrightarrow (\exists \Delta. (\text{AbstractDeltaSpaceCost } (\text{the } (\Sigma b f))) = \text{projection } f \Delta)$ 
apply (rule impI)
apply (erule ValidGlobalResourcesEnvDepth.induct,simp-all)
  apply (simp add: fun-upd-apply add: dom-def,force)
  apply (rule-tac x=Δ in exI)
  apply (simp add: fun-upd-apply add: dom-def)
apply (rule impI)
apply (drule mp,simp)
apply (elim exE)
apply (rule-tac x=Δ' in exI)
by (simp add: fun-upd-apply add: dom-def)

```

```

lemma imp-f-in-SigmaResources-ValidDepth-n-SigmaResources-Valid-Sigma:
   $\llbracket \forall n. \models_{f,n} \Sigma b; f \in \text{dom } \Sigma b \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \text{ValidGlobalResourcesEnv } \Sigma b$ 
apply (subgoal-tac  $\models_{f,n} \Sigma b$ )
  prefer 2 apply simp
apply (frule signature-correct,assumption)
apply (elim exE)
apply (frule domD)
apply (elim exE, case-tac b, case-tac ba,simp,clarsimp)
apply (subgoal-tac  $f \in \text{dom } \Sigma b$ )
apply (subgoal-tac  $\models_{f,0} \Sigma b \wedge (\forall n > 0. \models_{f,n} \Sigma b)$ ,elim conjE)
  prefer 2 apply simp
apply (frule Theorem-4,simp-all,force)
apply (subgoal-tac  $\models_{f,0} \Sigma b \wedge (\forall n > 0. \models_{f,n} \Sigma b)$ ,elim conjE)
  prefer 2 apply simp
apply (frule Theorem-5,simp-all,force)
apply (rule equiv-all-n-SafeResourcesDAssDepth-SafeResourcesDAss,simp)
by (simp add: dom-def)

```

```

lemma imp-all-n-ValidGlobalResourcesEnvDepth-ValidGlobalResourcesEnv:
   $\llbracket \forall n. \models_{f,n} \Sigma b \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \text{ValidGlobalResourcesEnv } \Sigma b$ 
apply (case-tac  $f \notin \text{dom } \Sigma b$ ,simp-all)
apply (rule imp-f-notin-SigmaResources-ValidDepth-n-SigmaResources-Valid-Sigma,assumption+)
by (rule imp-f-in-SigmaResources-ValidDepth-n-SigmaResources-Valid-Sigma,assumption+)

```

lemma lemma-6:

```

 $\forall n. \models_{f,n} \Sigma b \equiv$ 
 $ValidGlobalResourcesEnv \Sigma b$ 
apply (rule eq-reflection)
apply (rule iffI)

apply (rule-tac f=f in imp-all-n-ValidGlobalResourcesEnvDepth-ValidGlobalResourcesEnv,force)

by (rule imp-ValidGlobalResourcesEnv-all-n-ValidGlobalResourcesEnvDepth,force)

```

lemma lemma-7:

```

 $\llbracket \forall n. e, \Sigma b :_f (typesAPP \Sigma \vartheta f) (sizesAPP \Sigma \Phi f) td, n \{ \Delta, \mu, \sigma \} \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies SafeResourcesDAssCntxt e \Sigma b f (typesAPP \Sigma \vartheta f) (sizesAPP \Sigma \Phi f) td \Delta$ 
 $\mu \sigma$ 
apply (simp only: typesAPP-def)
apply (simp only: SafeResourcesDAssDepthCntxt.simps)
apply (subgoal-tac
  ( $\forall n. \models_{f,n} \Sigma b$ )  $\longrightarrow$ 
  ( $\forall n. e :_f (typesAPP \Sigma \vartheta f) (sizesAPP \Sigma \Phi f) td, n \{ \Delta, \mu, \sigma \}$ ))
apply (erule thin-rl)
apply (subst (asm) lemma-5)
apply (subst (asm) lemma-6)
apply (simp add: SafeResourcesDAssCntxt.simps)
apply (simp only: typesAPP-def)
apply (rule impI)
apply (simp only: typesAPP-def)
by force

```

lemma fold-op-plus-le [rule-format]:

```

finite ({ $\varrho$ .  $\eta$   $\varrho = Some j$ })
 $\longrightarrow \Delta' \sqsubseteq_\Delta \Delta$ 
 $\longrightarrow fold\ op\ +\ (\lambda\varrho.\ the\ (the\ (\Delta'\ \varrho)\ si))\ (0::real)\ (\{\varrho.\ \eta\ \varrho = Some\ j\}) \leq$ 
 $fold\ op\ +\ (\lambda\varrho.\ the\ (the\ (\Delta\ \varrho)\ si))\ (0::real)\ (\{\varrho.\ \eta\ \varrho = Some\ j\})$ 
apply (rule impI)
apply (induct rule: finite-induct)
apply (rule impI)
apply simp
apply (rule impI)+
apply (simp add: ran-def)
apply (simp add: abstractDelta-le-def)
apply (subgoal-tac the (the ( $\Delta'$  x) si)  $\leq$  the (the ( $\Delta$  x) si))

```

```

apply clarsimp
apply (elim conjE)
apply (case-tac  $x \in \text{dom } \Delta$ )
apply (simp add: costs-le-def)
apply (case-tac  $si \in \text{dom } (\text{the } (\Delta' x))$ ,force,force)
apply (subgoal-tac  $\Delta x = \text{None}$ ,simp)
apply (subgoal-tac  $\Delta' x = \text{None}$ ,simp,force)
by force

```

```

lemma sizeAbstractDelta-si-le:

$$\llbracket \text{finite } (\{\varrho. \eta \varrho = \text{Some } j \wedge \varrho \in \text{dom } \Delta\});$$


$$\Delta' \sqsubseteq_{\Delta} \Delta \rrbracket$$


$$\implies \text{sizeAbstractDelta-si } \Delta' si j \eta \leq$$


$$\text{sizeAbstractDelta-si } \Delta si j \eta$$

apply (simp add: sizeAbstractDelta-si-def)
apply (simp add: setsum-def)
apply (rule impI)
by (rule fold-op-plus-le,assumption+)

```

```

lemma resources-le-Delta-ge:

$$\llbracket \forall j \in \{0..k\}. \text{finite } (\{\varrho. \eta \varrho = \text{Some } j \wedge \varrho \in \text{dom } \Delta\});$$


$$\text{Delta-ge } \Delta' si k \eta \delta; \Delta' \sqsubseteq_{\Delta} \Delta \rrbracket$$


$$\implies \text{Delta-ge } \Delta si k \eta \delta$$

apply (simp add: Delta-ge-def)
apply (rule ballI)
apply (subgoal-tac dom  $\Delta' = \text{dom } \Delta$ )
prefer 2 apply (simp add: abstractDelta-le-def)
apply (erule-tac  $x=j$  in ballE,simp)
apply (frule-tac  $\eta=\eta$  and  $j=j$  and  $si=si$  in sizeAbstractDelta-si-le,assumption+)

apply force
by simp

```

```

lemma resources-le-Mu-ge:

$$\llbracket \text{mu-ge } \mu' si s; \mu' \sqsubseteq_c \mu \rrbracket$$


$$\implies \text{mu-ge } \mu si s$$

apply (simp add: mu-ge-def)
apply (simp add: costs-le-def)
apply (erule real-le-trans)
apply (elim conjE)
by (erule-tac  $x=si$  in ballE,simp,force)

```

```

lemma resources-le-Sigma-ge:

$$\llbracket \text{sigma-ge } \sigma' si s; \sigma' \sqsubseteq_c \sigma \rrbracket$$


```

```

 $\implies \text{sigma-ge } \sigma \text{ si } s$ 
apply (simp add: sigma-ge-def)
apply (simp add: costs-le-def)
apply (erule real-le-trans)
apply (elim conjE)
by (erule-tac x=si in ballE,simp,force)

```

```

lemma dom-projection-Delta:
   $\text{dom}(\text{projection } f \Delta) = \text{dom } \Delta - \{\varrho_{\text{self}}ff\}$ 
apply (rule equalityI)
apply (rule subsetI)
apply (simp add: projection-def,clarsimp)
apply (split split-if-asm,simp,force)
apply (rule subsetI)
apply (simp add: projection-def)
by clarsimp

```

```

lemma dom-delta'-equals-dom-delta-ρself:
   $\llbracket \text{insert } (\varrho_{\text{self}}ff) (\text{set } (R\text{-Args } \Sigma t f)) = \text{dom } \Delta';$ 
     $\text{projection } f \Delta' \sqsubseteq_{\Delta} \Delta \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \text{dom } \Delta' = \text{insert } (\varrho_{\text{self}}ff) (\text{dom } \Delta)$ 
apply (rule equalityI)
apply (rule subsetI)
apply (simp add: abstractDelta-le-def)
apply (subst (asm) dom-projection-Delta)
apply blast
apply (rule subsetI)
apply (simp add: abstractDelta-le-def)
apply (subst (asm) dom-projection-Delta)
by blast

```

```

lemma projection-prop:
   $\llbracket \text{insert } (\varrho_{\text{self}}ff) (\text{set } (R\text{-Args } \Sigma t f)) = \text{dom } \Delta';$ 
     $\text{projection } f \Delta' \sqsubseteq_{\Delta} \Delta \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \Delta' \sqsubseteq_{\Delta} \Delta (\varrho_{\text{self}}ff \mapsto \text{the } (\Delta' (\varrho_{\text{self}}ff)))$ 
apply (simp (no-asm) add: abstractDelta-le-def)
apply (rule conjI)
apply (rule dom-delta'-equals-dom-delta-ρself,simp,simp)
apply (subst dom-delta'-equals-dom-delta-ρself,simp,simp)
apply (rule ballI)
apply (simp only: abstractDelta-le-def)
apply (elim conjE,clarsimp,erule disjE)
apply (simp add: fun-upd-apply add: dom-def)
apply (simp add: costs-le-def)

```

```

apply (erule-tac x=ρ in ballE)
prefer 2 apply simp
apply (subst (asm) dom-projection-Delta)
apply (subgoal-tac ρ ≠ (ρself-f f))
apply (simp add: projection-def)
apply (simp add: fun-upd-apply add: dom-def)
by blast

```

lemma sizeAbstractDelta-si-le:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \text{insert } (\rho\text{-self-}f f) (\text{set } (R\text{-Args } \Sigma t f)) = \text{dom } \Delta'; \\ & \quad \text{finite } (\{\varrho. \eta \varrho = \text{Some } j \wedge \varrho \in \text{dom } \Delta\}); \\ & \quad \text{projection } f \Delta' \sqsubseteq_{\Delta} \Delta \rrbracket \\ & \implies \text{sizeAbstractDelta-si } \Delta' \text{ si } j \eta \leq \\ & \quad \text{sizeAbstractDelta-si } (\Delta(\rho\text{-self-}f f \mapsto \text{the } (\Delta'(\rho\text{-self-}f f)))) \text{ si } j \eta \\ \text{apply } & (\text{simp add: sizeAbstractDelta-si-def}) \\ \text{apply } & (\text{simp add: setsum-def}) \\ \text{apply } & (\text{rule impI}) \\ \text{apply } & (\text{rule fold-op-plus-le,assumption+}) \\ \text{by } & (\text{rule projection-prop,assumption+}) \end{aligned}$$

lemma resources-le-Delta-ge:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \text{insert } (\rho\text{-self-}f f) (\text{set } (R\text{-Args } \Sigma t f)) = \text{dom } \Delta'; \\ & \quad \forall j \in \{0..k\}. \text{finite } (\{\varrho. \eta \varrho = \text{Some } j \wedge \varrho \in \text{dom } \Delta\}); \\ & \quad \text{Delta-ge } \Delta' \text{ si } k \eta \delta; \text{ projection } f \Delta' \sqsubseteq_{\Delta} \Delta \rrbracket \\ & \implies \text{Delta-ge } (\Delta(\rho\text{-self-}f f \mapsto \text{the } (\Delta'(\rho\text{-self-}f f)))) \text{ si } k \eta \delta \\ \text{apply } & (\text{simp add: Delta-ge-def}) \\ \text{apply } & (\text{rule ballI}) \\ \text{apply } & (\text{erule-tac } x=j \text{ in ballE,simp}) \\ \text{apply } & (\text{frule-tac } \eta=\eta \text{ and } j=j \text{ and } si=si \text{ in sizeAbstractDelta-si-le,assumption+}) \\ \\ \text{apply } & \text{force} \\ \text{by } & \text{simp} \end{aligned}$$

lemma finite-dom-Δ-finite-η:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{finite } (\text{dom } \Delta) \\ & \implies (\forall j \in \{0..k\}. \text{finite } (\{\varrho. \eta \varrho = \text{Some } j \wedge \varrho \in \text{dom } \Delta\})) \\ \text{apply } & (\text{rule ballI}) \\ \text{apply } & (\text{rule-tac } B=\{\varrho. \varrho \in \text{dom } \Delta\} \text{ in finite-subset}) \\ \text{by } & (\text{blast,simp}) \end{aligned}$$

lemma resources-le-SafeResourcesDAssDepth:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket (\text{projection } f \Delta', \mu', \sigma') \sqsubseteq_R (\Delta, \mu, \sigma); \\ & \quad \text{finite } (\text{dom } \Delta); \\ & \quad \text{bodyAPP } \Sigma d f :_f (\text{typesAPP } \Sigma \vartheta f) (\text{sizesAPP } \Sigma \Phi f) td, n \ \{\Delta', \mu', \sigma'\} \rrbracket \\ & \implies \text{bodyAPP } \Sigma d f :_f (\text{typesAPP } \Sigma \vartheta f) (\text{sizesAPP } \Sigma \Phi f) td, n \ \{\Delta((\rho\text{-self-}f f) \mapsto \} \end{aligned}$$

```

the ( $\Delta'(\varrho_{self}\text{-}ff))$ ),  $\mu$  ,  $\sigma$  }
apply (simp only: resources-le-def)
apply (simp only: typesAPP-def)
apply (simp only: SafeResourcesDAssDepth.simps)
apply (elim conjE)
apply (rule impI)
apply (rule conjI,simp)+
apply (rule dom-delta'-equals-dom-delta- $\varrho_{self}$ ,simp,simp)
apply (drule mp,simp)
apply (elim conjE)
apply (rule allI)+
apply (erule-tac  $x=E1$  in allE)
apply (erule-tac  $x=E2$  in allE)
apply (erule-tac  $x=h$  in allE)
apply (erule-tac  $x=k$  in allE)
apply (erule-tac  $x=hh$  in allE)
apply (erule-tac  $x=v$  in allE)
apply (erule-tac  $x=\delta$  in allE)
apply (erule-tac  $x=m$  in allE)
apply (erule-tac  $x=s$  in allE)
apply (erule-tac  $x=\eta$  in allE)
apply (erule-tac  $x=si$  in allE)
apply (rule impI)
apply (elim conjE)
apply (drule mp)
apply (rule conjI,simp)+
apply (subst dom-delta'-equals-dom-delta- $\varrho_{self}$ ,simp,simp,simp)
apply (rule conjI,simp)
apply simp
apply (elim conjE)
apply (frule-tac  $\eta=\eta$  and  $k=k$  in finite-dom- $\Delta$ -finite- $\eta$ )
apply (rule conjI, rule resources-le-Delta-ge,simp,simp,simp,simp)
apply (rule conjI, rule resources-le-Mu-ge,simp,simp)
by (rule resources-le-Sigma-ge,simp,simp)

```

```

lemma projection-prop2:
 $(\varrho_{self}\text{-}ff) \notin \text{dom } \Delta$ 
 $\implies \Delta = \text{projection } f (\Delta(\varrho_{self}\text{-}ff \mapsto \text{the } (\Delta'(\varrho_{self}\text{-}ff))))$ 
apply (rule map-le-antisym)
apply (simp add: projection-def)
apply (simp add: map-le-def,clarsimp)
apply (rule sym)
apply (subst map-upd-Some-unfold,simp)
apply (simp add: projection-def)
apply (simp add: map-le-def,clarsimp)
by (subst (asm) map-upd-Some-unfold,simp)

```

lemma lemma-8-REC [rule-format]:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\forall n. (\text{ValidGlobalResourcesEnvDepth } f n (\Sigma b(f \mapsto (\Delta, \mu, \sigma)))) \\
 & \quad \longrightarrow \text{SafeResourcesDAssDepth} (\text{bodyAPP } \Sigma d f) f (\text{typesAPP } \Sigma \vartheta f) \\
 & (\text{sizesAPP } \Sigma \Phi f) \\
 & \quad (\text{real } (\text{length } (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f)) + \text{real } (\text{length } \\
 & (\text{regionsAPP } \Sigma d f))) \ n \ \Delta' \ \mu' \ \sigma') \\
 & \quad \longrightarrow f \notin \text{dom } \Sigma b \\
 & \quad \longrightarrow (\varrho_{\text{self}} f f) \notin \text{dom } \Delta \\
 & \quad \longrightarrow \text{finite } (\text{dom } \Delta) \\
 & \quad \longrightarrow (\text{projection } f \ \Delta', \ \mu', \ \sigma') \sqsubseteq_R (\Delta, \ \mu, \ \sigma) \\
 & \quad \longrightarrow \text{ValidGlobalResourcesEnvDepth } f n \ \Sigma b \\
 & \quad \longrightarrow \text{SafeResourcesDAssDepth} (\text{bodyAPP } \Sigma d f) f (\text{typesAPP } \Sigma \vartheta f) (\text{sizesAPP } \\
 & \Sigma \Phi f) \\
 & \quad (\text{real } (\text{length } (\text{varsAPP } \Sigma d f)) + \text{real } (\text{length } (\text{regionsAPP } \\
 & \Sigma d f))) \ n \ \Delta' \ \mu' \ \sigma' \\
 & \text{apply (rule impI)} \\
 & \text{apply (induct-tac } n) \\
 \\
 & \text{apply (rule impI)+} \\
 & \text{apply (erule-tac } x=0 \text{ in allE)} \\
 & \text{apply (frule imp-ValidResourcesDepth-n-SigmaResources-Valid-Sigma,assumption+)} \\
 & \text{apply (subgoal-tac } \models_{f,0} \Sigma b(f \mapsto (\Delta, \mu, \sigma)),\text{simp)} \\
 & \text{apply (subst projection-prop2,assumption)} \\
 & \text{apply (rule ValidGlobalResourcesEnvDepth.depth0,assumption+)} \\
 \\
 & \text{apply (erule-tac } x=\text{Suc } n \text{ in allE)} \\
 & \text{apply (rule impI)+} \\
 & \text{apply (drule mp,simp)} \\
 & \text{apply (frule imp-ValidResourcesDepth-n-SigmaResources-Valid-Sigma,assumption+)} \\
 & \text{apply (frule-tac } f=f \text{ in imp-ValidGlobalResourcesEnv-all-n-ValidGlobalResourcesEnvDepth)} \\
 & \text{apply (erule-tac } x=n \text{ in allE,simp)} \\
 & \text{apply (frule resources-le-SafeResourcesDAssDepth,assumption+)} \\
 & \text{apply (subgoal-tac } \models_{f,\text{Suc } n} \Sigma b(f \mapsto (\Delta, \mu, \sigma)),\text{simp)} \\
 & \text{apply (subst projection-prop2,assumption)} \\
 & \text{by (rule ValidGlobalResourcesEnvDepth.step,simp-all)}
 \end{aligned}$$

lemma lemma-8:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & e, \Sigma b \vdash_f (\text{typesAPP } \Sigma \vartheta f) (\text{sizesAPP } \Sigma \Phi f) \ td \ (\Delta, \mu, \sigma) \\
 & \implies \forall n. e, \Sigma b :_f (\text{typesAPP } \Sigma \vartheta f) (\text{sizesAPP } \Sigma \Phi f) \ td, n \ \{\Delta, \mu, \sigma\} \\
 & \text{apply (simp only: typesAPP-def)} \\
 & \text{apply (erule ProofRulesCostes.induct)} \\
 \\
 & \text{apply (simp only: SafeResourcesDAssDepthCntxt.simps)} \\
 & \text{apply (rule allI, rule impI)} \\
 & \text{apply (rule SafeResourcesDADept-LitInt)}
 \end{aligned}$$

```

apply (simp only: SafeResourcesDAssDepthCtxt.simps)
apply (rule allI, rule impI)
apply (rule SafeResourcesDA Depth-LitBool)

apply (simp only: SafeResourcesDAssDepthCtxt.simps)
apply (rule allI, rule impI)
apply (rule SafeResourcesDA Depth-Var1)

apply (simp only: typesAPP-def)
apply (simp only: SafeResourcesDAssDepthCtxt.simps)
apply (rule allI, rule impI)
apply (rule SafeResourcesDA Depth-Var2,force,force)

apply (simp only: typesAPP-def)
apply (simp only: SafeResourcesDAssDepthCtxt.simps)
apply (rule allI, rule impI)
apply (rule SafeResourcesDA Depth-Var3,force,force)

apply (rule allI)
apply (simp only: SafeResourcesDAssDepthCtxt.simps)
apply (rule impI)
apply (erule-tac x=n in allE)+
apply (drule mp, simp)+
apply (rule SafeResourcesDA Depth-Let1)
apply (simp,assumption+,simp,simp,simp)

apply (rule allI)
apply (simp only: typesAPP-def)
apply (simp only: SafeResourcesDAssDepthCtxt.simps)
apply (rule impI)
apply (erule-tac x=n in allE)+
apply (drule mp, simp)+
apply (rule SafeResourcesDA Depth-Let2)
apply assumption+

apply (rule allI)
apply (simp only: SafeResourcesDAssDepthCtxt.simps)
apply (rule impI)
apply (rule SafeResourcesDA Depth-CASE)
apply (assumption+,simp,assumption+,simp,simp,simp,simp)

```

```

apply (rule allI)
apply (simp only: SafeResourcesDAssDepthCntxt.simps)
apply (rule impI)
apply (rule SafeResourcesDADepth-APP-PRIMOP)
apply (assumption+)

apply (rule allI)
apply (simp only: typesAPP-def)
apply (simp only: SafeResourcesDAssDepthCntxt.simps)
apply (rule impI)
apply (fold typesAPP-def)
apply (rule-tac σg=σg in SafeResourcesDADepth-APP)
apply (assumption+,simp,assumption+,simp)

apply (simp only: typesAPP-def)
apply (simp only: SafeResourcesDAssDepthCntxt.simps)
apply (rule allI)
apply (rule impI)
apply (subgoal-tac
  ef = (bodyAPP Σd f) ∧
  xs = (varsAPP Σd f) ∧
  rs = (regionsAPP Σd f),simp)
apply (fold typesAPP-def)
apply (rule-tac f=f and Σb=Σb in lemma-8-REC)
apply (simp only: typesAPP-def)
apply (force,simp,simp,simp,simp,simp)
apply (simp add: bodyAPP-def)
apply (simp add: varsAPP-def)
apply (simp add: regionsAPP-def)
done

```

lemma lemma-2:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & e, \Sigma b \vdash_f (\text{typesAPP } \Sigma \vartheta f) (\text{sizesAPP } \Sigma \Phi f) \text{ td } (\Delta, \mu, \sigma) \\
 & \implies \text{SafeResourcesDAssCntxt } e \Sigma b f (\text{typesAPP } \Sigma \vartheta f) (\text{sizesAPP } \Sigma \Phi f) \text{ td } \Delta \\
 & \mu \sigma \\
 & \text{apply (rule lemma-7)} \\
 & \text{by (rule lemma-8,assumption)}
 \end{aligned}$$

end

